Simple surgical solution: scaphoid type congenital megalourethra
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DESCRIPTION

Congenital megalourethra (CM) is an uncommon paediatric urogenital problem with less than 80 reported cases1 and may go ignored for years. It is defined as dilatation and elongation of the penile urethra associated with the deficiency of the corpora cavernosa and/or spongiosum. It may be of scaphoid or fusiform variety. However, surgery in most cases may be challenging.

A 10-year-old boy presented with the complaint of a swelling appearing on the under-surface of the penis during voiding since birth. This persisted even after micturition and had to be milked out post voiding. His urinary stream was of good calibre and normal volume. There was no associated history of urinary tract infection or obstruction. External genital examination was normal with bilateral descended testes, stretched penile length=4.5 cm, normal prepuce and normally positioned urethral meatus. However, dilatation of the dorsal penile shaft was observed during micturition. A retrograde cum voiding cystourethrography revealed dilatation of the anterior urethra (maximum diameter=1.68 cm), normal posterior urethra, urinary bladder and no reflux (figure 1A, B). With the provisional diagnosis of CM, the child was taken up for surgery.

Under general anaesthesia, child in supine position, after ruling out distal obstruction, a midline skin incision was given over the protuberant portion of the dorsal penile shaft. It was developed in layers up till the mucosal lining of the anterior urethra (figure 2A), laying it open (figure 2B). Marking of excessive urethra was done. This excessive urethral mucosa was trimmed on either side as well as distally till the normal urethra was identified (figure 2C). Urethral tubularisation was done over 10 F infant feeding tube (IFT) in two layers with polydioxanone 6.0 suture (continuous and then interrupted) (figure 2D). Deficient spongiosum was then sutured in interrupted technique over the previous suture line. Skin over the dorsal penile shaft was de-epithelised. Double breasting of the de-epithelised skin along with underlying dartos tissue was done to attain additional support in place of the deficient spongiosum layer. The skin was approximated in the midline with interrupted polyglactin 5.0 rapid suture. Recovery was uneventful. Dressing was removed on Day 7 (figure 2E) and catheter on Day 10. Postoperatively, the initial complaint of the child of penile shaft swelling during micturition disappeared and so did the post-void dribbling (figure 2F).

CM usually do not have a true distal anatomical obstruction and hence differ from congenital urethral diverticula which have narrow orifices causing distal obstruction. CM is associated with abdominal wall defects like prune belly syndrome and other urogenital tract anomalies in nearly 85% of the cases.2 Scaphoid variety has the absence of hypoplastic spongiosum layer. Nesbitt proposed the surgery for CM.3 Our technique ensures a multi-layered, single-staged repair by providing an extra layer of double-breasted dartos tissue. This provides additional support, good cosmesis and probably decreased fistula rate in such cases. This technique is easy to learn and follow, and hence can be practised.
by most surgeons in such cases of CM. An IFT has commonly been used as a urethral stent in hypospadias repair. Similarly, in our case, an IFT served as an atraumatic, cheap and easily available per-urethral stent. Unlike a catheter which may not deflate completely and cause subsequent trauma to the repaired suture line during removal, an IFT could be removed smoothly with utmost ease. Few other authors have reported good results with similar technique of reduction urethroplasty in isolated cases of scaphoid CM. However, the surgical techniques for repair of CM have not yet been standardised because of the small number of cases reported in literature.

**Contributors** The case was admitted under the care of DB. All authors were involved in the diagnosis and management of this case. The child was operated upon by DB and AKJ, and KK has collected the data, envisaged and drafted the manuscript. The revision of the manuscript was done by DB, KK, RP and AKJ. All authors have approved the manuscript for final submission.

**Funding** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent** Guardian consent obtained.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

© BMJ Publishing Group Ltd (unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2018. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

**REFERENCES**