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SUMMARY

Spinal stenosis can be a very disabling condition. Surgical decompression carries a risk of dural tear and neural injury, which is increased in patients with severe stenosis or an atypical anatomy. We present an unusual case of symptomatic stenosis secondary to achondroplasia presenting in a paediatric patient, and highlight a new surgical technique used to minimise the risk of dural and neural injury during decompression.

BACKGROUND

Achondroplasia is the most common form of disproportionate dwarfism. It is caused by abnormal endochondral bone formation resulting in multiple skeletal abnormalities.1 Spine involvement is typical, with early thoracolumbar kyphosis (usually self-resolving), lumbar hyperlordosis, cervicomedullary stenosis and congenital spinal stenosis secondary to shortened pedicles and a decreased interpedicular distance. Symptomatic spinal stenosis commonly occurs in the adult population following further narrowing of the congenitally stenotic canal from disc prolapse or degeneration.2 3 Symptomatic spinal stenosis is reported in the paediatric achondroplastic population, typically in individuals with excessively narrow interpedicular distance, or persistent thoracolumbar kyphosis.4 5 Spinal decompression, with or without instrumentation, has had demonstrable positive results in this patient group.6–9

Acute complications of spinal decompression surgery include iatrogenic durotomy with subsequent cerebrospinal fluid leak and nerve root/cauda equina/cord injury. Patients with achondroplasia are at potential additional risk due to their differing anatomy, as outlined above,10 while paediatric patients with achondroplasia pose a further challenge and complication risk due to their size.

Traditional techniques for posterior decompression of the spinal canal, whether segmentally or via laminectomy, include mechanical bone excision via osteotome or Kerrison forceps, or via high-speed burr. Durotomy or neural injury from direct blunt trauma—soft tissue incision or rotational tearing, respectively—can occur while using these instruments. Recently, ultrasonic bone scalpels have been developed to facilitate precision bone excision while minimising soft tissue damage. These work via longitudinal oscillations of a fine blade at high frequency, facilitating precision cutting of bone through rapid sequential impacts.10 Soft tissue absorbs the impact energy of the scalpel due to its elastic nature and is left undamaged. The use of a blunt tip and the absence of any rotational force...
minimises the risk of soft tissue cutting and tearing that can occur with sharp Kerrison forceps and burrs. The smaller kerf size (~0.5 mm ultrasonic scalpel vs 2–3 mm burr or osteotome) and smaller tip displacement allow for higher precision cutting and the higher cutting frequency (22,500 strokes/second vs ~1300 repetitions/second of a burr) allows for more rapid cutting. The ultrasonic bone scalpel is therefore of potential use in patients undergoing challenging spinal decompression where neural injury is of increased risk, such as in the achondroplastic population. We report the use of the ultrasonic scalpel in the decompression of a challenging paediatric patient with achondroplasia.

CASE PRESENTATION
We describe a case of a 15-year-old boy with achondroplasia who presented with 14 months of severe stenotic symptoms with bilateral leg pain, heaviness and numbness after mobilising short distances, eased on rest and sitting. This deteriorated with time, with symptoms developing after 3 min of walking, all sporting activities being stopped and subsequent increase in weight.

INVESTIGATIONS
MRI revealed severe central canal and lateral recess stenosis at L2/3 and L3/4 (figure 1), with likely asymptomatic lateral recess stenosis at L4/5 and L5/S1. An erect radiograph demonstrated no spondylolisthesis under physiological load.

TREATMENT
The patient underwent primary decompression alone. This was achieved via posterior midline incision, laminotomy, flavectomy and partial medial facetectomy, at L2 and L3. All bone dissection was completed via an ultrasonic bone scalpel. Rapid bone dissection was achieved with ease—the laminotomy was performed with controlled 0.5 mm lateral troughs (figures 2 and 3); there

Figure 3 Ultrasonic bone scalpel en bloc laminectomy.
was no iatrogenic dural tear, and neither nerve root nor cauda equina injury.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
The patient was mobilised and discharged on day 1 postoperatively and suffered no subsequent complications. Follow-up 6 months postoperatively revealed clinical resolution of stenotic symptoms and full return to symptom-free sporting activities.

DISCUSSION
The use of the ultrasonic bone scalpel in spinal surgery has been reported in the literature. Parker et al. analysed 40 adult patients without achondroplasia undergoing laminoplasty utilising an ultrasonic bone scalpel. They recorded one case of incidental durotomy following use of the ultrasonic scalpel, and concluded that it was a safe and technically feasible device for use in adult laminoplasty. Sanborn et al. reached a similar conclusion in ovine laminectomies, but also demonstrated neither neurophysiological nor clinical differences between groups where the ultrasonic bone scalpel was used versus traditional high speed burrs, but with improved local tissue repair and shorter operative time in the bone scalpel group. Onen et al. analysed 46 adult patients without achondroplasia undergoing cervical laminectomy, comparing the use of an ultrasonic bone scalpel (n=23) to a high-speed burr (n=23). They demonstrated decreased laminectomy time (2.2±0.4 vs 7.4±2.6 min/level), blood loss (180 vs 380 cc), time in hospital (3.0±0 vs 3.7 ±1.3 days) and complications (1 C5 radiculopathy vs 1 C5 radiculopathy and 3 dural injuries) when using the bone scalpel in preference to the high-speed burr; concluding that it was safe and effective in cervical laminectomy. Lieberman and Hu assessed 58 consecutive spinal surgeries with a variety of pathology (scoliosis, kyphosis and spondylothesis) and noted subjectively minimal bone bleeding from the osteotomy sites; however, they did note one 3 mm dural thermal injury from the ultrasonic bone scalpel remaining in one place for too long.

The ultrasonic bone scalpel has therefore been published as an efficient tool when used in standard adult lumbar/cervical posterior surgical decompression, providing rapid bone dissection with minimal risk to the dura. We describe a case involving challenging stenosis in a paediatric patient with achondroplasia and demonstrate effective and safe decompression using the ultrasonic scalpel.

The ultrasonic bone scalpel is a more expensive tool than other options for spinal decompression (surgical burr or Kerrison forceps). Our department utilises an ultrasonic scalpel from Neurotechnics. The requirement for a disposable blade, one used per patient, places an estimated equipment cost of £400 per case (~40 times the cost of a surgical diamond burr). Our department therefore reserves the use of the ultrasonic bone scalpel for rare patients with extremely complex spinal stenosis with an associated high risk of dural injury, but finds it an invaluable adjunct, such as in the case described.
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