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SUMMARY
A case of an adolescent boy with persistent thoracic symptoms and recurrence of pectus excavatum (after previous treatment with the Nuss procedure) is presented. During thoracoscopic revision, subdiaphragmatic migration of the implant was noted. The bar was removed without damage to the intrathoracic organs or other complications, and a new bar was placed and stabilised. Revision showed successful correction of the thorax, and the boy had no thoracic symptoms.

BACKGROUND
The Nuss procedure is the most applied surgical treatment of pectus excavatum (PE). It was developed by Donald Nuss in 1987 and implemented as primary treatment in the late 1990s.1 This minimally invasive surgery achieves improvement of thoracic anatomy, aesthetics and cardiopulmonary function.1 2 However, early and late complications of the Nuss procedure often occur. Complications may be seen intraoperatively (eg, haemothorax, pericardial perforation, arrhythmias) or postoperatively (eg, bar displacement, pleural effusion, pneumothorax, wound infections, pericardial effusion).3 Bar displacement is one of the most prevalent complications.1 Three types of bar displacement can be distinguished: lateral sliding, flipping or caudal displacement and potential rotation of the bar.1

In this report, we present a patient with an abdominal location of a bar due to the extraordinary occurrence of subdiaphragmatic migration following the Nuss procedure.

CASE PRESENTATION
The patient is an adolescent boy with a history of mild scoliosis and epilepsy, without any seizures or medication in the 7 years prior to surgery. To remedy cosmetic problems of PE, he underwent a thoracoscopic-guided Nuss procedure. During the procedure, no unexpected events occurred and the patient completed his admission without complications. Perioperative images showed a good intrathoracic placement of the Nuss bar. One month after surgery, he presented with thoracic pain, which was interpreted as persistent postoperative pain. Physical examination and a thoracic X-ray showed no abnormalities and an unaltered position of the Nuss bar. Eight months after surgery, he presented with position-dependent discomfort and a recurrence of the PE, for which bar revision was indicated. During surgery, subdiaphragmatic and intrathoracic migration of the bar were seen. Subsequently, the bar was removed, and a new bar was placed intrathoracically.

INITIAL NUSS BAR PLACEMENT
The initial bar placement by video-assisted thoracoscopy (VATS) was done under both general and epidural anaesthesia, with the patient placed in supine position. The bar was measured and bent to fit size. An incision was made on both sides of the thorax, followed by submuscular tunnelling. A 5 mm trocar was introduced in the anterior section of the axillary region on the right side, followed by insufflation of carbon dioxide and tunnelling under thoracoscopic vision. A precordial tunnel from side to side was created. A silicon tube was secured to the tunneler, after which the previously bent bar was pulled back through the precordial tunnel (figure 1). The bar turned with good result, and haemodynamic changes were not noted. A fixation plate was introduced on the left side, on which the lateral part of the bar was bent to prevent slip-off of the plate. Haemostasis of the precordial tunnel and operative wounds was achieved. The wounds were closed in layers. The procedure was carried out without any complications and with minimal blood loss. The patient was discharged on postoperative day 3.

INVESTIGATIONS
The first postoperative X-ray showed a good position of the bar (figure 2). When thoracic symptoms persisted, a second X-ray was performed, which was initially described as showing no abnormalities (figure 3). A second reading, however, did show caudal displacement and potential rotation of the bar with the right hemidiaphragm projecting over the bar.

TREATMENT
The revision by VATS was carried out under both general and epidural anaesthesia, with the patient placed in supine position. Incisions were made at the same level as during the previous surgery and scar tissue was excised. Subsequently, the bar and fixation plate on the left side were mobilised. Led by palpable movement, localisation of the bar was attempted on the right side without any success. A 5 mm trocar was introduced in the frontal axillary region on the right side, followed by creating
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thoracoscopic vision. Under direct vision, the bar could not be identified on the right side of the thorax. Migration to the subdiaphragmatic region appeared to have occurred, as movement of the bar was seen below the diaphragm. There were no signs of damage to the diaphragm or surrounding tissues (figure 4). The left thoracic incision site was extended, and the Nuss bar was manually removed through the left side, without any haemodynamic changes. A precordial tunnel from side to side was created again. A silicon tube was secured to the tunnelling instrument, after which the newly bent bar was retracted through the precordial tunnel. The bar turned with good result and without any haemodynamic changes. A fixation plate was introduced; this time on the right side, on which the lateral part of the bar was bent to prevent slip-off of the plate. Haemostasis of the precordial tunnel and operative wounds was achieved. The wounds were closed in layers. The operation was carried out without

Figure 1  Intraoperative view of first bar placement.

Figure 2  Postoperative X-thorax after first bar placement.

Figure 3  Follow-up X-thorax.

Figure 4  Intraoperative view of absent intrathoracic bar with intact diaphragm.
Moreover, Tahmassebi et al present 12 other bar migration cases from literature, in most of which the aetiology remained unclear. Migration sites included peritoneum, ascending aorta, left ventricle of the heart and liver. Among other types of displacement, Hoel et al reported a life-threatening laceration of the ascending aorta in a young boy 2 months after a Nuss procedure. A cardiac tamponade was the consequence of this laceration, which was relieved by immediate needle aspiration from the pericardium and subsequent median sternotomy for removal of the bar. Furthermore, Yang et al presented a similar case in which displacement of the bar caused cardiac tamponade 6 months after the Nuss procedure.

Kanegaonkar and Dussek presented a case report of three bar migration cases. One case was a patient in early adolescence who at the age of 2 years had received a lower lobectomy for an unresolved lobar consolidation. Because of sternal depression, the patient underwent resection of the costal cartilages from the third rib downwards and a partial transverse sternotomy followed by a bar placement. During elective bar removal after 2 years, a part of the bar was seen buried into newly formed bone of the sixth rib. The other two cases presented by Kanegaonkar and Dussek concerned bar migration into the thoracic cavity. One was observed during elective bar removal, the other was seen on CT after a failed attempt to remove the bar because it could not be located during surgery.

While bar displacement may occur in 3%–7% of cases, bar migration is a rather extraordinary occurrence with an unknown prevalence. It could be defined as passage of foreign material through organs or tissues without any damage to those organs or tissues. In our case, indeed, damage to the diaphragm was seen neither during the initial procedure nor during the second procedure. Several mechanisms have been proposed that could cause such migration events, including cardiac and lung activity, patient rotation activities, narrow thickness of the bar and unilateral fixation of the bar where the movement of the contralateral side is not limited. Furthermore, in the case of bar migration to the subdiaphragmatic region, self-healing of the diaphragm could conceal the extra-thoracic position of the bar during surgery.

If migration of a Nuss bar is suspected, thorough examination through X-rays and, if necessary, CT scans are advocated to be the first course of action. Furthermore, an ultrasound could confirm whether the bar is located above or below the diaphragm. In addition, MRI could assess if the bar has penetrated diaphragmatic structures. When bar migration or displacement of the bar is highly suspected, but not seen on imaging techniques, a second look through thoracoscopic surgery is indicated.

When intra-abdominal migration is seen on additional imaging, a revision through endoscopic surgery is necessary to safely remove the bar. Furthermore, VATS or laparoscopy may be insufficient to locate and remove the migrated bar, such as in the case described by Tahmassebi et al. In such circumstances, an open approach through laparotomy may be the best option for correct and safe removal of the Nuss bar.

Nevertheless, novel methods have been proposed for reducing the risk of bar migration or displacement. For instance, Tam et al recently presented the ZipFix stabilisation method in 20 patients without any recurrence or bar displacement, by using a biocompatible cable-tie implant. However, these methods are yet to be further studied for their success rates.

In conclusion, bar migration following the Nuss procedure is a rare occurrence with an unknown aetiology. On occurrence or suspicion of bar migration, cautious action through...
X-ray, CT scan and VATS is essential. New methods that could reduce the risk of bar migration or displacement are yet to be studied.

**Learning points**

- Extrathoracic or intra-abdominal migration of a Nuss bar is a rare but potential appearance.
- The aetiology of this type of migration is unknown.
- Low-threshold X-thorax, CT scan and possibly revision are essential when new thoracic symptoms present in patients with a Nuss bar.
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