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SUMMARY

We present a patient with known episodic cluster headache, who presented with cluster-like headache in the course of internal carotid artery dissection (ICAD) and discuss possible pathophysiological links between the two diseases. It is well known that cluster-like headache could be the presenting symptom of ICAD. However, ICAD occurring in a patient with a known episodic cluster headache was only once previously described. In the end of the manuscript, we propose red flags to help clinicians differentiate between primary cluster headache and cluster-like attacks masking underlying ICAD. Finally, we raise the question whether at least some proportion of those patients with cluster headache and Horner syndrome previously classified as a primary headache disorder might have been secondary cases to ICAD.

BACKGROUND

Headache and cervical pain are reported in 68% and 39%, respectively, in patients with internal carotid artery dissection (ICAD) and in 65% and 66% in patients with vertebral artery dissection. These headaches are usually ipsilateral and have no specific characteristics. Migrainous features may be present. Pain intensity in ICAD may range from mild to severe. Several cases of ICAD reported in the literature presented as a cluster-like headache. ICAD complicating pre-existing episodic cluster headache was only once reported and represents a diagnostic dilemma. Carotid dissections may be missed in ultrasound examination, and the MRI sequences needed to discover ICAD (MR angiography, T1 axial cervical MRI with fat saturation) are usually not included in routine MRI examinations. Headache secondary to ICAD may even respond very well to sumatriptan, posing another diagnostic challenge. Headache is the most frequently reported symptom preceding the occurrence of cerebrovascular strokes in patients with ICAD. We raise the question whether cluster-like headache in ICAD is more common than previously thought.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 58-year-old-man with a 20-year history of episodic cluster headache presented in our neurological emergency room in December 2015 after an acute episode of severe neck pain. He had been pain free for several years until March 2015 when his headache recurred but responded well to a 3-week course of verapamil 240 mg/day. These recurrent headaches would occur on the left frontal side and were associated with lacrimation and redness of the eye as well as congestion of the nose. The patient described the pain as ‘knife stabbing’. During the last 6 months, he had suffered from cracking noises in the neck. Three months ago, he had visited an orthopaedist who performed a twisting manoeuvre of his neck. Furthermore, the patient reported practising stretching manoeuvres of his neck on his own to relieve his neck tension. On presentation in our hospital in December 2015, the patient reported an episode of acute severe neck pain radiating to the right frontal region, with an intensity of 10/10 on a numeric rating scale, that had already been present for 8 hours. The patient reported red eyes on both sides (right>left), lacrimation on the right as well as right nasal congestion. Nausea, vomiting, photophobia, phonophobia or urgency to move were all denied. The patient had tried different analgesics including acetyl salicylic acid, ibuprofen, sumatriptan nasal spray as well as multiple O2 inhalations without effect. Finally, the pain remitted after admission to the ward. The patient reported to smoke 10 cigarettes per day and to drink alcohol rarely. Neurological examination was unremarkable except for minimal ptosis and miosis on the right (figure 1).

INVESTIGATIONS

Laboratory values including cerebrospinal fluid examination were normal. Also, extracranial and transcranial duplex sonography gave normal results. Cranial MRI and MRA revealed a haematoma in the wall of the right internal carotid artery in the distal part of the extracranial segment reaching to the petrous segment (figures 2 and 3).
Unusual association of diseases/symptoms

Figure 2  Time of flight MR angiography showing stenosis (arrows) of the extracranial internal carotid artery up to the petrous segment.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

Based on the clinical examination without taking the neuroimaging into consideration, the differential diagnosis includes all causes of symptomatic cluster headache with an underlying structural lesion, which are listed below:

- arterial aneurysm
- arteriovenous malformation-/cavernous haemangioma
- subclavian steal syndrome
- carotid artery thrombosis
- cerebral venous thrombosis
- vertebral artery dissection
- pituitary tumours
- meningioma
- glioblastoma multiforme
- haemangiopericytoma
- nasopharynx carcinoma
- angiomylipoma
- epidermoid tumour
- inflammatory myofibroblastic tumour
- lipoma
- arachnoid cyst
- sinusitis
- aspergilloma
- granulomatous pituitary involvement
- orbital pseudotumour
- cervical spinal epidural abscess
- multiple sclerosis
- foreign body in the maxillary sinus

- cervical syringomyelia and Arnold-Chiari malformation
- sarcoidosis.

TREATMENT

The patient was placed on antiplatelet treatment with acetyl salicylic acid 100 mg/day.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

On 6 months follow-up, the patient was almost pain free and Horner syndrome had disappeared. The MRI and MR angiography examinations revealed regression of the pre-existing haematoma. After the follow-up visit, we recommended the discontinuation of acetyl salicylic acid.

DISCUSSION

Cluster headache attacks are pathognomonic with excruciating unilateral pain and the typical ipsilateral autonomic manifestations. However, symptomatic cluster headache mimics exist and need to be diagnosed. Horner syndrome occurs in around 22% of patients with cluster headache. On the other hand, Horner syndrome is reported to occur in 36%–58% of patients with ICAD.

Horner syndrome occurs if the sympathetic pathway is interrupted along its long course from the hypothalamus to the oculosympathetic fibres. The first-order neurons originate from the hypothalamus and travel down the brainstem ipsilaterally to terminate at the cilio-ocular plexus at the level of C8-T2. The second-order neurons travel along the apex of the lung and end at the superior cervical ganglion at the carotid bifurcation. From the superior cervical ganglion, the third-order neurons travel along the carotid sheath to constitute the oculosympathetic fibres, which supply the ciliary muscle and the levator palpebrae superioris muscle.

It is assumed that activation of the postganglionic parasympathetic fibres occurs during the pain phase of cluster headache. These fibres emerge from the sphenopalatine ganglion and supply the lacrimal gland, meningeal sheaths and cranial blood vessels. It has been proposed that stretching of the parasympathetic plexus in the internal carotid sheath as a result of parasympathetic vasodilatation would lead to failure of the oculosympathetic pathway and hence induce Horner syndrome. We speculate that excessive vasodilatation of the vasa vasorum and vasa nervorum, especially in the narrow carotid canal, might lead to extravasation and compression necrosis in the adventitia of the internal carotid artery resulting in ICAD. Thus, it might be speculated that processes inherent to cluster headache pathophysiology induce carotid dissection. This speculation is supported by the fact that in some cases, ICAD was diagnosed after a prolonged attack of headache occurring on top of classical new onset cluster headaches that had persisted for 2–4 weeks.

We propose that cluster headache might occur as a primary event predisposing the wall of the ICA to the development of ICAD, either via the above-mentioned pathway or through a yet unknown pathophysiological mechanism. Furthermore, some authors found that in cluster headache, the sympathetic fibres in the cavernous sinus or carotid canal are especially affected. Interestingly, the segment of the carotid artery involved in our case as well as in the previous cases described in the literature with cluster headache, Horner syndrome and ICAD was the distal segment of the extracranial internal carotid artery or the transitional zone between the extracranial and intracranial internal carotid artery.

A possible explanation is the...
presence of the oculosympathetic fibres around this segment which could be easily compromised in the carotid canal. It is to be stressed that this segment is also considered to be the sonographic blind segment and therefore special neuroimaging is warranted to unmask a hidden ICAD. Therefore, the presence of facial sweating because the fibres supplying the facial region could produce incomplete Horner syndrome consisting of ptosis or miosis. Horner syndrome may be a potentially helpful sign pointing to an underlying serious pathology, as it occurs in only one-fifth of the primary cluster headache patients. We raise the question whether at least some proportion of those patients with cluster headache and Horner syndrome previously classified as a primary headache disorder might have been secondary cases to ICAD. Dissection of the internal carotid artery could produce incomplete Horner syndrome consisting of ptosis or miosis without facial sweating because the fibres supplying the facial sweating travel along the external carotid artery. Therefore, the presence of facial sweating would be a reassuring sign. The decision to perform neuroimaging studies including MR angiography and axial MRI neck with fat suppression in every episode of cluster headache has to be made individually.
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