CASE REPORT

Effect of autologous adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cell therapy in the treatment of a post-traumatic chondral defect of the knee

Julien Freitag,1,2,3 Douglas Li,4 James Wickham,2 Kiran Shah,3 Abi Tenen3,5

SUMMARY
Isolated chondral defects have a limited capacity to heal and predispose to the development of osteoarthritis. Current surgical management can be unpredictable in outcome. Improved understanding of the action of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) has seen renewed interest in their role in cartilage repair. A 26-year-old athlete presented with a post-traumatic, isolated patella chondral defect. The patient underwent an arthroscopy with removal of a chondral loose body. After failure to symptomatically improve, 12 months following surgery, the patient received intra-articular autologous adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cell (ADMSC) therapy.

BACKGROUND
The management of post-traumatic isolated chondral defects poses a particular challenge to treating clinicians. It is recognised that articular cartilage has a limited capacity to heal.1 2 Furthermore, several studies have shown that isolated defects predispose a patient to later development of progressive and generalised degenerative osteoarthritis.1 4

The chosen management path for isolated chondral defects can be influenced by factors including patient age, partial-thickness or full-thickness chondral loss and the site of the lesion. Given the concern regarding early progression to osteoarthritis, surgical interventions are often considered. These can include, but are not limited to, arthroscopic debridement, microfracture/osteoplasty and, where appropriate, techniques such as autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI) or matrix-induced autologous chondrocyte implantation (MACI).

Microfracture, otherwise known as osteoplasty, is a commonly used and accepted technique whereby holes are drilled or punched through the subchondral plate at the site of full-thickness cartilage loss and is designed to stimulate a healing response. It has been postulated that this method encourages the subsequent migration of bone marrow pluripotent stem cells to the area of injury creating an environment amenable to healing.2

Unfortunately while studies have successfully shown cartilaginous response at the site of microfracture, subsequent histological analysis has indicated type I fibrocartilage formation rather than hyaline cartilage.8 9 Additional studies have shown only fair to poor clinical outcome in long-term follow-up.5 Inadequate defect filling and reduced load-bearing properties of fibrocartilage have been postulated as the reasoning behind disappointing long-term outcome results. Additional understanding of the relative paucity of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) within bone marrow—as little as 0.001% in bone marrow aspirates—may also explain the inability to form hyaline-like cartilage.9 10

Chondrocyte implantation techniques such as ACI and MACI have shown encouraging results in the management of isolated chondral defects. Both preclinical and clinical trials have indicated hyaline-like cartilage regrowth, and correspondingly long-term clinical trials have observed encouraging durability in structure and patient outcome.11–15

The application of such interventions unfortunately remains limited due to the need to do additional surgery in harvesting the donor autograft cartilage, subsequent donor site morbidity and the observed poor integration of the grafted defect with the surrounding cartilage.16 The site of chondral defect has also influenced observed outcome. While reliable results are achieved with lesions involving the medial or lateral femoral condyle, lesions of the patellofemoral joint are not associated with such reproducible results.17

Given an improved understanding of the pathology of chondral defects, their inherent inability to heal and the limitations of current surgical management techniques, there has been renewed focus in the area of regenerative medicine techniques including MSCs. MSCs have the capacity to differentiate along a mesodermal cell lineage including adipocytes, osteoblasts and chondrocytes.18–20 In vitro studies have also shown that several growth factors—including transforming growth factor beta 1, insulin-like growth factor 1 and bone morphogenic proteins—can act synergistically to stimulate MSCs towards chondrocytes.21 Importantly, MSC-derived chondrocytes exhibit the same expression of type II collagen as mature adult chondrocytes.21

Whilst initial interest in the potential role of MSCs in joint repair was based on the evidence of their ability to differentiate into both cartilage and bone, it is now apparent that this may not be their primary path of action. Rather, it is anticipated that their ability to influence and stimulate healing may, in fact, be through paracrine mechanisms involving both immune-modulatory and trophic pathways.22–24
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MSCs are observed to directly modulate the inflammatory response by the suppression of inflammatory T-cell proliferation and inhibition of monocyte and myeloid dendritic cell maturation. The acknowledged cascade of inflammatory pathways mediated by cytokines including interleukin 1, tumour necrosis factor alpha and matrix metalloproteinases indicates the possible role that MSCs may have in inhibiting the cytokine lead degradation of cartilage.

In addition, MSC secretion of essential reparative cytokines, including transforming growth factor beta, vascular endothelial growth factor and epidermal growth factor, may be responsible for a trophic effect resulting in local tissue repair. This trophic role, rather than direct chondrocyte transformation, is supported by the observation that in vitro coculture of MSCs with chondrocytes results in upregulation of collagen matrix formation, despite only minor chondrogenic differentiation of MSCs.

Preclinical animal trials assessing chondral defect repair have indicated successful results using MSC-impregnated scaffolds. Histological analysis has also confirmed hyaline-like cartilage repair in chondral defects treated by microfracture in combination with MSC therapy. Furthermore, Lee and colleagues, in a surgically induced chondral defect porcine model, showed improved cartilage regeneration with the use of intra-articular injections of bone marrow-derived MSCs.

Within the human literature, there is supportive evidence of the potential efficacy of MSC in chondral defect management, though this has been limited to studies involving surgical implantation or cointervention. The use of MSC-impregnated scaffolds has resulted in both type II hyaline cartilage formation and equivalent results in direct comparison to ACI techniques. Histological and MRI analysis of chondral defects following arthroscopic subchondral drilling (a variant of microfracture) in combination with MSC therapy indicated statistically significant improvement in cartilage quality when compared with subchondral drilling without MSC therapy. The authors of this case report are involved in a current randomised controlled trial (RCT) on the use of MSCs in combination with microfracture in isolated chondral defects of the medial and lateral femoral condyle.

The preclinical and clinical investigation of the possible role of MSCs in the treatment of musculoskeletal conditions including chondral defects continues to grow. The National Institute of Health lists 652 current trials in the area of MSCs.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

A 26-year-old national representative in karate presented with patellofemoral pain following a competition-related traumatic patella dislocation. The patient had manually reduced the patella. She was otherwise well and worked as a personal trainer.

Initial examination indicated an effusion, a positive patella apprehension test, reduced range in motion (5°–135°) and stability on cruciate and collateral ligament testing. Subsequent MRI indicated features of previous patella dislocation with subchondral boney oedema of the patella and lateral femoral condyle and disruption of the medial patellofemoral ligament. The medial facet of the patella had evidence of a full-thickness chondral defect measuring 10 mm in width with a loose chondral body in the medial femoral gutter of the knee joint (figures 1 and 2).

The patient underwent arthroscopic surgery where the 10 mm × 10 mm medial patella facet defect was noted and chondroplasty was performed to unstable areas of chondral surface.
motion exercises and rehabilitation were instructed and guided by a treating physiotherapist.

Despite progressive and directed rehabilitation, the patient had persistent patellofemoral discomfort 9 months following her injury. She was unable to return to karate and was significantly limited in her occupation as a personal trainer. After consultation with a sports physician, the patient trialled a course of autologous platelet-rich plasma with no observed symptomatic improvement after completing a course of three injections. Repeat MRI indicated a persistent patella chondral defect measuring 12 mm at its widest diameter (figure 3).

After careful consideration, and due to persistent pain and dysfunction 12 months following her initial injury, the patient underwent a repeat arthroscopy with the intention of arthroscopic microfracture to the area of full-thickness chondral loss with planned postoperative autologous adipose-derived MSC (ADMSC) therapy. At the time of arthroscopy, a thin layer of fibrocartilage was noted at the base of the chondral defect and a decision was made not to disrupt this layer with microfracture (figure 4). The patient later underwent intra-articular injections of autologous ADMSCs.

Whilst alternative treatment using MACI was considered, it was felt that due to factors including donor site morbidity, difficulty of surgery and chondral defect site, that MACI would be trialled only if ADMSC therapy failed.

The patient received written information regarding the use of MSC therapy, including the documented relative risks. Formal written consent was completed prior to commencement of treatment.

INVESTIGATIONS
See the Case presentation section.

TREATMENT

Autologous adipose-derived MSC preparation

Source of MSCs

Adipose tissue was chosen as a source of autologous MSCs due to the observed comparative chondrogenic ability of adipose versus bone marrow-derived MSCs, the relative abundance of MSCs within adipose tissue and the ease of harvest.9 42 While bone marrow aspirate was considered as an alternative cell source, it has a paucity of MSCs—comprising 0.001%–0.02% of the mono-nucleated cell population, in comparison to ~1%–7% of the mono-nucleated cell population within adipose tissue.9 10

Harvest procedure

The patient underwent an abdominal lipoharvest procedure. A single dose of prophylactic antibiotics was administered prior to commencement of the lipoharvest in accordance with accepted routine clinical practice.43

Tumescent fluid comprising 30 mL of 2% lignocaine, 1 mL of 1:1000 epinephrine and 1 mL of 8.4% bicarbonate suspended in normal saline (a total of 1000 mL) was prepared. Three hundred millilitres of this preparation was infiltrated to the abdominal subcutaneous fat plane using a blunt tumescence cannula. Using a 3 mm lipo-aspirate cannula, 65 mL of lipoaspirate (adipose tissue and tumescent fluid) was aspirated and collected in a sterile single use Shippert Tissu-Trans Collection filter (Shippert Medical, Centennial, Colorado, USA). The lipoaspirate was transferred directly to a clean room laboratory from the theatre via a pass through hatch with an air lock system.

Isolation and expansion of MSCs

Isolation and expansion of autologous ADMSCs was undertaken using techniques that have been previously published.44 Processing of the lipoaspirate was performed under strict sterile conditions within a Biological Safety Cabinet Class II and within
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Stromal vascular fraction (SVF) was isolated from the harvested adipose tissue using enzymatic digestion and centrifugation. The SVF was then culture purified under hypoxic conditions within standard growth media containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (HyClone—GE Healthcare, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Non-adherent cells were removed by washing with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and adherent cells further cultured until 80% confluency. Cells were harvested at passage 0 (P0) and further plated to tissue culture flasks and expanded up to passage 2 (P2). At completion of P2, cells were harvested, washed three times to remove FBS and cryopreserved in clinical-grade qualified MSC cryoprotectant media using a validated control rate freezing method of 1°C/min. The cryovials were stored in liquid nitrogen until use.

Characterisation and sterility testing
Characterisation of the isolated cell population was confirmed using standards established by the International Society of Cellular Therapy. Phenotypic analysis using a flow cytometry Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) technique was performed independently at Monash University. Using fluorescent-labelled antibodies, a sample of the isolated cell population was assessed for the presence of MSC surface markers CD90, CD73 and CD105 and absence of haematopoietic surface markers CD14, CD19, CD34 and CD45 (figure 5 and table 1).

Table 1 Flow cytometry fluorescence-activated cell sorting surface marker analysis showing results consistent with mesenchymal stem cells as per the International Society of Cellular Therapy guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histograms—positive markers</th>
<th>Histograms—negative markers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD90+ve</td>
<td>CD14+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD73+ve</td>
<td>CD19+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD105+ve</td>
<td>CD34+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>99.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>99.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5 Flow cytometry dot-plot and histogram surface marker analysis. The pink and purple histograms represent isotope control and the tested cell sample, respectively. The cell population was positive for CD90, CD73 and CD105 and negative for CD14, CD19, CD34 and CD45 surface markers. Dot blot analysis indicated that 90.09% of cells were positive for CD90 and CD105, whereas 99.3% of cells were positive for CD90 and CD73. Furthermore, only 0.1% of cells expressed CD19 and CD14 surface markers and similarly 0.2% expressed both CD45 and CD34.

Injection method
Prior to injection, the stored cells were thawed using a sterile water bath with the cryoprotectant media separated from the stem cells using both centrifugation and washing in chilled PBS. The cell pellet was resuspended in injectable clinical-grade isotonic (0.9%) normal saline to a total of 3 mL. Cell number and viability was confirmed using a Muse Cell Analyzer (Merck Millipore, Billerica, Massachusetts, USA). The MSC preparation was injected within 30 min of thawing.

The patient received intra-articular ADMSC therapy at 0 and 6 months.

At commencement of therapy, the patient received a total of 105 million ADMSCs (viability 94%). The patient received a second injection of 112 million ADMSCs (viability 97.8%) at 6 months.

At both times of injection, the patient’s knee was prepped using standard sterile procedural protocols. Two millilitres of 1% lignocaine was infiltrated to the subcutaneous tissue at the site of the injection. Using ultrasound guidance and under aseptic conditions, the autologous ADMSCs suspended within 3 mL of normal saline was injected into the intra-articular knee space.

Postinjection rehabilitation
The patient was given postinjection rehabilitation guidelines including range of motion and muscle activation exercises. They were allowed to weight bear as tolerated. A formal strengthening programme was commenced under physiotherapist supervision.

Analysis method and outcome measures
The patient completed validated outcome questionnaires using the software programme Clinical Intelligence (Clinical Intelligence, Melbourne, Australia). Outcome measures were completed at baseline, 1, 3, 6, 9 and 12 months. These measures included:

- The Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS). This validated score consists of five subscales: pain, symptoms, function in daily living, function in sport and recreation and knee-related quality of life. Standardised answers...

- The International Society of Cellular Therapy guidelines...
to questions are given using a 5-point Likert scale, with each question assigned a score of 0–4. A score is calculated for each subscale with 100 indicating no symptoms and 0 indicating maximum symptoms.48

- The Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index (WOMAC Index 3.0). This score is a validated quality of life score and quantitatively assesses the pain, stiffness and physical function in patients with symptomatic osteoarthritis.49
- The Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS). The patient is asked to rate their knee pain intensity over the previous week on a scale of 0–10. The NPRS has been validated for use in people with knee osteoarthritis.50

Structural outcome was assessed using MRI and was performed prior to the commencement of therapy and again at 12 months follow-up. The cartilage defect was described using semiquantitative measures defined by a modified International Cartilage Repair Society (ICRS) score51:

- Grade 0: normal cartilage
- Grade 1: focal blistering and intracartilaginous low-signal intensity area with an intact surface and bottom
- Grade 2: irregularities on the surface or bottom and loss of thickness of less than 50%
- Grade 3: deep ulceration with loss of thickness of more than 50%
- Grade 4: full-thickness cartilage wear with exposure of subchondral bone.

Cartilage quality was assessed using the validated non-invasive MRI technique of T2 relaxation time cartilage mapping.52 Previous publications have shown that increased cartilage water content reproducibly alters T2 relaxation time and is an indicator of abnormal cartilage pathology.53

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

Pain and functional outcome
Following commencement of ADMSC therapy, the patient experienced progressive improvement in pain with her NPRS improving from 8 at baseline to 2 within 3 months. This improvement remained consistent, although it had increased to 3 at conclusion of follow-up (figure 6).

Quality of life as recorded by the Global WOMAC score consistently improved with scores increasing from 64 at baseline to 92 at 12 months—a total improvement of 43% (figure 7).

Structural outcome
Structural follow-up using MRI showed significant improvement with complete filling of the chondral defect. The modified ICRS score, improved from Grade 3 to Grade 0 with smooth integration between the new cartilage and the surrounding native cartilage (figure 9).

T2-mapping techniques showed consistent values <40 through the deep and superficial areas of the regenerated tissue. This indicated normal hyaline-like cartilage morphology (figure 10).

Complications and adverse events
There were no significant complications documented during the course of treatment. The patient experienced mild bruising at the site of abdominal liposuction, which resolved within 4 weeks. Furthermore, the patient experienced pain and swelling of the knee following both the 0-month and 6-month intra-articular ADMSC injections. These episodes were self-limiting.
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lasted 1 week and required only simple analgesia. No serious adverse events were observed.

DISCUSSION
This case study shows the successful management of a post-traumatic chondral defect using intra-articular autologous ADMSC therapy. The patient had previously failed to have symptomatic improvement following a course of autologous platelet-rich plasma.

Current techniques for the treatment of isolated chondral defects include arthroscopic microfracture and ACI. Microfracture is limited due to observed fibrocartilage formation and poor long-term outcome. ACI techniques, while having noted reasonable long-term success, are limited by issues including surgical difficulty, donor site morbidity, poor integration with surrounding tissue and chondrocyte hypertrophy. Furthermore, the location of this patient’s chondral defect, the medial facet of the patella, has not been associated with reproducible improvement following ACI intervention.

The initial intention was to treat the patient using a combined microfracture and MSC therapy protocol similar to that which has previously been published and which the authors are conducting a current RCT. Surprisingly, at the time of arthroscopy, there was an observed thin layer of fibrocartilage at the base of the chondral defect and it was chosen not to disrupt this area with microfracture.

Following commencement of ADMSC therapy, quantitative pain and functional outcome assessment using validated questionnaires all showed consistent improvement across the course of follow-up. This improvement was mirrored by structural improvements observed on MRI with complete fill of the chondral defect and smooth integration with the surrounding native cartilage. Complications not uncommon to current surgical management techniques such as ACI/MACI or microfracture—including poor tissue integration, tissue overgrowth and osseous formation—were not observed.

MRI T2-mapping techniques indicated normal hyaline-like cartilage regeneration with values similar to native cartilage. Past research has confirmed a significant correlation between comparable T2-mapping values of repair tissue and native cartilage and long-term positive outcome. The apparent robust chondrogenesis seen with ADMSCs in this case study is in contrast to prior in vitro analysis, which has indicated greater cartilaginous tissue deposition associated with bone marrow-derived MSCs over ADMSCs. While it is accepted that an arthroscopic biopsy would have provided definitive proof of cartilage histopathology, this invasive investigation was not felt to be justifiable due to the significant improvement in the patient’s symptoms.

No serious adverse events were recorded during the follow-up period of 12 months. A self-limited flare up in pain was noted and is consistent with observations made in past clinical studies and suggests that intra-articular MSC injections are well tolerated.

Current management of isolated chondral defects includes surgical interventions that are associated with patient comorbidity (ie, chondral biopsy), complications and unpredictable long-term outcome. Prior published reparative methods involving MSCs have used similar surgical cointerventions (microfracture) or transplantation techniques similar to ACI/MACI, whereby chondrocytes are replaced with MSCs. While past preclinical studies have indicated the ability of intra-articular injections to assist in cartilage healing, this is the first documented clinical case report of the successful use of this minimally invasive technique in the management of isolated chondral defects.

Whether MSCs directly differentiate into chondrocytes and rebuild cartilage is yet to be confirmed. Past studies have failed to consistently show integration of MSCs within articular cartilage.
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and this suggests that the action of MSCs may be more through cell
to-cell contact and paracrine mechanisms.\textsuperscript{24} 31 97 5 The observed
limited layer of fibrocartilage at site of injury at arthroscopy and later robust cartilage regrowth after MSC therapy indicates the
ability of MSCs to manipulate and amplify a reparative pathway.

It should be recognised that conclusions from this case are
limited by the nature of a singular case study and they may not be
reflected in a more vigorous and expansive controlled trial.
Furthermore, the lesion was subacute with the traumatic chon-
dral initial injury 12 months prior. Whether intra-articular MSC
injections at the time of injury result in the same response to
defects at other locations within the knee respond similarly is yet
to be determined.

In this limited case study, the use of autologous ADMSC
intra-articular injections, following a traumatic chondral defect
of the patella, resulted in significant pain and functional improve-
ments and importantly complete regeneration of hyaline-like
cartilage within the defect. This is an extremely encouraging
finding and unique to this study. The procedure was well toler-
ated with no serious adverse events recorded. This offers an
exciting possibility in the minimally invasive management of
post-traumatic chondral lesions without the observed limitations
associated with current surgical management options including
microfracture or ACI/MACI techniques.

This case study demonstrates the possible benefits of MSC
therapy in musculoskeletal conditions and highlights the impor-
tance of further well-structured research in this area.

Learning points

\begin{itemize}
  \item Post-traumatic chondral defects predispose to the
development of generalised degenerative osteoarthritis.
  \item Current surgical methods for the treatment of isolated
chondral defects are limited by surgical difficulty, patient
morbidity and inconsistent long-term outcome.
  \item Mesenchymal stem cell therapy may offer an exciting
potential in the treatment of chondropathology with
observed structural regeneration in initial clinical
translational research.
\end{itemize}
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