Benign enlargement of subarachnoid spaces: a cause of subdural haemorrhage in toddlers
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DESCRIPTION

A 7-month-old male child (patient 1) was referred for a CT of the brain for evaluation of macrocephaly. His head circumference was 48 cm (>95th centile). He was otherwise normal and his developmental milestones were normal for age. The CT showed enlarged subarachnoid spaces in the frontal and temporal lobes (figure 1). A chronic subdural haemorrhage (SDH) was noted in the right frontal lobe (figure 1).

A 2-month-old male child (patient 2) was referred for CT of the brain following a fall. The CT showed an acute SDH in the left frontal lobe (figure 2). Also noted were enlarged subarachnoid spaces in the frontal and temporal lobes (figure 2). This patient had a normal head circumference for age. Similar to patient 1, his developmental milestones were normal.

On the basis of clinical history, examination and CT findings, the diagnosis of benign enlargement of subarachnoid spaces (BESS) was made for both infants.

BESS is not an uncommon finding in normal infants.1 Children usually present with macrocephaly or a rapidly enlarging head circumference, resulting in a head circumference >95th centile for age. The absence of macrocephaly, however, does not preclude the diagnosis of BESS.2 Typical radiological findings include widened subarachnoid spaces in the frontal and temporal lobes, and the absence of significant ventriculomegaly.2 These patients usually show normal development, although transient delayed milestones may be seen. The condition usually resolves spontaneously by 2 years of age.1 The cause of enlarged subarachnoid spaces in these children is not known, although delayed maturation of the arachnoid villi has been proposed as a possible mechanism.2

The diagnosis of BESS may be complicated by the finding of SDH.3 The underlying theory is that stretching of the bridging subdural veins due to enlargement of the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) spaces predisposes to developing SDH.4 SDH in children with BESS may occur spontaneously or as the result of minor trauma.2 The presence of SDH in children <2 years of age, however, also raises the possibility of non-accidental injury (NAI). For social and medicolegal reasons, it is important to make this distinction. The absence of other features of NAI, such as skeletal fractures, cerebral contusions and a generally benign clinical course points to a diagnosis of BESS rather than NAI.3

Another entity mimicking BESS is type 1 glutaric aciduria, which on imaging may show widened subarachnoid spaces and SDH.5 Other findings seen in glutaric aciduria are white matter and basal ganglia signal changes. Also, children with glutaric aciduria are symptomatic (developmental delay, dystonia, encephalopathy) as opposed to children with BESS.5

It is important to know the clinical presentation and imaging features to differentiate BESS from

Figure 1  (A) Axial section of brain CT showing widened subarachnoid spaces in the frontal and temporal lobes (white arrows). (B) Axial section of brain CT showing a chronic subdural haemorrhage in the right frontal lobe extending into the interhemispheric fissure (red arrow). Also seen are widened subarachnoid spaces in the temporal lobes (white arrows).
Learning points

- Benign enlargement of subarachnoid spaces (BESS) is a common cause of macrocephaly.
- Children with BESS are usually asymptomatic, in contradistinction to glutaric aciduria and non-accidental injury (NAI), which on imaging mimic BESS.
- BESS may be associated with subdural haemorrhage. It is important to differentiate this from NAI as the latter has social and medicolegal implications.
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