CASE REPORT

Combined acromioclavicular joint dislocation and coracoid avulsion in an adult
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SUMMARY
Avulsion fracture of coracoid process with acromioclavicular joint dislocation is extremely rare. We report a case of coracoid avulsion with acromioclavicular disruption in a 24-year-old man who sustained injuries in a road traffic accident. Although acromioclavicular (AC) dislocation was obvious from an initial radiograph, coracoid avulsion was picked up in a CT scan. Open reduction and internal fixations of the coracoid with a 4 mm cannulated screw, an AC joint with two K-wires and an anchor suture, resulted in rapid recovery. The patient had complete range of shoulder movements at the end of 3 months and he resumed his professional activities. After 1 year, he had normal shoulder movement without any functional limitations.

BACKGROUND
Coracoid process (CP) fractures constitute 3–13% of scapular fractures, and scapular fracture merely constitutes 1% of all fractures.1 Avulsion fracture of CP with acromioclavicular joint (AC) dislocation is even rarer and has been scarcely reported in the literature.2–11 These combined injuries pose many challenges to the trauma surgeon. Orthopaedic surgeons often fail to diagnose CP fracture in plain radiographs when an obvious AC dislocation is associated. This is because of marked shortening and projection of CP over the acromion or blade of scapula.1 The injury mechanism involved in these combined injuries remains controversial and there is no definite consensus on its treatment. The heterogeneity of case reports with hypothetical explanations of the injury mechanism and treatment have relegated these combined injuries to a controversial state. In this report, we present a case of avulsion fracture of CP with AC dislocation in an active young man, and briefly present a short review of the literature.

CASE PRESENTATION
A 24-year-old man, a manual labourer, sustained injury to his left shoulder in a road traffic accident. He was presented to our clinic 2 days later with a prominence in the left shoulder. His shoulder abduction on the affected side was limited to 60° and flexion 80°. Cross-body adduction was painful. AC joint and CP were tender on palpation. Clinically, AC dislocation was suspected. Radiographs revealed AC disruption with suspicion of CP avulsion (figure 1). CT scan with three-dimensional reconstruction was advised to delineate the fracture and dislocation (figure 2). A displaced CP fracture was identified and the AC was found displaced superiorly (Rockwood classification grade III; figure 3).

Open reduction and internal fixation was planned. Intraoperatively, we found the coracoclavicular (CC) ligament to be intact. After reduction of the displaced CP, we found that the lateral articular end of the clavicle was completely reduced and the AC joint was in place. The CP was temporarily fixed with a K-wire and a cannulated 4 mm screw with washer was inserted through it. The AC joint was fixed with two K-wires inserted from the...
acromion process. It was further stabilised with an anchor suture (Mitek), which was fixed to the base of CP posterior and medial to the fracture line. A drill hole was made in the lateral portion of the clavicle and the sutures were passed through and tied (figure 4).

The arm was rested in a sling for an initial 3 weeks. Pendulum shoulder exercises and active-assisted motions of the shoulder were initiated the day after surgery.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
After 6 weeks, the patient had complete movement of the shoulder joint. The K-wires were removed after 3 months. Radiograph showed healing of both these injuries in the anatomical position (figure 5). The patient resumed his professional activities without functional limitations. At 1-year follow-up, the patient did not have any symptoms and his shoulder joint movements were normal.

DISCUSSION
Combined CP fracture and AC dislocation is a rare occurrence in adults. This injury has been reported both in trauma victims and athletes.2–11 However, the mechanism of this combined injury still remains controversial. Isolated AC joint dislocation occurs when a cephalad to caudal force is exerted on the acromion by a direct blow to or fall on the shoulder. The AC ligaments are disrupted first, followed by the disruptions of deltoid and trapezius secondarily. The tearing of the CC ligaments completes this injury with dislocation of the AC joint.2 In adolescents, the CC ligaments are stronger than the unfused CP epiphyseal plate and any injury to the shoulder results in avulsion fracture of the CP epiphyseal plate with an intact ligament. However, in adults, the CP and clavicle are stronger than the CC ligaments; therefore, almost all Rockwood type III AC joint injuries involve a tear of the CC ligaments rather than a fracture of the CP. The epiphyseal plate of the CP is near its base, and it fuses between 15 and 18 years of age. Hence, any injury to the shoulder region below this age causes disruption of AC joint with avulsion of the CP.3 However, the published cases of fractured CP with AC dislocation have been reported in those aged between 9 and 60 years, with a median of 23.5 years.3 At this median age, one would expect the CC ligament to be ruptured rather than a fracture of the CP. At this controversial juncture, we believe that the injury mechanism advocated by Li et al3 is logical and justified. They assumed that the combined force of conjoined tendons and the pectoralis minor muscles is involved in the traumatic mechanism. At first step, a sudden contraction of the conjoined tendons and pectoralis minor muscles (for protection or other reasons) results in fracture of the CP. In the second step, whether the CC ligament remains intact or not depends on the strength of the component residual force along the CC ligaments. A similar injury mechanism might have produced this combined injury in our case.
In a combined injury, the CP fracture is easily overlooked as the attention is drawn towards the most obvious AC joint dislocation. Special radiographic views (axillary and 30° cephalad view) must be considered to assess the status of CP. A CT is helpful as it provides a clear picture of both the coracoid fracture and the AC joint dislocation. One may have an idea about the CC ligament injury by measuring the distance between CP and AC joint in plain radiography. However, MRI is the best way to assess the continuity of CC ligaments.

As per the current literature, there is no difference in the long-term outcomes of patient managed conservatively or surgically in these combined injuries. However, a few earlier reports have mentioned pain and cosmetic symptoms after conservative management. On the other hand, all reported patients treated surgically recovered fully, except for one reported by Wilber and Evans, who was treated with an unknown surgical method and reported of persistent pain and decreased shoulder motion 1 year postoperatively. Multiple approaches have been opted for by surgeons to deal with this combined injury; some have opted to address only the AC joint and others have found that surgical reduction of the CP reduced the AC indirectly. Nevertheless, disrupted CC ligaments should always be repaired or reconstructed for optimal functional outcome whenever associated with these combined injuries. Ogawa et al classified CP fracture (isolated or in combination with AC dislocation) into two types. In type 1, the fracture locates behind the CC ligament and in type 2, it is located anterior to it. The authors recommended that type 1 injury needs operative intervention to start early physiotherapy and type 2 does not need surgical intervention. For a combined injury, Ogawa et al favoured a single malleolar screw for fixation of the coracoid with concurrent wiring of a fractured clavicle or AC dislocation. They recommended treating concurrent AC dislocation and stable coracoid fracture as simple AC dislocations are treated. A logistic approach is that both these injuries (AC dislocation and CP fracture) should be stabilised in manual labourers or heavy load workers and only one of these injuries may be addressed in patients whose functional demands are less. However, surgical fixation must be performed in all cases to have consistent and predictable results. The AC joint is diarthrodial and closed reductions have high chances of entrapping the capsule, ruptured fibrocartilaginous disc and detached articular cartilage, resulting in a painful unreduced AC joint. We believe that rigid stabilisation of both the CP fracture and AC joint dislocation allows early patient rehabilitation. Along with a lag screw for CP fracture, we fixed the AC joint with two stabilisation methods (two K wires and an anchor suture) that we follow conventionally for fixation of isolated AC joint dislocation. This dual fixation method reduced the pull over the CP through CC ligaments, thus allowing early healing of both the injuries. The patient had complete recovery of function at the end of 1 year.

Even though rare, coracoid process fracture should be suspected in AC joint dislocations in adults. Whenever in doubt, special radiographic views and CTs should be obtained. Surgical fixations of the coracoid process and AC joint provide rigid stability and allow early rehabilitation with excellent functional outcome.

Learning points

- Coracoid process fracture may be an associated injury in acromioclavicular (AC) joint dislocations.
- In doubtful cases, special radiographic views and CTs should be obtained.
- Both the fractures should be fixed so that early rehabilitation can be started, and doing so usually provides excellent functional outcome.
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