Disseminated histoplasmosis in a patient with HIV diagnosed by simple bedside investigations

Pongsakorn Buraphat,^{1,2} Wannarat Pongpirul,² Patama Suttha²

¹Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand
²Bamrasnaradura Infectious Disease Institute, Nonthaburi, Thailand

Correspondence to

Dr Pongsakorn Buraphat, pongsakorn.bur@mahidol.ac.th

Accepted 5 June 2017

DESCRIPTION

A 41-year-old HIV-infected woman presented with prolonged fever at another hospital. Besides low CD4 count (8 cell/mm³) and pancytopenia, blood cultures, chest radiograph, chest-whole abdominal CT and bone marrow examination were unremarkable. Empirical treatment for tuberculosis was given. One week later, she developed rash and was transferred to our hospital. Physical examination showed multiple pruritic erythematous purplish maculopapular rashes with central necrotic areas predominantly on face, back-chest wall and both forearms (figure 1). Peripheral blood smear (figure 2) and skin scraping (figure 3) revealed multiple intracellular yeast-like organisms suggestive of *Histoplasma capsulatum*. Intravenous amphotericin B was given, followed by oral itraconazole. Her condition improved after 2 weeks of treatment. Antiretroviral medications were initiated 1 month later.

As clinical manifestations of disseminated histoplasmosis are usually non-specific,¹ high suspicion is important. Classical techniques for diagnosis include culture, microscopy and antigen/antibody detection. Culture is a gold standard that requires a significant amount of time so the other types of investigations are required before an empirical treatment is given. Microscopy has about 42% sensitivity² and its accuracy depended

Figure 2 Peripheral blood smear (Wright stain, 1000×) revealed multiple intracellular yeast-like organisms in leucocytes.

on experience of clinicians and laboratory staff. *H. capsulatum* have a long incubation period (2–4 weeks), while duration of microscopy or antigen/antibody detection is short (1–2 hours). Antigen detection in a disseminated disease provides the highest sensitivity (urine, 92%).² Positive yield of

Figure 1 Multiple pruritic erythematous purplish maculopapular rashes with some central necrotic areas predominantly on the (A) face, (B) back-chest wall and (C,D) both forearms.

CrossMark

To cite: Buraphat P, Pongpirul W, Suttha P. *BMJ Case Rep* Published Online First: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/bcr-2017-220587

test in immunocompromised patients will increase due to high burden of organisms (94.6% in AIDS vs 73.3% in immunocompetent).³ Sensitivity of antibody detection is around 71% and decreases in immunocompromised patients because of poor immunity (positive yield 78.9% in AIDS vs 88.9% in non-immunocompromised).³

False-positive result of antigen/antibody detection is possible in patients with other fungal infections or asymptomatic individuals in an endemic area. Specificity of antigen/antibody detection ranges for 85%–96% and 39%–100%, respectively.^{2,3} Skin test has been less commonly performed at bedside because of the cross-reactivity with other fungi and low sensitivity in ill patients.³ In summary, microscopy is a non-invasive test that could be rapidly performed despite low sensitivity. A positive microscopic finding could help to choose the empirical treatment while waiting for a more definitive test result.

Acknowledgements We thank Medical Technologist Reference Laboratory, Bamrasnaradura Infectious Disease Institute for assistance with laboratory processes in this case.

Learning points

- ▶ Disseminated histoplasmosis presents with non-specific signs and symptoms. Awareness of this disease should be emphasised.
- ▶ Despite relatively low sensitivity, a peripheral blood smear is a simple bedside investigation that must be carefully examined in febrile HIV-infected patients with non-specific signs and symptoms.

Figure 3 Skin scraping (Wright stain, 1000×) revealed multiple intracellular yeast-like organisms.

Contributors PB, WP and PS wrote the manuscript. All the authors treated the patient, contributed to the conception of the work, reviewed the manuscript and gave final approval of this article.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Obtained.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

© BMJ Publishing Group Ltd (unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

1. Wongprommek P, Chayakulkeeree M. Clinical Characteristics of Histoplasmosis in Siriraj Hospital. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2016;99:257–61.
2. Guimarães AJ, Nosanchuk JD, Zancopé-Oliveira RM. DIAGNOSIS OF HISTOPLASMOSIS. *Braz J Microbiol* 2006;37:1–13.
3. Hage CA, Ribes JA, Wengenack NL, et al. A multicenter evaluation of tests for diagnosis of histoplasmosis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011;53:448–54.

Copyright 2017 BMJ Publishing Group. All rights reserved. For permission to reuse any of this content visit <http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>.
BMJ Case Report Fellows may re-use this article for personal use and teaching without any further permission.

Become a Fellow of BMJ Case Reports today and you can:

- ▶ Submit as many cases as you like
- ▶ Enjoy fast sympathetic peer review and rapid publication of accepted articles
- ▶ Access all the published articles
- ▶ Re-use any of the published material for personal use and teaching without further permission

For information on Institutional Fellowships contact consortiasales@bmjgroup.com

Visit casereports.bmj.com for more articles like this and to become a Fellow