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SUMMARY

Pseudocoarctation is an unusual anomaly mirroring true coarctation. Congenital kinking or pseudocoarctation of aorta was never a benign condition. Although surgical repair should be suggested for all symptomatic individuals. Regular follow-up is obligatory for all asymptomatic patients deprived of linked anomalies. We suggest CT-aortogram as a non-invasive imaging modality for the definitive diagnosis of pseudocoarctation.

BACKGROUND

Pseudocoarctation of the aortic arch is an infrequent congenital anomaly which can be inferred as an anomalous elongation of the aorta in superior mediastinum and may be misguided for true coarctation of aorta or superior mediastinal malignancy. It is characterised by kinking or buckling of the descending aorta at the level of the ligamentum arteriosum lacking a pressure gradient across the lesion.1 2 This case was diagnosed with second-degree uterovaginal prolapse, cystocele and rectocele. The patient was referred to us for routine cardiac evaluation which uneventfully turned out to be a pseudocoarctation. We report here an unusual presentation of pseudocoarctation of the aorta.
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Figure 3  Aortic angiogram.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 39-year-old woman presented with second-degree uterovaginal prolapse, cystocele and rectocele to the department of gynaecology in our institution. She was referred to us for preanesthetic cardiac evaluation.

Physical examination revealed symmetrical blood pressure of 130/80 mm Hg in both arms and a pulse delay of 160 ms at radio-femoral arteries. So there was a suspicion of coarctation of the aorta which warranted further investigations.

Echocardiogram showed normal left ventricle ejection fraction and normal aortic valve structure without any pressure gradients. X-ray chest disclosed a twofold shadow in the aortic arch representing number ‘3’ sign (figure 1). CT aortogram of the thorax further defined a lengthened aortic arch, luminal tapering distal to the left subclavian artery, poststenotic dilatation and pseudo-3 pattern with measurements of aortic root—27.2 mm; ascending aorta—34.8 mm; kinking segment—14.3 mm; descending aorta—37.6 mm (figure 2). No rib notchting or collateral circulation was noted, coherent with aortic pseudocoarctation. Coronary angiogram revealed the division past left common carotid up to left subclavian artery is lengthened and tortuous with kinking, and no pressure gradients was noted across the kinking segment (figure 3).

INVESTIGATIONS

CT-aortogram.

DISCUSSION

Pseudocoarctation of aorta is an unusual congenital elongation and kinking of the aorta, without significant pressure gradient between extremities or increased collateral circulation, differentiating it from true coarctation. Its precise aetiology is not well acknowledged. A theory stated as embryological origin in a failure of compression of the third through the seventh segments of the dorsal aortic roots and the fourth arch segment. Gay and Young proposed the diagnostic criteria and included a peculiar chest X-ray (left superior mediastinal shadow, in our case consistent to the pseudo-3 sign), lack of pressure variance between the upper and lower extremities (<25 mm Hg), no proof of increased collateral circulation (eg, rib notching).

No other congenital anomalies such as patent ductus arteriosus, ventricular septal defect, bicuspid aortic valve, aneurysm of the Valsalva sinuses and corrected transposition.

Pseudocoarctation is mostly asymptomatic and, as in our case, can be predictable on X-ray chest posteroanterior view. Recognising this variant is crucial as management is conservative. In our patient, good clinical skills, with meticulous interpretation of investigations, finalised the diagnosis of congenital kinking of aorta, and she was discharged after pelvic floor repair with appropriate medical treatment and she is in regular follow-up.
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