Duodenal perforation by an inferior vena cava filter
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DESCRIPTION

A woman aged 47 years presented to the emergency department with symptoms of fever, sudden-onset severe stabbing epigastric pain accompanied by nausea and non-bloody vomiting emesis. The patient had a medical history of recurrent abdominal pain and reflux which was treated with proton pump inhibitors. Owing to recurrent deep vein thrombosis and contraindications to anticoagulants, an inferior vena cava (IVC) filter was implanted 20 years prior. CT scan revealed IVC filter with prongs in the duodenum. Oesophagogastroduodenoscopy revealed a metallic foreign body projecting through the posterior wall of the duodenum, into the lumen, with surrounding erythema and oedema with minimal bleeding at the site of perforation (figure 1).

The patient underwent laparotomy and venotomy. The IVC filter was retrieved and subsequent ligation of IVC was performed. Intraoperative findings were suggestive of presence of a large infected phlegmon around the area of IVC. The procedure was complicated by MRSA bacteraemia and was treated with 6 weeks of daptomycin. She returned for follow-up and first postoperative visit on week 8 showed no symptoms of abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting or other symptoms of reflux.

IVC filters are mechanical adjuncts used to prevent pulmonary embolism. They are prescribed to patients with recurrent venous thromboembolism, despite adequate anticoagulation, complication of anticoagulants or inability to maintain/achieve adequate anticoagulation.1 The use of these filters has increased greatly over the past two decades. Potential complications of IVC filters include migration of the filter or its parts, arterial or venous perforation, fracture of the strut or protrusion into surrounding structures such as duodenum, large intestine, aorta, portal veins, diaphragm and the retroperitoneum.2 Although IVC perforation is common, duodenal perforation by an IVC filter is rare and it can present as sudden-onset severe epigastric pain, small bowel obstruction, gastrointestinal haemorrhage or cavaduodenal fistula.1 Indications for open retrieval are bleeding, intestinal obstruction and sepsis.1

IVC filters are safe but can cause clinically significant complications, particularly during periods of extended implantation. Owing to low retrieval rates, there has been an increase in complications; therefore, filters should be removed as early as clinically feasible. Physicians should be aware that IVC filter migration may occur as a late complication, although based on the literature review, they may or may not cause symptoms. Decisions on removal should weigh the likelihood that it is causing symptoms against the risks of surgical removal.

Learning points

- Physicians should have a high clinical suspicion for inferior vena cava (IVC) perforation through the duodenum if a patient with an IVC implant presents with atypical or sudden-onset severe epigastric pain, obstructed gastrointestinal symptoms or gastrointestinal bleed.
- Patients with delayed IVC penetration have non-specific clinical presentation; hence, detailed history and abdominal imaging can lead to a prompt diagnosis.
- Further studies should be performed to investigate the optimal timing of removal of IVC filters weighing the risks of long-term placement against the risks of potentially removing it too early.
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Figure 1 Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy revealing metallic struts projecting through the posterior wall of the duodenum, into the lumen, with surrounding erythema and oedema.
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