Neonatal small left colon syndrome
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DESCRIPTION
A female baby, second of a monochorionic diamniotic pregnancy was born at 34 weeks of gestation via vaginal delivery. Birth weight was 2400 g. During the antenatal period mother had gestational diabetes requiring insulin therapy. The baby was admitted to neonatal unit for continuous positive airway pressure support for respiratory distress, which had improved within 4 h.

At around 24 h of life she was noted to have large amount of non-bilious gastric aspirates and abdominal distension. An abdominal X-ray showed dilated bowel loops and absent rectal gas. Contrast enema revealed a small calibre of the descending and sigmoid colon until the splenic flexure, dilated transverse and ascending colon which was consistent with a diagnosis of neonatal small left colon syndrome (NSLCS) (figure 1). The baby passed a thick mucus plug with a large amount of meconium after contrast enema. Subsequently she was able to tolerate the enteral feeds with spontaneous bowel opening. She was well on follow-up at 1 year of age with regular bowel opening and growth.

NSLCS was first coined in 1974 by Davis et al,1 40–50% of them are associated with maternal gestational diabetes. Usual presentation is failure to pass meconium, progressive abdominal distension, vomiting and high gastric aspirates. On contrast enema a narrowed descending and sigmoid colon with a transition point at the splenic flexure is characteristic and helps to differentiate from other cause of intestinal obstruction like meconium plug, Hirschprung’s disease.2 Most cases resolve spontaneously, however rare late complications include peritonitis and bowel perforation especially at caecum.3

Learning points
▸ Neonatal small left colon syndrome (NSLCS) should be considered as differential for neonatal intestinal obstruction especially with a background of maternal diabetes mellitus.
▸ Contrast enema is usually diagnostic and therapeutic.
▸ NSLCS has a favourable prognosis but needs close follow-up in view of late complications.
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