Drug-induced hemichorea
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DESCRIPTION
A 92-year-old Japanese woman presented with an 8-day history of involuntary movements of the right-sided unilateral upper and lower extremities. In her medical history, the patient had only mild hypertension but no significant disorders involving central, peripheral or autonomic nervous systems. None of her family members had hereditary neurological diseases. While being interviewed about her drug history, the patient reported that she had orally taken zolpidem (10 mg/day) and zopiclone (7.5 mg/day) every night for the last 2 weeks, which her primary care physician had prescribed for a sleeping disturbance. On physical examination, there was intractable right-sided unilateral hemichorea (video 1). The rest of the neurological and physical examinations were unremarkable.

Imaging and laboratory studies were all within normal limits, including complete blood count, blood chemistry, thyroid function and cerebrospinal fluid examination, brain CT scan, MRI and EEG. Based on a diagnosis of drug-induced hemichorea, zolpidem and zopiclone were discontinued and the patient received tiapride for a few days. After discontinuation of the sleeping medications, the involuntary movements gradually disappeared over several days. Chorea or hemichorea can be caused by dysfunction of the basal ganglia due to organic neurological lesions or medications acting on the central nervous system.1 2 We report our patient as a rare case with hemichorea probably caused by non-benzodiazepine sedatives.3 This case may be of relevance to primary care physicians, since this class of medication has become popular because of its reputation for relatively safe profiles.

Learning points

▸ Careful description is mandatory for accurate classification of involuntary movement.
▸ Chorea or hemichorea can be caused by medications acting on the central nervous system.
▸ Popular non-benzodiazepine sedatives could lead to drug-induced chorea or hemichorea.
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