Polyomavirus nephropathy after kidney transplantation
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DESCRIPTION

A 68-year-old woman was transplanted pre-emptively with a kidney from a deceased donor in May 2012. Her immunosuppressive treatment included thymoglobulin, and tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), methylprednisolone. Ten months later, a positive urinalysis for decoy cells (figure 1) preceded a rise in creatinine to 2 mg/dL. Blood PCR for BK DNA detected 45 915 copies/mL. Kidney biopsy disclosed BK virus nephropathy (BKVN; figure 2). MMF was withdrawn and tacrolimus decreased to 4 ng/mL trough level. Three months later, the virus was undetectable. Creatinine remained stable over the following years (2 mg/dL). Donor-specific anti-HLA antibodies remained negative.

BKVN has emerged as a cause of graft loss. BK virus is a polyomavirus prevalent in 60–80% of the general population. It colonises mainly the renal tubular tract where it remains latent. The intensity of immunosuppression is the main risk factor for BKVN. BK virus appears first in the urine, then in the blood, and ultimately progresses to the graft.1 Antiviral therapies are lacking. Thus, screening is essential, and recommended during the first post-transplant year. A kidney graft biopsy should be performed when plasma BKV DNA loads is >4 log 10 copies/mL.2 Since BKVN can be focal in distribution, negative results cannot rule out the diagnosis. The primary treatment is reducing the intensity of immunosuppression, by discontinuing the antimetabolite and reducing calcineurin inhibitor trough level.3 Graft failure occurs in 30–60% of patients, but outcomes have improved since screening has permitted prompt decrease of immunosuppression.

Learning points

▸ Polyomavirus nephropathy is a cause of kidney graft dysfunction and graft failure.
▸ The intensity of immunosuppression is the main risk factor.
▸ Reduction of immunosuppression is the primary treatment.
▸ Screening allows early diagnosis and better outcomes.
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