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DESCRIPTION

A 32-year-old man with unremarkable medical history was urgently admitted with acute hypogastric pain of 24 h onset. On presentation he was afebrile. Clinical examination revealed low abdominal tenderness with moderate rebound; bowel sounds were normal. The patient reported undisturbed stool and flatus passage; digital rectal examination revealed the presence of stool in the rectum without any tenderness or blood. Complete blood count showed a marked leukocytosis of 18 610/μL with an elevated neutrophilic differential (92.4%). Plain chest (figure 1, white arrow) and abdominal (figure 2, white arrow) films showed the presence of bowel above the level of the left hemidiaphragm, whereas abdominal ultrasound was unremarkable. Chest and abdomen CT scans demonstrated a colonic loop in the left hemithorax (figure 3, white arrow).

On exploratory laparotomy, a Bochdalek’s hernia containing the left colonic flexure was revealed. The herniated colon was repositioned in the abdominal cavity and the defect was closed with running absorbable suture. The postoperative course was uneventful and the patient was discharged on the fourth postoperative day having resumed normal bowel function.

Learning points

▸ Bochdalek’s hernia is a form of congenital diaphragmatic hernia along the posterior diaphragm in the space between the pars lumbaris and the pars costalis. Peritoneal fat and/or solid or hollow abdominal organs enter the thorax through this diaphragmatic defect.
▸ It usually manifests during infancy, mostly with respiratory distress, and it is left sided in most cases (80%). Adult patients are usually asymptomatic and are incidentally diagnosed. Bochdalek’s hernia occasionally manifests with bowel obstruction.
▸ In our case, lower abdominal pain was an unexpected symptom given the definitive diagnosis of a diaphragmatic hernia. In cases of intractable abdominal pain, differential diagnosis should include remote sites of gastrointestinal pathology.
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