Accidental finger ischaemia induced by epinephrine autoinjector
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DESCRIPTION

Epinephrine is the treatment of choice for anaphylactic reactions, and it has to be administered immediately in such a situation. However, unintentional digital injection with this drug is an increasing event.1 We report a case of acute finger ischaemia after accidental administration of epinephrine while using an autoinjector device.

A 16-year-old teenager arrived at the emergency department with intense pain, tingling, coldness and blanching of her right thumb (figure 1A). Some 30 min before, she had inadvertently injected 300 μg of epinephrine into the volar pad of her finger while trying to self-treat an allergic reaction. Epinephrine had been prescribed by an allergist because the patient had a history of recurrent anaphylaxis of probable alimentary origin. Examination of the injured digit revealed a puncture wound on the pulp, delayed capillary refill and decreased sensation as well as active and passive range of motion; systemic effects from epinephrine were absent. The attending clinician verified the complete injection of the content of the syringe. As phenolamine was not available in our hospital, immersion in warm water and local injection of salbutamol were initially attempted to reverse vasocostriction but it turned out unsuccessful. In view of the unremitting digital ischaemia, an anaesthetist and vascular and general surgeons were contacted. Treatment with intravenous alprostadil, a prostaglandin E1 analogue (40 μg twice a day for 3 days) and subcutaneous enoxaparin (50 mg every 12 h for 3 days) was proposed, and it was started 3 h after admission in addition to prednisone and an antihistaminic drug. Symptoms and signs abated progressively, and she was discharged by day 3 with light pain and impaired active range of motion of her finger as the sole symptom. At a follow-up visit 9 days after discharge, the patient was symptoms free and her right thumb showed a normal appearance (figure 1B).

Errors in handling epinephrine autoinjectors in the first aid treatment of anaphylaxis can lead to unintentional injection, usually in a finger. Although consequences may be serious, whether local (exceptionally leading to finger amputation) or systemic,2 3 these accidents generally have a favourable outcome within 2–24 h after injection, with or without treatment.2 3 In fact, there have been instances where patients with accidental finger ischaemia caused by epinephrine have recovered without much medical intervention.1 4 Hence a wider study with controls seems to be advisable to see if the difference in result is statistically significant. Topical phenolamine, a short-acting α-blocker, has been the most commonly used procedure to counter the effects of epinephrine,1 but therapeutic approaches to these injuries have not been evaluated in randomised controlled trials yet. Although alprostadil and enoxaparin association could be a therapeutic option for those patients with ongoing finger ischaemia and no possibility to receive phenolamine, there has to be a wider, ethically and methodologically approved clinical trial (ie, preclinical assessment followed by various stages of clinical study) before the use of above-mentioned drugs can be recommended for wider use.

As the utilisation of epinephrine autoinjectors is rising, efforts to prevent associated handling mistakes should be continuously advocated. In practice, it sounds like the most appropriate way to prevent such misuse is to supply precise and repeated training to healthcare professionals, patients and their caregivers.
Learning points

▸ Errors in handling epinephrine autoinjectors in the treatment of anaphylaxis can lead to unintentional injection, usually in a finger.
▸ Although consequences may be serious, whether local or systemic, these accidents usually have a favourable outcome, with or without treatment.
▸ Alprostadil and enoxaparin association could be a suitable therapeutic election for those patients with ongoing digital ischaemia and no possibility to receive local injection of phentolamine.
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