A straightforward stroke? Maybe not: atypical presentation of type A aortic dissection

Andreia Fernandes,1 Francisca Caetano,2 M Carmo Cachulo,2 Lino Gonçalves2

1Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra—Hospital Geral, Coimbra, Portugal
2Centro Hospitalar e Universitário Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

Correspondence to Dr Andreia Fernandes, andreiafvf@gmail.com

Accepted 18 December 2014

DESCRIPTION

A 57-year-old hypertensive man presented to the emergency department with right hemiparesis (blood pressure 145/90 mm Hg). An emergent head CT was performed confirming an ischaemic stroke (figure 1) and the patient underwent fibrinolysis. Within 12 h he developed shortness of breath with hypoxia and inspiratory crackles on auscultation. Transthoracic echocardiogram showed an ascending aortic aneurysm with an aortic flap and severe aortic regurgitation (figure 2A, B). Stanford type A aortic dissection was confirmed by CT angiography (figure 3). The tear was localised in the proximal ascending aorta and the dissection propagated along the aortic arch to the right carotid (figure 3A) and descending thoracoabdominal aorta (figure 3B, C), leading to acute renal (figure 3D) and hepatic failure. After clinical stabilisation the patient was submitted to surgical valve-sparing root replacement. After 1 month of recovery he was discharged. On 24-month follow-up there was no aortic regurgitation and left ventricular function was normal. The follow-up CT (figure 4A, B) showed the entire dissection.

In the era of fast track fibrinolysis for acute stroke one has to bear in mind the differential diagnosis, which can easily be missed and lead to dismal outcomes. Aortic dissection is highly lethal with a mortality rate of 20% within 24 h without surgical treatment.1 Neurological deficits can occur in 18–30% of cases, most commonly cerebral ischaemia in 5–10%.2 Ascending dissections require emergency surgery3 and severe complications are likely if a patient is inadvertently treated with thrombolytic agents. Although submitted to fibrinolysis, our patient was successfully treated and survived.

Figure 1 Head CT without contrast documenting an ischaemic posterior lesion (blue arrow).

Figure 2 Transthoracic echocardiogram, suprasternal view: (A) ascending aortic aneurism with the dissection flap (blue arrow); (B) acceleration flow in the true lumen, which has almost collapsed.
Figure 3  Contrast-enhancement CT: (A) tear/flare localised in the proximal ascending with the true lumen (blue arrow) almost totally collapsed; (B) dissection propagated along the right carotid (red arrow); (C) dissection propagated along the descending thoracoabdominal aorta with the true lumen collapsed (yellow arrow); (D) dissection localised in the abdominal aorta with the true lumen collapsed and the right kidney almost excluded (non contrasted, green arrow).

Figure 4  Contrast enhancement CT: (A) aortic flap in the aortic arch extending along the descending thoracoabdominal aorta with the false lumen permeable occupying about half of the aorta lumen; (B) the celiac trunk and the left renal artery arise from the false lumen.
Learning points

▸ Stroke can be the clinical presentation of ascending aortic dissection. They share their most common risk factor: hypertension.
▸ Aortic dissection is a medical emergency with high mortality, markedly worsened by misguided fibrinolysis. Emergent aortic repair is lifesaving allowing 10-year survival rates of 30–60%.
▸ Before fibrinolysis in the context of stroke, one should consider bedside echocardiogram to assess the aortic root size or, if possible, perform a brain CT scan with synchronous vascular injection planning extending the image to the carotid arteries, the ascending aorta and the aortic arch.
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