Vesicobullae and fever
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DESCRIPTION

A healthy man in his 40s presented with 5 days of vesicobullous eruption with interspersed targetoid plaques (figures 1 and 2), starting over his chest before extending to the rest of his body. It was preceded by fever, sore throat and diarrhoea. The patient’s general practitioner prescribed acyclovir to no effect. No other medication had been commenced and he denied anabolic steroid use. The patient is a teacher and plays waterpolo. He denied other outdoor activities, animal exposure, insect bites or recent travel. Investigations revealed a raised C reactive protein of 285 mg/L, erythrocyte sedimentation rate of 40 mm/hour and white cell count of 21.8×10⁹/L, predominantly neutrophilic. He required admission and was started on piperacillin-tazobactam and clindamycin for possible streptococcal/staphylococcal infection. The dermatologists took lesional biopsies for H&E and perilesional biopsies for direct immunofluorescence. A tailing down prednisolone course was also commenced.

Blood cultures, antinuclear antibody, mycoplasma IgM and antistreptolysin O titre were negative as was the viral screen for Varicella, COVID-19, Epstein-Barr virus, cytomegalovirus, hepatitis, HIV and herpes simplex. In view of this and the rapid response to steroids, antibiotics were stopped, and he was discharged after 6 days.

Histology revealed papillary dermis oedema, focal subepidermal blister and dense neutrophilic infiltrate in the upper reticular dermis. There was no evidence of vasculitis and direct immunofluorescence was negative. This was all in keeping with bullous Sweet’s syndrome, an acute febrile neutrophilic dermatosis.

Classical Sweet syndrome is characterised by fever, painful skin inflammation and mucosal lesions.1 The latter were absent in our case and lesions were non-tender, making clinical diagnosis more difficult. However, both clinical and histopathological arms of the revised diagnostic criteria were fulfilled.2 Differential diagnoses were excluded using the supportive sections of these criteria. These included drug eruptions, infectious disorders, neoplasia such as leukaemia cutis, reactive conditions like erythema multiforme, vasculitis and systemic inflammatory disorders such as lupus.1

Sweet syndrome can be associated with underlying illness as well as in previously healthy individuals.1 It is possible that our case was triggered...
Learning points

- The diagnosis of Sweet syndrome is difficult to make and easy to overlook as a differential, but it should be considered in all patients with bullous/targetoid lesions.
- Awareness of atypical presentations without characteristic mucosal ulcers and tender lesions, such as in this case, emphasises the above and the importance of a detailed history and examination in formulating a differential diagnosis.
- Investigating the patient for a secondary cause of the skin eruption is of paramount importance to exclude autoimmune, infective and neoplastic processes. However, one should keep in mind that this condition can occur in healthy individuals and in the absence of systemic pathology, when even stress can be a trigger.

Our patient improved on follow-up. Typically lesions resolve without scarring. Steroids induce faster resolution; however, these require a slow tapered down to reduce relapses which occur in around a third of patients, particularly with underlying illness.
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Case reports provide a valuable learning resource for the scientific community and can indicate areas of interest for future research. They should not be used in isolation to guide treatment choices or public health policy.
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