CASE REPORT

Renal cell carcinoma with proliferative lupus nephritis

Koh-Wei Wong

SUMMARY
We report a case of renal cell carcinoma diagnosed after a patient was treated successfully with intravenous cyclophosphamide for her active proliferative lupus nephritis (classes III and V). After the intravenous cyclophosphamide regimen, the patient was asymptomatic with persistent microscopic haematuria, and no proteinuria. The renal cell carcinoma was located on the left kidney; incidentally, this was where the initial renal biopsy was done to diagnose lupus nephritis.

BACKGROUND
The kidney is one of the common organs affected by systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), and involvement of the kidneys (lupus nephritis) may warrant aggressive treatment involving immunosuppressives to induce remission. Intravenous cyclophosphamide has been the mainstay of treatment of active lupus nephritis, especially for class III or IV.

We described a case of active lupus nephritis, with persistent microscopic haematuria after the patient was in remission following an induction regimen using intravenous cyclophosphamide, and a diagnosis of renal cell carcinoma of the left kidney.

CASE PRESENTATION
A 52-year-old woman was admitted in March 2012 for seizures. She was subsequently diagnosed as having SLE. Investigations revealed a serum albumin of 31 g/L, serum creatinine of 89 μmol/L, proteinuria of 2.23 g/day, and positive antinuclear antibodies and anti-double stranded antibodies as well as hypocomplementaemia. She was admitted again in April 2012 with a basal ganglia infarct. Renal biopsy from the lower pole of the left kidney was performed in May 2012. The renal biopsy was reported as focal proliferative and sclerosing with an early membranous pattern, a full house pattern reported as focal proliferative and sclerosing with a central hypodense region on plain phase, with significant peripheral enhancement on the nephrographic, corticomedullary and excretory phases. The impression was left renal cell carcinoma with subcentimetre para-aortic node metastasis.

Mycophenolate was stopped and a urology referral was made. Laparoscopic nephrectomy was performed in December 2013. Histopathological examination of the left kidney revealed renal cell carcinoma, chromophobe type Fuhrman grade II, PT1 Nx Mx; there was no lymphovascular invasion, and the ureteric margin, renal vessels and Gerrots fascial margins were free of tumour.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
Six months after nephrectomy she remained stable, with no signs of lupus activity (proteinuria negative, normal ESR). The original renal biopsy was revised again, and there was no evidence of renal cell carcinoma.

DISCUSSION
Prior to renal biopsy, ultrasound examination did not reveal any renal mass. Due to the persistent microscopic haematuria, she was referred to the surgical clinic for a urological assessment, and ultrasound revealed the renal mass. She was completely asymptomatic for SLE and renal cell carcinoma during this period.

Persistent microscopic haematuria
Proteinuria and microscopic haematuria are common findings in patients with lupus nephritis. In patients who achieve remission after induction treatment for class III and class IV lupus nephritis, most will have little proteinuria during the remission period. However, persistent microscopic haematuria with no or minimal proteinuria is common in patients with
lupus nephritis, even after they have achieved full clinical remission (little or no proteinuria, normal renal function, and normal ESR and complement levels). Low level activity of lupus nephritis after the induction therapy may be a reasonable explanation for the persistent microscopic haematuria. There are other possible causes. Mittal et al reported a concomitant presence of thin basement membrane disease with lupus nephritis class IV, a case which was also treated with intravenous cyclophosphamide to induce remission, but the patient had persistent microscopic haematuria despite the fact that clinically, disease activity appeared to be low. As in our case, it is important not to assume that persistent microscopic haematuria is solely due to lupus nephritis or chronic damage from lupus nephritis.

Cyclophosphamide and cancer

Cyclophosphamide has been known to be associated with the development of malignancy, especially bladder cancer. Many of the bladder cancers developed there has been no other reported association between MMF and myasthenia gravis after 3 years of treatment with MMF. To date, there has been no known association between the two diseases.

Most renal cell carcinomas associated with cyclophosphamide are reported in transplant recipients. In 1996, Odeh reported the first case of renal cell carcinoma after treatment with cyclophosphamide in a patient with Wegener’s granulomatosis. Most authors reported simultaneous diseases of Wegener’s granulomatosis and renal cell carcinoma, suggesting common pathogenetic pathways, and others have reported the development of renal cell carcinoma many years after discontinuation of cyclophosphamide.

In our case, development of renal cell carcinoma was within 12 months after discontinuation of cyclophosphamide. There is a possibility that renal cell carcinoma was present during the diagnosis of active lupus nephritis, and that it could have been missed at the initial ultrasound. Subsequent cyclophosphamide treatment could have accelerated the growth of the cancer.

To date, there has been no reported case of any simultaneous occurrence of lupus nephritis and renal cell carcinoma. There is also no known association between the two diseases.

MMF and cancer

MMF has been used extensively in transplantation. A prospective cohort observational study did not find any association between MMF and an increased risk of lymphoma or other malignancies post-replacement transplantation. In fact, use of MMF was reported to be associated with a lower risk of developing malignancy, both in the study by Robson et al and in a group of post-heart transplant patients.

Apart from transplantation, MMF is also widely used in patients with SLE and other autoimmune diseases. Verzino et al reported a case of primary CNS tumour in a patient with myasthenia gravis after 3 years of treatment with MMF. To date, there has been no other reported association between MMF and the development of malignancy.

Lupus and malignancy

There may be a slightly increased risk of cancer in SLE patients compared with the general population, but that seems to be mainly due to the increased incidence of haematological malignancies, especially non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma. The underlying mechanism of the association between lupus and malignancy is still uncertain, and medication exposure seems to be the main culprit. To date, there has been no reported association between lupus nephritis and renal cell carcinoma.

CONCLUSION

Development of malignancy usually occurs in patients many years after cyclophosphamide exposure. Cyclophosphamide has been widely used in treating active lupus nephritis, especially class III or IV. The cyclophosphamide dose used to treat lupus nephritis is much less compared with the regimen used for treating other cancers. In our patient, the cumulative dosage was 6 g. The temporal relationship of the diagnosis of lupus nephritis, treatment with cyclophosphamide, dosage used and diagnosis of renal cell carcinoma in our patient may suggest that renal cell carcinoma was present before or during the diagnosis of active lupus nephritis. It is important not to assume that the presence of persistent microscopic haematuria in a lupus patient clinically in remission is benign.

Learning points

- Some patients may have persistent microscopic haematuria after the induction regimen for lupus nephritis.
- It is important not to assume that the presence of persistent microscopic haematuria in a lupus patient clinically in remission to be benign.
- It is important to investigate and to look for potential malignancy in this group of patients.
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