Rare complication of a percutaneous long line
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DESCRIPTION

An infant of a diabetic mother required 20% glucose infusion for hypoglycaemia. This was initially administered via an umbilical venous catheter, which was subsequently dislodged. As the infant was over 24 hours old at the time, attempts at reinsertion of an umbilical venous catheter were not considered due the risk of infection. Consequently, the glucose infusion was administered through a percutaneous long line inserted in the left ankle. An abdominal radiograph revealed the tip to be at the level of L5 (figure 1). Eight hours following the start of the infusion, the left leg was noted to be pale, cool to touch and poorly perfused. The femoral pulse was weaker on that side with absence of the popliteal, posterior tibial and dorsalis pedis artery pulses. The long line was removed. The site of insertion of the long line was reinspected and noted to be posteriorinferior to the medial malleolus.

The vascular surgeons at a tertiary centre advised starting an intravenous heparin infusion prior to transfer. Following transfer to the tertiary centre, Doppler sonography showed a dampened waveform in the left superficial femoral artery and absence of any arterial thrombus. Perfusion returned to the left leg within a week despite continuing to have a weak femoral pulse and absent distal arterial pulses in that limb.

Percutaneous long lines inserted in the lower limbs should aim to have the tip ideally in the inferior vena cava. Long lines inserted in the left lower limb have the additional requirement to cross the midline at the level of L5 to ensure that the tip has traversed the left common iliac vein and not travelled up the left ascending lumbar vein. Malposition in the ascending lumbar vein is a recognised complication of long lines being inserted in the left lower limb. This should be suspected through typical radiographic signs such as any loop or bend in the course of the line which may occur due to the local anatomy in the left iliofemoral vein1 or tracking of radio-opaque dye alongside the spine to indicate its malposition.1 2 In such cases, it may be helpful to obtain a blood gas from the line to check if it is arterial or evaluating with vascular ultrasound may be useful.

► In the case of a percutaneous long line being inserted in the left lower limb, the tip at the level of L5 and to the left of the spine on a radiograph may not necessarily indicate its placement in a vein. In such cases, consider possible arterial cannulation and check for perfusion and pulses in that limb while considering removal of the line. Obtaining a blood gas from the line to check if it is arterial or evaluating with vascular ultrasound may be useful.

► If there are signs of arterial compromise to the lower limb following insertion of a percutaneous long line, it is important to remove the line immediately, regardless of location.


Learning points

- In the case of a percutaneous long line being inserted in the left lower limb, the tip at the level of L5 and to the left of the spine on a radiograph may not necessarily indicate its placement in a vein. In such cases, consider possible arterial cannulation and check for perfusion and pulses in that limb while considering removal of the line. Obtaining a blood gas from the line to check if it is arterial or evaluating with vascular ultrasound may be useful.

- If there are signs of arterial compromise to the lower limb following insertion of a percutaneous long line, it is important to remove the line immediately, regardless of location.