Guillain-Barre syndrome (GBS) associated with COVID-19 infection that resolved without treatment in a child
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SUMMARY
A 9-year-old boy presented with unbalanced gait, back pain and lower limb weakness. His physical examination revealed almost absent lower limbs reflexes and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) showed albumino-cytologic dissociation. The brain and spine MRI with contrast illustrated abnormal enhancement—suggestive of Guillain-Barré syndrome.

BACKGROUND
COVID-19 disease is caused by SARS-CoV-2 virus infection. It has been seen symptomatically among many adults across the world. In children, the disease has been found to be more asymptomatic—with many not being aware they have even been infected.¹ However, evidence is increasing that secondary neurological disorders caused by COVID-19 could affect both adults and children. The association between COVID-19 infection and Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS) has been documented well in adult patients—with few cases only in paediatric patients.²

GBS is an acute immune-mediated polyradiculoneuropathy in nature that is often associated with a preceding illness (2–4 weeks earlier)—usually a respiratory tract or gastrointestinal tract infection. It usually manifests by ascending paralysis and collateral sensory impairment.³ ⁴ The paralysis may reach the whole cerebrospinal route with bulbar respiratory centre involvement in some severe cases, which can put the patient at risk of having apnoea and paralytic respiratory failure.⁵

Reported literature until present, shows eight paediatric patients who had GBS associated with COVID-19.⁶ ²⁷–ⁱ⁰

All children required treatment with intravenous immunoglobulin with one child requiring plasma exchange,² ⁴ ²⁷ because they met this level of therapy criteria. Neither during admission nor for several weeks earlier, our patient did not show either the classic COVID-19 infection symptoms, like fever, cough, or taste or smell changes, or the PIMS-TS (Paediatric multisystem inflammatory syndrome Temporally associated with SARS-CoV-2) symptoms,¹⁶ and he had limited neurological involvement. He had reversible and self-resolving condition which didn’t require specific treatment.

CASE PRESENTATION
A 9-year-old boy presented due to worsening back pain and unsteadiness. He was accompanied by his mother who described ongoing back pain that had worsened over the last 3 weeks. This was attraumatic with no clear cause. He had no history of blurred/double vision or any abnormal autonomic symptoms and there was no bowel or bladder incontinence. Over this time, she had noticed he had become more unsteady on his feet going from a previously fit and active 9-year-old boy to now needing assistance with basic activities of daily living like walking and dressing. He had his legs feeling weak beneath him. He did not have any of the most common symptoms of COVID-19 infection including cough, fever, smell sense or taste loss. Other systems review was unremarkable and there was no clinical evidence of any single or multiorgan dysfunction.¹⁶ His mother stated she thought his appetite had decreased over this time alongside some mild weight loss.

His only medical history to note was low vitamin D levels, for which he was on cholecalciferol. There was no significant family history.

On examination, he was alert and orientated. Examination of the respiratory, cardiovascular and gastrointestinal system were unremarkable. On neurological examination his cranial nerves were intact, he had no clinical evidence of any single or multiorgan dysfunctions. He had normal tone, power, reflexes of his upper limbs. In his lower limbs, he had power 4/5 with absent left leg reflexes and just elicitable right leg reflexes. He had bilateral equivocal plantar reflexes. Cerebellar signs were negative. He had a wide based ataxic gait and was objectively nervous about walking. He was able to walk 10 m across an open space with help (grade 3 on GBS disability scale).¹⁷ He was unable to run or walk on his tip toes and could not jump with 2 ft.

Based on his history and examination, he was admitted to the children’s ward and investigations were undertaken.

INVESTIGATIONS
Blood results

- Haemoglobin 126 g/L (normal range 111–147 g/L), white cell count 5.9×10⁹/L (normal range 4.5–14.5×10⁹/L), slightly decreased neutrophils 1.2×10⁹/L (normal range 1.5–8×10⁹/L), platelets 414×10⁹/L (normal range 150–450×10⁹/L).
- C reactive protein 2.4 mg/L. (Normal range ≤5.0 mg/L).
- Erythrocyte sedimentation rate 10 mm/hour slightly elevated (normal range 1–7 mm/hour).
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Brain and spine MRI with contrast showed symmetrical enhancement of the proximal mastoid segments of the facial nerves (figure 1) and the cauda equina (figure 2).

Nerve conduction velocity study was requested, however, the patient could not tolerate undergoing the study, therefore, we decided to postpone then we cancelled it since the child was not in need for treatment and was getting improved gradually.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS
Since the clinical presentation was mainly of back pain and lower limbs weakness which affected the patient’s ability to stand and walk steadily, we investigated all other possibly underlying orthopaedic, muscular and neurological causes including other autoimmuneological causes and all were ruled out. A significant degree of areflexia in both legs and the albuminocytological dissociation in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) on top of a special enhancement around some lower legs supplying nerves routes on the MRI were all suggestive of GBS.

TREATMENT
We managed the patient at the local district general with specialist paediatric neurology input from the local tertiary centre. As per our local guidelines and the paediatric neurology consultation, this patient disability grade was static (2–3) and did not worsen during admission. Conversely, he clinically improved gradually during hospital stay, hence intravenous immunoglobulin was not given.

He was managed conservatively, monitoring active symptoms as well as peak flow to ensure no respiratory involvement. His peak flows remained normal throughout admission (ranging from 210 to 240).

He had ongoing back and leg pain, for which he was successfully treated for using analgesics along with an increasing dose of gabapentin 10 mg/kg once a day on day 1, 10 mg/kg two times per day on day 2, 10 mg/kg three times per day on day 3 and then stopped.

He obtained regular physiotherapy in order to regain skills and to avoid any muscle wasting.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
The patient has been recovering gradually without the use of any intravenous immunoglobulin or plasmapheresis and was discharged home to continue therapy in the community. He was fully treated for using analgesics along with an increasing dose of gabapentin and then stopped.

He obtained regular physiotherapy in order to regain skills and to avoid any muscle wasting.

DISCUSSION
GBS is an immune-mediated polyradiculoneuropathy. Symptoms usually appear 2–4 weeks after a viral infection. However, no definite resources can confirm this period after COVID-19 infection, taking into consideration that COVID-19 PCR may remain positive for several weeks. Therefore, our patient may have been asymptomatically infected weeks before showing GBS symptoms.

Affected patients can present with limb or cranial-nerves weakness, loss of deep tendon reflexes, sensory and dysautonomic symptoms.

The main pathophysiology for the clinical presentation is demyelination and/or axonal damage to peripheral nerves or roots.
Other causes of muscle weakness and unsteady gait (transverse myelitis, myositis, etc) must be ruled out by a precise neurological examination along with several laboratory investigations and targeted imaging.

Neurological symptoms in COVID-19 presents as either life-threatening conditions such as GBS, encephalitis, encephalopathy, meningitis and cerebrovascular accidents, or as long-term symptoms such as chronic fatigue and myalgia termed as Long COVID-19 or Post-acute sequela of COVID-19.7

The association of COVID-19 with GBS has been reported with incidence being more common in adult population with symptoms ranging from being mild to severe needing mechanical ventilation and mortality.

A review of published case reports8 suggests that GBS presented as cranial nerve involvement in the absence of muscle weakness in 22.9% patients: as classic sensory motor variant in 75% patients and pure motor variant in 2.1% patients. The electrodagnostic pattern was considered demyelinating in 82.4% of the generalised variants.9

Another systematic review9 suggests that GBS associated with COVID-19 responded to intravenous immunoglobulins (Ig) in 78% of patients.

Literature search shows eight paediatric patients,10–15 with GBS associated with COVID-19, all patients needing intravenous Ig and 1 child needing plasma exchange.

The self-limitation, the spontaneous recovery of disease course, of GBS was explored on patients who received supportive care only during hospitalisation.16

Until present, our patient is the first presentation of GBS associated with COVID-19 which had limited disability and was self-resolving in nature.

Although nerve conduction studies could not be performed in our patient, clinical features, CSF findings and MRI were suggestive of GBS.

While immune-mediated destruction of nerve tissues is considered as the pathophysiological mechanism for GBS secondary to COVID-19, further studies are needed to establish the association of GBS and COVID-19.
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