Characteristic imaging findings in pulmonary fat embolism syndrome (FES)
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DESCRIPTION

A 21-year-old male presented to the hospital following a road accident. He was riding a motorcycle when he flung into a roadside drain. On arrival, his Glasgow Coma Scale was 15/15 and vital signs were stable. On examination, there was tenderness and deformity of the left thigh. The diagnosis of closed comminuted fractures of the left femur was confirmed following plain radiography. Chest radiograph was normal (figure 1). He was admitted and planned for interlocking nail (ILN) insertion at the left femur. Two days after admission, his blood oxygen saturation (SpO2) became low at 92% despite 3 L of oxygen. He was febrile and tachycardic. On day 3, he developed chest pain, palpitations and tachypnoea. Oxygen support was escalated to intubation and mechanical ventilation. Chest radiograph then showed diffuse air space opacities bilaterally (figure 1). CT pulmonary angiogram showed diffuse ground-glass opacities and consolidations in both lung fields with relative sparing of anterior segments of both upper lobes (figure 2). Multiple ill-defined nodules (<5 mm) were seen at the right upper lobe (figure 2). There were also small filling defects within the left lower lobe pulmonary artery. He was diagnosed to have fat embolism syndrome (FES) and was given supportive treatment at the intensive care unit for 5 days. His lung conditions improved and he was discharged from the hospital uneventfully following ILN insertion.

Fat embolism is defined by the presence of fat globules in the pulmonary circulation. FES refers to clinical syndrome that follows an identifiable insult which releases fat into the circulation, resulting in pulmonary and systemic symptoms.1

While fat embolism occurs in >90% of patients with traumatic skeletal injuries, FES occurs in only 3–4%.2 Pulmonary manifestations are the most common but variable combination of pulmonary, cerebral and cutaneous symptoms can happen. Symptoms typically appear 12–72 hours after a traumatic event, before which there are no preceding clinical or radiographic abnormalities.1–3 The pathophysiology of FES is unknown, but there are two widely accepted theories. The first is mechanical obstruction and biochemical reaction. The latter could explain the typical delay of FES symptoms up to 72 hours.

Radiographic appearance remains normal in milder cases.2 Generally however, chest radiography findings are not dissimilar to acute respiratory distress syndrome from other causes and of pulmonary, cerebral and cutaneous symptoms. The latter could explain the typical delay of FES symptoms up to 72 hours.

Learning points

► Fat embolism syndrome (FES) should be suspected if there are acute pulmonary, cerebral or cutaneous symptoms 12–72 hours following traumatic skeletal injuries.

► Two widely accepted pathophysiologies of FES are mechanical obstruction and biochemical reaction.

► Findings on chest radiography are indistinguishable from acute respiratory distress syndrome due to other causes. Appropriate history and absence of cardiogenic pulmonary oedema will aid in the diagnosis.

► CT scan would show multiple areas of consolidation, ground-glass opacities and small nodules.
consist of patchy or diffuse opacities in both lungs.\(^2\)\(^3\) Interstitial and nodular opacities may also be observed.\(^3\) History of long bone fractures along with absence of cardiogenic pulmonary oedema will aid in the diagnosis.\(^3\)

CT scan shows multiple areas of consolidation, ground-glass opacities and small (2–10 mm) nodules. These are predominantly seen in the upper lobes, whereas gravity-dependent opacities are predominantly seen in the lower lobes.\(^2\) The nodules may represent inflamed intrapulmonary lymph nodes. Intravascular filling defects are less often described.

Treatment is largely supportive. This involves fluid resuscitation, oxygenation or mechanical ventilation. Symptoms are often transient with good prognosis (mortality <1.2%). Complete recovery is expected with adequate supportive care.\(^3\)
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