How did a prosthetic femoral head migrate to the mesorectum?
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DESCRIPTION

This 64-year-old man underwent a total hip replacement for osteoarthritis via a posterior approach. Day 1 postoperatively, he felt his hip click while turning into a chair. X-ray revealed an anterior hip dislocation with the prosthetic femoral head disengaged and migrated into the pelvis. CT demonstrated the ceramic head was retroperitoneal, anterior to the right iliac bone within the iliopsoas muscle interval (figure 1). His case was discussed with hip revision and trauma specialists with a provisional plan to revise the hip replacement and remove the femoral head. The patient, however, did not wish invasive, intra-abdominal surgery and requested a limited approach for implant retrieval. Revision hip surgery was performed with anteversion of the acetabular component adjusted; retrieval of the femoral head was not possible through this approach. A further attempt via a minimally invasive, retroperitoneal approach along the inner table of pelvic wall was used but was also unsuccessful.

Following revision surgery, he initially made a good recovery and was discharged home. At 2-week follow-up, however, he had developed some groin pain. A repeat X-ray and CT scan showed the implant had migrated deeper into the pelvic cavity, inferior to the iliac vessels and indenting onto the mesorectum (figure 2). Removal of the femoral head was advised and performed laparoscopically. The right side of the mesorectum was mobilised to the pelvic floor, and the implant was successfully retrieved with an open grasper inside the taper with counter pressure applied per rectum. The patient made a good recovery. At latest follow-up, 6 months postretrieval, his groin pain had resolved, he walks unaided and has resumed hill walking.

Dislocation of total hip replacement is a known complication; however, component disassociation in this context is rare. Cases have been reported; however, in all documented cases, it has occurred intraoperatively with trial components. In our case, it is unclear why the definitive components disengaged during dislocation. The femoral head was impacted during surgery. Anterior dislocation likely occurred during external rotation of the hip. We believe that subsequent internal rotation probably occurred as the hip attempted to relocate, resulting in impaction of the femoral head against the acetabular component rim and disengagement. Other risk factors for component separation, including obesity and reduced head–neck ratio, were not applicable to this case (body mass index 26, standard offset prosthetic head).

Previous reports suggest that removal of plastic trial implants is not mandatory if asymptomatic and that further migration is uncommon. Two further migration of the femoral head did occur towards the mesorectum. This resulted in concerns of theoretical risk of erosion into the gastrointestinal tract. In light of this case, we suggest that early removal of a disengaged femoral head is performed. Different approaches have been described for this, including retroperitoneal approaches or via laparotomy. Our case highlights that a laparoscopic approach can also be used.

Learning points

- Early removal is recommended as implants can migrate within the pelvis and risk gastrointestinal complications.
- A laparoscopic approach should be considered for retrieval.
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