Extravasation of contrast beneath the preputial skin due to improper technique of retrograde urethrogram
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DESCRIPTION
A 22-year-old uncircumcised male patient had a thin stream of urine and had to exert pressure while voiding. He had a history of some instrumentation in his penis during childhood, but no records were available. He was first seen by a primary care physician, who made a provisional diagnosis of stricture urethra and advised for a retrograde urethrogram (RUG). His RUG had a contrast shadow, which was thought to be possible dilated distal urethra due to proximal obstruction (stricture), and the patient was referred to us for further management (figure 1). When we examined the patient, he was found to have phimosis. A dorsal slit was done and the meatus was examined, which was normal. A gentle calibration was done with a 14F Foley catheter that was normal. He voided with a good stream after surgery. The radio-opaque shadow that was seen on the RUG was nothing but the extravasated contrast between the preputial skin and glans penis.

RUG is the best imaging modality for evaluating the urethra in men. A RUG is done with the patient positioned obliquely at 45 degrees. The bottom leg is flexed at the knee joint (90 degrees) and the top leg is kept straight. The meatus has to be cleaned properly in the urethra and it appears that the stretch is applied over the preputial skin rather than the penis as whole. The balloon is inflated with 1 to 1.5 mL distilled water and then 20–30 mL of water-soluble contrast solution is instilled into the urethra with the penis kept at a mild stretch. Then the X-ray film is taken. Any deviation from the proper technique leads to improper interpretation of the RUG. In the present case, the patient had phimosis and the catheter was misplaced and the contrast extravasated in the space between the preputial skin and glans. Also, whenever there is a patient with such a presentation, it is imperative that the penis is examined for entities such as phimosis and meatal stenosis. These are readily apparent on clinical examination and therefore the patient is spared from unnecessary investigations. In cases with phimosis, if a concurrent urethral pathology is suspected, then it is better to do a dorsal slit or circumcision first so that the meatus is adequately visualised for doing a proper RUG.

Figure 1 Retrograde urethrogram showing contrast being instilled but has extravasated beneath the preputial skin (arrow). Although the patient positioning is proper as described in the text, the catheter was not placed properly in the urethra and it appears that the stretch is applied over the preputial skin rather than the penis as whole.

Learning points
► In patients with any voiding lower urinary tract symptoms, it is imperative that the penis should be examined clinically to look for abnormalities such as phimosis and meatal stenosis.
► When doing a retrograde urethrogram (RUG), proper technique has to be followed.
► In uncircumcised patients, if there is inadequate preputial retraction due to phimosis, it is better to do a circumcision or dorsal slit before proceeding with RUG.
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