Rifampicin-induced lichenoid eruptions
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DESCRIPTION
A 65-year-old man presented with generalised pruritic skin lesions of 1 month duration. He had a positive pulmonary tuberculosis smear and was on antituberculosis therapy, which included isoniazid, rifampicin, ethambutol and pyrazinamide, for 1 month. His medical history was otherwise unremarkable. He had no significant skin ailments or allergic tendencies in the past.

General physical examination revealed multiple erythematous, pruritic eruptions over the patient’s back (figure 1), anterior chest and arms. Haemogram showed marked peripheral eosinophilia (26.8%) with an absolute eosinophil count of 3042. The rest of the routine blood investigations were unremarkable. The patient was HIV and hepatitis B surface antigen negative. Chest radiograph (figure 2) showed right upper zone inhomogeneous opacities. After consulting the dermatologist, punch biopsy of the skin lesion was performed, which revealed hyperkeratosis and acanthosis of the epidermis and dense, diffuse perivascular and interstitial lymphocytic infiltrates along with scattered eosinophils and melanophages in the upper dermis (figure 3), consistent with features of lichenoid drug eruptions.

Antituberculosis therapy, which included a 600 mg daily dosage of rifampicin, was stopped and the lesions healed gradually, following which isoniazid, ethambutol and pyrazinamide were restarted sequentially, to which the patient developed no intolerance. On further starting of sequentially increased doses of rifampicin, fresh lesions reappeared when the daily dosage had reached 300 mg. Hence rifampicin was withdrawn and the rest of the drugs were continued. The lesions responded to topical β-methasone and oral antihistamines, and fresh lesions never reappeared. This was accompanied by normalisation of the peripheral eosinophilia. A diagnosis of rifampicin-induced lichenoid eruptions was established. The patient, during the course of treatment, was found to have multidrug-resistant tuberculosis and was started on kanamycin, levofloxacin, para-amino salicylic acid, linezolid and clarithromycin.

Lichenoid eruptions account for 10% of cutaneous adverse drug reactions (CADR) during antitubercular therapy.1 The incidence rate of rifampicin-induced CADR is about 1.23%.2 However, to the best of our knowledge, reports of rifampicin-induced lichenoid drug eruptions are extremely rare.

Figure 1 Multiple, extensive erythematous eruptions over the back.

Figure 2 Chest radiograph showing right upper zone inhomogeneous opacities.

Figure 3 Punch biopsy specimen showing hyperkeratosis and acanthosis of epidermis with dense, diffuse perivascular and interstitial lymphocytic infiltrates along with scattered eosinophils and melanophages in upper dermis (H&E stain; original magnification ×400).
Learning points

▸ Cutaneous adverse drug reactions are common during antitubercular therapy.
▸ Rifampicin, although rarely, can cause cutaneous adverse drug reactions including lichenoid eruptions.
▸ Cutaneous adverse drug reactions must be recognised early and intervention initiated to reduce associated morbidity and mortality.
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