Unilateral erythema nodosum: atypical presentation in paediatrics
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DESCRIPTION
A previously healthy 12-year-old boy was observed in the emergency department due to pain and erythaema in the left shin for the past 2 weeks. He was discharged with flucloxacillin for cellulitis. One week later, he returned with oedema and erythaema of the left shin, with palpable nodules and purple discolouration (figure 1). The right leg was normal. Oropharyngeal hyperaemia was observed.

Complementary study revealed erythrocyte sedimentation rate of 12 mm/hour and antistreptolysin O titre was 985 UI/mL (normal range 0–408 UI/mL). C reactive protein was <2.90 mg/dL; autoimmunity study, infectious serologies (hepatitis B virus and Epstein-Barr virus, EBV, Mycoplasma pneumoniae and Salmonella spp.) rapid strep test and Mantoux test were negative. Ultrasound showed subcutaneous oedema. Biopsy revealed septal panniculitis, compatible with erythaema nodosum (figure 2). He was discharged.

Four weeks later, there was resolution of nodules and oedema of left shin, which showed only minor discolouration, without atrophy or scarring (figure 3).

Erythema nodosum is the most common presentation of panniculitis. This disease is rare among children, and its peak incidence occurs in the third decade. We found no descriptions of unilateral erythema nodosum in children. Different stimuli cause inflammation of subcutaneous fat. Usually, no underlying cause is identifiable, but systemic inflammatory diseases, infectious diseases, neoplasms and drug reactions should be excluded. The most common provoking insult in children is beta-haemolytic Streptococcus. Diagnosis is clinical: bilateral erythematous nodules, frequently in the shins, that evolve in the course of 4–8 weeks with complete resolution. When the diagnostic criteria are not fulfilled, biopsy must be performed to clarify doubtful cases.

Figure 1 Erythaema, oedema and nodules in the left shin.

Figure 2 Incisional biopsy. H&E ×40. Septal panniculitis.

Figure 3 Re-evaluation 4 weeks after discharge.
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