A clinical report demonstrating the significance of distinguishing a nasopalatine duct cyst from a radicular cyst
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SUMMARY
Endodontic diagnosis is challenging and depends on the organisation of information from the patient history, clinical examination and analysis of the pulp, radiographic and histopathological assessment. A 35-year-old man was endodontically treated for radiolucency in relation to the roots of maxillary central incisors as it was a provisionally diagnosed case of radicular cyst. Since the palatal swelling persisted, the lesion was re-evaluated using relevant diagnostic aids and a diagnosis of nasopalatine duct cyst (NPDC) was made, which was missed during the initial assessment. An erroneous interpretation of cystic radiolucency in relation to maxillary central incisors can often lead to inappropriate treatment planning. This case highlights the relevant aspects in the diagnosis of NPDC when it is mistaken for a radicular cyst and emphasises the need for thorough clinical examination and relevant investigations for periapical radiolucencies of questionable origin before initiating endodontic therapy.

BACKGROUND
The nasopalatine duct cyst (NPDC) is the most common non-odontogenic cyst of the oral cavity constituting about 1.7–11.9% of all jaw cysts. Most cases occur in the fourth to sixth decade1 and men are affected three times more commonly than women.2 These arise within the incisive canals of the palatine bone behind the alveolar processes of the central incisors or in the palatal soft tissue at the openings of the canals. Several terms have been used to depict this entity such as ‘median anterior cyst’, ‘midline maxillary cyst’, ‘anterior median palatine cyst’ and ‘incisive canal cyst’.1

The NPDC originates from the proliferating epithelial remnants of nasopalatine duct. It is attributed to a number of causes such as infection, retention phenomenon of the blocked mucous glands, epithelial remnants of the Jacobson’s organ, trauma, ill-fitting dentures or idiopathic.1 Owing to its location NPDC is often mistaken for several other pathological entities which may occur in this region such as a large incisive fossa, radicular cyst, dentigerous cyst arising from a mesiodens, oronasal fistula, oroantral fistula, chronic draining dentoalveolar abscess with sinus tract.3 The clinical implications and the treatment options vary depending on the nature of each of these entities necessitating the importance of accurate diagnosis. This article presents a case of NPDC, which was inadvertently managed by root canal treatment following an erroneous diagnosis of a radicular cyst in relation to the maxillary central incisors.

CASE PRESENTATION
A 35-year-old man presented with a painless swelling in the palate in relation to the maxillary central incisors. The swelling was initially noticed about 6 months previously. There was no history of trauma, or mobility of the associated teeth. A mucoid discharge drained out from it infrequently. Considering it to be an infected dental cyst, endodontic treatment was initiated. However, the swelling showed no signs of regression following which the patient was referred to the university hospital for treatment. The swelling was soft, fluctuant and measured 1×0.5 cm.

INVESTIGATIONS
Radiographs revealed a well-defined radiolucency between the roots of the maxillary central incisors without any evidence of root resorption. It was also apparent during radiographic examination that the first stage of endodontic procedure had been carried out in relation to the same teeth (figure 1).

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS
Radicular cyst, NPDC, nasoalveolar cyst, central giant cell granuloma and wide incisive canal were considered in the differential diagnosis.

TREATMENT
The first-line of patient management included surgical enucleation of the lesion and completion of endodontic treatment. Calcium hydroxide was used as the intracanal medicament. The enucleated tissue was submitted for microscopic examination.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
Microscopic examination revealed a cystic lumen lined by pseudostratified columnar epithelium to stratified squamous non-keratinised epithelium. The underlying connective tissue capsule was fibrous with numerous blood vessels, haemorrhagic areas and sparse inflammatory cells. Focal aggregates of rounded calcific material were found dispersed in the connective tissue capsule (figure 2). The lesion was signed out as NPDC.

The review radiograph that was taken 18 months after the surgical procedure showed areas of radio-opacity within the radiolucent defect suggestive of healing (figure 3).
DISCUSSION

The diagnosis and management of periapical radiolucency is intriguing and equally challenging. It requires meticulous recording of clinical history, pulp testing, assessment of crucial radiographic evidence, and critical histological correlation of the lesion in question before therapeutic intervention. In the present case, there was no history of trauma or infection. In this setting it is fundamental to determine the vitality of the incisors by pulp testing which was not carried out. Furthermore it is quite unusual for a radicular cyst in the maxillary incisor region to present with a palatal swelling. The management of the lesion by endodontic procedure was based solely on the radiographic presentation of a well-defined cystic lesion in relation to the roots of the maxillary central incisors pre-empting the lesion to be of dental origin. As the swelling did not regress following initial treatment, the patient had been referred to the University Hospital for opinion. Subsequent intervention and surgical therapeutic protocol confirmed that the cystic lesion was NPDC.

The most striking feature, which confirmed the diagnosis of NPDC, was the presence of a cystic lining which varied from stratified squamous to pseudostratified ciliated columnar epithelium. These features as well as the presence of a highly vascular connective tissue capsule led us to the diagnosis of NPDC. It has been suggested that the reasons for this epithelial diversity are the proximity of the lesion to the nasal cavity and the pluripotentiality of the embryonic epithelial remnants. Calcific deposits noticed were thought to be due to the extrusion of calcium hydroxide used as the intracanal medicament in the course of endodontic therapy. Calcium hydroxide has been shown to be very well tolerated by periapical tissues and hence does not elicit any significant inflammatory response.

It is essential to distinguish these cysts from a radicular cyst, and a wide incisive canal. A radicular cyst is usually associated with non-vital teeth and generally involves the roots of teeth with loss of continuity of the lamina dura. In contrast, the NPDC is typically associated with vital teeth. These cysts can be well-circumscribed, ovoid, round, pear or heart-shaped radiolucent areas located in between the roots of the maxillary central incisors with the continuity of the lamina dura generally maintained. Furthermore altering the horizontal angulation of a periapical radiograph changes the position of the image of the NPDC while the radicular cyst maintains its position around the apex of the central incisor. Distinction of NPDC from a large incisive fossa can be made clinically by aspiration of the lesion. A radiolucency of 0.6–0.8 cm in this location, with ill-defined borders is regarded as a large incisive fossa. Cysts generally present as spherical radiolucencies and tend to have well-defined margins with the superior margin at a higher level as compared with that of an incisive fossa.

Figure 1 Intraoral periapical radiograph showing well-defined round radiolucency in between the roots of the maxillary central incisors.

Figure 2 Photomicrograph showing a cystic lumen lined by stratified squamous epithelium (A) and pseudostratified (B) at places. The connective tissue capsule shows numerous blood vessels and haemorrhagic areas admixed with a diffuse infiltration of chronic inflammatory cells chiefly the lymphocytes and plasma cells (C). Areas of calcific deposits are also seen (D; H&E, ×20).

Figure 3 An 18-month postoperative radiograph showing adequate healing and bone formation.
Majority of the periapical lesions including pulpal abscess, granulomas and cysts are a sequence of pulpal necrosis, most of which can be successfully treated by conservative endodontic therapy. However, there are numerous other lesions that occur in this region including developmental cysts, infections and malignancies without any relationship to the pulpal status and therefore do not resolve after endodontic intervention. These require diverse modalities of treatment and their true nature can be confirmed solely by means of histological evaluation. In a study of 805 periapical biopsy specimens, Kuc et al concluded that a histopathological examination contributed clinically relevant information in 5% of cases. Similarly Ortega et al studied the frequency with which histological diagnosis of periapical lesions changed the clinical opinion. It was found that among the cases which were clinically diagnosed as periapical pathology, 0.65% was found to have a non-endodontic origin after microscopic assessment. These facts emphasise the importance of microscopic evaluation of all excised tissues, especially those with inadequate history or unusual radiographic image. The management of NPDC is fairly simple. Complete curettage or with inadequate history or unusual radiographic image. The microscopic assessment. These facts emphasise the importance the cases which were clinically diagnosed as periapical path-
lesions changed the clinical opinion. It was found that among the frequency with which histological diagnosis of periapical
diagnosis when it is mistaken for a radicular cyst.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
<th>Age in years/sex</th>
<th>Radiographic features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Allard et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Gnanasekhar et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42/M</td>
<td>Well-circumscribed ovoid radiolucent area between the roots of the vital maxillary central incisors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kuc et al</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ortega et al</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Terry and Bolanos</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40/F</td>
<td>Heart-shaped radiolucency with a sclerotic border in between the maxillary right central incisors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Guhalivala and Briggs</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Faitaroni et al</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>49/M</td>
<td>Root canal filling and periapical radiolucency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Suter et al</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17/M</td>
<td>Radiolucency extending from the upper left to the upper right canine region and including the nasal cavity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Our case</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35/M</td>
<td>Well-defined, round radiolucency in between the roots of the maxillary central incisors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F, female; M, male; NS, not specified.
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Learning points
- The case presented here is of interest because of the initial erroneous diagnosis and the redundant endodontic treatment that was carried out.
- Every persistent palatal swelling in the maxillary anterior region has to be submitted for histological evaluation.
- Important criteria to distinguish periapical cyst from the nasopalatine duct cyst have been highlighted.