Echocardiographic evaluation of ‘sandwich plug’ closure of mechanical aortic valve at left ventricular assist device implantation
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DESCRIPTION
A 67-year-old man with a history of non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy (ejection fraction 15%–20%) and previous mechanical aortic valve replacement presented for HeartMate II left ventricular assist device (LVAD) implantation due to worsening heart failure symptoms (New York Heart Association III–IV). After redo sternotomy, the aortic valve was closed with a prefashioned occluder device (figure 1). After occluder placement, the aortic cross-clamp was removed and the valve assessed with transoesophageal echocardiography (TOE) to ensure proper closure (figures 2–4, videos 1–3). Once satisfactory closure was confirmed, a HeartMate II LVAD was inserted.

The presence of a mechanical prosthesis is associated with an increased risk of thromboembolic complications and considered a relative contraindication for LVAD. A bypassed, intermittently opening prosthetic valve carries a prohibitive risk of thromboembolic complications, despite anticoagulants.1 Additionally, aortic insufficiency increases the mechanical work required from the LVAD. For the patient with a mechanical aortic valve who requires LVAD placement, there is debate as to the best approach as, unlike biological valves, mechanical valves cannot be easily closed. Some surgeons recommend replacement with a biological valve; however, this adds complexity to the procedure, worsens outcomes and may not completely reduce the risk of bleeding.2 Others advocate for placement of an autologous pericardial patch covering the mechanical valve. Another option is to leave the prosthesis in place and increase the level of anticoagulation combined with an attempt to regulate the pump speed to assure some blood flow across the prosthesis. However, this option increases the risk of bleeding.1

In 2011, Cohn and Frazier published a technique using a prefashioned occluder constructed of felt, braided polyester sutures, and vascular clips to cinch closed the aortic valve (figure 1).3 This technique enables rapid and secure closure in patients with mechanical bileaflet aortic valves.3 TOE evaluation of appropriate closure is essential prior to placement of the LVAD. Assessment includes two-dimensional...
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Figure 1 Three-dimensional echocardiography of the aortic valve enables further evaluation of the valve and improved visualisation of occluder placement and prohibition of leaflet motion. AV, aortic valve.

Video 1 The mid-oesophageal aortic valve long-axis view confirming complete occlusion/closure of the aortic valve without leaflet motion. Ao, aorta; AV, aortic valve; LA, left atrium; LV, left ventricle.

Video 2 Colour flow Doppler across the left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) in the mid-oesophageal aortic valve long-axis view confirmed a lack of flow across the LVOT and complete closure of the mechanical valve. Ao, aorta; AV, aortic valve; LA, left atrium; LV, left ventricle.

Video 3 Three-dimensional echocardiography of the aortic valve enables further evaluation of the valve and improved visualisation of occluder placement and prohibition of leaflet motion. AV, aortic valve.

Evaluation of the mechanical valve leaflets in the mid-oesophageal aortic valve long-axis view without (figure 2, video 1) and with (figure 3, video 2) colour flow Doppler to determine leaflet motion and flow across the valve, respectively. If shadowing from the mechanical valve interferes with image interpretation, the mid-oesophageal aortic valve short-axis view may be used.

Three-dimensional echocardiography, if available, is useful to confirm closure without leaflet movement and help visualise the exact location of the plug in relation to the annulus and leaflets if repositioning is required (figure 4, video 3).

Compared with other techniques, the prefashioned occluder is an expedient method of valve closure taking only 2–3 min. The occluder is created prior to bypass limiting bypass and cross-clamp times which are lengthier when using a pericardial patch which must be sewn into place. Due to the complexity of the occluder, potential disadvantages include a risk of mechanical failure of the device or movement of the occluder on the valve, though no failures have been described at our centre or by the original developers of the technique. Overall, the ease of placement makes the described prefashioned occluder an attractive option for mechanical valve closure.

Learning points

- Presence of a mechanical prosthesis is associated with an increased risk of thromboembolic complications and considered a relative contraindication for left ventricular assist device (LVAD). The mechanical prosthesis may be closed with a prefashioned occluder.
- Echocardiographic evaluation of appropriate closure is essential prior to placement of the LVAD.
- Assessment includes evaluation of the mechanical valve leaflets in the mid-oesophageal aortic valve long-axis view with and without colour flow Doppler to determine leaflet motion and flow across the valve, respectively.
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