Intracranial haemangiopericytoma: a rare case presenting with haemorrhage
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DESCRIPTION

Haemangiopericytomas (HPCs) are rare intracranial tumours. Until 1993 HPC was considered as an angioplastic variant of meningioma.1 The recently published WHO classification of central nervous system (CNS) tumours classifies HPCs as mesenchymal non-meningothelial tumours combined with solitary fibrous tumours (SFTs), graded 1–3, and it is likely that this nomenclature will further evolve in the future.2 While these are highly vascular tumours, they often present with mass effect. Presentation with haemorrhage is also well described, but not commonly seen in clinical practice; this is partly due to the rarity of these tumours.3 This is only the 14th case presenting with intracranial haemorrhage and only the second case presenting with haemorrhage in the posterior fossa.

A 58-year-old right-handed woman, without significant medical history, presented with sudden-onset occipital headache. Initially she was fully conscious with no neurological deficits, but her clinical status deteriorated quickly, with increasing headache, nausea, vomiting and neck stiffness. She stopped smoking 28 years ago and was not known to be hypertensive, no history of ischaemic heart disease or trauma. Her Glasgow Coma Scale score deteriorated quickly from 15 to 9. She developed mild left-sided weakness (grade 4 in the upper/lower limb). A non-contrast CT head showed an acute intraparenchymal haematoma in the posterior fossa on the right side with associated mass effect and hydrocephalus. An area of lower attenuation within the acute haemorrhage was noted, raising the possibility of an underlying mass lesion (figure 1). An attempted contrast study failed due to extravasation and could not be repeated due to worsening clinical condition. A differential of tumours, commonly presenting with haemorrhage including metastasis and glioblastoma, was considered. She underwent insertion of occipital external ventricular drain (EVD) to relieve the hydrocephalus, followed by posterior fossa craniotomy and evacuation of cerebellar haematoma. Intraoperatively, suspicious tissue in the evacuated cavity was identified and samples were taken for histopathological analysis.
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Figure 1 Initial non-contrast CT scan. (A) and (B) showing haematoma (small black arrows) with a low-density component (large black arrow) suspicious of an underlying mass. A subdural haematoma (white arrow) is also present.

Figure 2 Initial postoperative MRI: (A) T2-weighted, (B,C) T1 precontrast and postcontrast axial, and (D,E) precontrast and postcontrast coronal images. Mass lesion (black arrows) in (A,B,D) showing homogeneous enhancement in (C,E). Residual haematoma (white arrows in B,C).

Figure 3 Histopathology. (A) Cellular tumour with thin-walled branching vessels showing a “stag horn”-like morphology. (B) Tumour cells with elongated nuclei showing moderate pleomorphism. (C) Higher magnification. Numerous mitotic figures are identified.
Postoperative recovery was uneventful with no residual neurological deficits. A CT chest/abdomen/pelvis was performed, which did not show any primary cancer elsewhere. The EVD was removed after 72 hours. The patient was discharged after 7 days. A postoperative MRI showed an enhancing dural-based lesion in the posterior fossa on the right side, with some residual haematoma (figure 2). Histopathology showed highly cellular tissue with striking vascular stroma, with some areas showing a staghorn-like morphology. The tumour cells showed significant nuclear pleomorphism and numerous mitotic bodies (figure 3). The tumour cells showed strong expression of bcl2 and CD99, with focal expression of both pancytokeratin and CD34 (figure 4). There was strong nuclear expression of STAT6 (signal transducer and the activator of transcription 6) (figure 5), the STAT6 nuclear localisation being a consequence of the NAB2-STAT6 fusion, a genetic hallmark of the SFT/HPC group of CNS tumours. Carcinoma, melanoma, lymphoma and neuroendocrine markers were negative. There was no evidence of underlying vascular malformation.

The histological appearances and immunohistochemical profile were considered typical for anaplastic HPC grade 3. Three weeks later, the tumour was excised, followed by postoperative adjuvant radiotherapy. The patient made complete clinical recovery with no neurological deficits. Further postoperative MRI (figure 6) showed a potential small residual component adjoining the right transverse sinus, which was stable until 1-year follow-up.

HPC is distinguished by a relatively aggressive biology showing a propensity for both local recurrence and extraneural metastases, which may appear even many years after initial diagnosis. The relatively fast growth rate of HPC is responsible for earlier presentation. Common presentations of cranial HPC include headache (50%), seizures (33%) or focal signs. Although quite vascular, they uncommonly present with bleeding, and the mechanism of which is unclear and does not seem to be affected by independent factors such as age, gender, size or location of the tumour. With dynamic classification, an accurate histopathological diagnosis is crucial for patients’ counselling, management planning and overall prognosis. Intracranial SFT/HPC should be included in the differential diagnoses of dural-based haemorrhagic intracranial lesions, particularly when no primary tumour is identified elsewhere.

Learning points

► Haemangiopericytomas (HPCs) are rare intracranial vascular tumours that can occasionally present with haemorrhage, and these should be included in the differential of dural-based haemorrhagic masses.
► They have an evolving WHO classification, currently classified as mesenchymal non-meningothelial tumours combined with solitary fibrous tumours and graded 1–3.
► They have distinct histopathology and immunohistochemistry, which are important in deciding management and ascertaining their long-term prognosis, since they can have an aggressive biology.
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