

BMJ Case Reports

Global Health Report Reviewer Guidance

Thank you for taking the time to review this case report for BMJ Case Reports. We appreciate your time and interest in reviewing this manuscript.

Global health case report manuscripts usually describe difficult experiences of patients, whether in their social circumstances, in access to quality health care or in living with disease or disability.



As the patient's experience is described in detail it is essential that the global health problem analysis is comprehensive, accurate and worthwhile, while, at the same time, the patient and their immediate contacts or environment are anonymised. The manuscripts should be dispassionate and written using neutral and scientific vocabulary.



The most important feature in the manuscript is whether the authors have provided sufficient clinical and epidemiological evidence to support their conclusions and construct their learning points. Although data sources may not be found on standard medical literature search engines, all data sources should be reputable, accurately cited and verifiable. All assertions made by the authors should be supported by evidence. It is particularly important that multiple, reliable and verifiable sources are used.



We want to know whether the rationale and details of treatment meet agreed clinical standards.



Information should be presented in the most effective way (including original diagrams, flowcharts and tables).

While reviewing the manuscript, please, consider the following general questions in your response to the editor:

1. Are the conclusions and learning points in the manuscript supported by the clinical information in the manuscript?	
2. Is this manuscript a useful addition to the literature?	
3. Are there any concerns about the management of the patient?	
4. Are there any concerns about the standard of writing or presentation of data?	
5. Is there overlapping text?	

While reviewing the manuscript, please, consider the following questions about the manuscript template:

<p>Title: Is the title a straightforward clinical title that includes the diagnosis and identifies the main global health issue?</p>	
<p>Summary: Is the summary distinct from the background section? Is it an effective description of what may be learned from the case?</p>	
<p>Background: Does the background set the global health priority and context of the case?</p>	
<p>Clinical presentation: Has all the clinical information relevant to the case been described? Are signs and symptoms and the timeline of events fully and effectively described? Is the clinical rationale of decisions described? Has the treatment been described in adequate detail? Is the outcome fully described? Is follow-up information up-to-date? Is it clear how the patient is now living and working (including details of disability, return to work, caring for their family, etc)?</p>	
<p>Global health problem analysis: Are the global health problems listed as single sentence bullet points? Do they clearly emerge from the case presentation?</p> <p>Is each global health problem addressed under a separate sub-heading? Are the literature and population data sources used clearly relevant to the patient? Are all data sources reliably referenced? Has every assertion been accurately referenced?</p> <p>Are the conclusions supported by the clinical description and scientific literature? Are scientific associations or possible causal relationships worded with caution?</p>	
<p>Learning points: Are the learning points supported by the content of the manuscript?</p>	
<p>References: Does each reference accurately support the corresponding statement made by the authors? Is each reference up-to-date? Is each reference from a reliable source (especially where an Internet link is provided)? Have all the references been cited in journal (Vancouver) style?</p>	
<p>Images: Is the image necessary to the manuscript? Have all patient identifiers been removed? Is the patient's face visible? Is the image of sufficient quality? Is the image fully annotated for readers to understand? Would an accompanying line diagram assist the readers' understanding?</p>	
<p>Videos: Have all patient identifiers been removed? Is the patient's face visible? Is the video narrated and/or annotated? Are structures labelled?</p>	
<p>Patient perspective: Is this in the patient's own words? Is there a description of what it has been like for the patient to experience illness, treatment and convalescence? Is there a description of what life is like for the patient – daily activities, work etc. Is the patient identifiable from the patient perspective? We do not publish single sentence expressions of thanks to the doctors/authors.</p>	