Isolated right ventricular infarction following aortic valve replacement
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DESCRIPTION

A woman aged 64 years, with a history of hypertension, symptomatic severe aortic stenosis and normal coronary arteries (figure 1), was submitted to aortic valve replacement with bioprosthesis Perimount Magna 21 mm and enlargement of the aortic root. Apart from atrial fibrillation, no electrocardiographic changes occurred in the postoperative period. She was discharged 7 days after surgery taking warfarin. Fifteen days later, she was readmitted in emergency department with oppressive chest pain radiating to back, hypotension, sweating and cold limbs. The 12-lead ECG showed junctional rhythm and mild ST segment elevation in V1–V3 leads, ST segment depression in inferolateral leads and an unequivocal ST segment elevation in right leads (figure 2A, B). Transthoracic echocardiogram disclosed dilation of right chambers, severe right ventricular dysfunction with akinesia of free wall and preserved left systolic function. On the blood sample, mild anaemia, hyperlactacidemia, INR 2.1 and normal values of troponin were noticed. Chest CT angiogram excluded aortic dissection and pulmonary artery embolism, evidence of right ventricle dilation (figure 2C). During the evaluation, she suffered two episodes of cardiac arrest, both reversed. She was intubated and supported with transvenous cardiac pacemaker, due to refractory bradycardia. Coronary angiogram revealed total occlusion of the right coronary artery at the ostium level, being impossible to advance the guide wire into this vessel (figure 2D, E). She was immediately transferred to cardiac surgery operating theatre with an intra-aortic balloon pump, high dose of inamergic and volume support. An emergent coronary bypass graft surgery with a saphenous vein was performed. Unfortunately, the patient died 1 day later due to cerebral hypoxia. No autopsy was conducted.

Learning points

▸ Isolated right ventricular infarction is a challenging diagnosis. It should be considered and promptly treated, when dealing with a patient presenting with cardiogenic shock. This case represents an example of acute myocardial infarction secondary to coronary ostial occlusion after aortic valve replacement (AVR), which is an unusual but potentially life-threatening complication.1

▸ Coronary ostial stenosis after AVR is estimated to occur in about 0.3–5% of all AVR procedures, and usually in the first 6 months postoperatively. Generally, it presents as acute coronary syndrome, left ventricular heart failure, ventricular arrhythmias or sudden death.2

▸ The exact mechanism underlying coronary ostial stenosis following AVR is unclear, but several hypotheses have been proposed. Selective anterograde ostial cannulation for administration of cardioplegic solution during surgery may cause initial mechanical vessel trauma producing immediate microinjuries or vessel wall hypoxia due to compression of vasa vasorum, with a delayed narrowing of the coronary ostia, by hyperplastic reaction. Embolism from debris, more often calcium related to aortic valve decalcification, ostial thrombosis from aortic retractor trauma and coronary artery spasm have also been described. Other possible causes of ostium compromise are fibrotic reaction at the level of valve suture, ostial thrombosis from aortic retractor trauma and coronary artery spasm have also been described. In addition, proximal aortic root thickening can occur as a reaction to turbulent flow around the prosthetic valve. An immunological reaction to the heterograft after AVR has also been reported.3 4

Figure 1 Coronary angiograms showing normal coronary arteries before aortic valve replacement (A: right anterior oblique projection with 20° of cranial angulation showing the left anterior descendent artery, diagonal, septal branches and circumflex; B: 60° left anterior oblique projection showing the right coronary artery; C: aortography showing a small aortic root and patency of the coronary ostia.
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