Unusual and rare cause of abdominal pain: abdominal desmoid fibromatosis masquerading as a postoperative haematoma
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SUMMARY
Desmoid fibromatosis is a rare connective tissue malignancy. It can occur in a variety of locations, including the abdominal wall, extremities and abdominal cavity. There has been an association with development in a prior surgical scar. Common symptoms can vary depending on the location and can include being painless to having pain at the site, functional impairment and bowel obstruction from intra-abdominal masses. In the following report, we discuss a case in which a patient’s abdominal pain was attributed to a postoperative haematoma based on CT radiographic features; however, further work-up and biopsy yielded desmoid fibromatosis, a rare locally aggressive malignancy.

BACKGROUND
Desmoid fibromatosis (DF) is a rare connective tissue malignancy with a reported incidence of 2–5 cases per million per year.1,3 DF accounts for 0.3% of all neoplasms, and 3% of all soft tissue tumors.1,3 DF can occur in a variety of locations, including the abdominal wall, extremities and abdominal cavity.1,4 The WHO classifies DF as an intermediate/to locally invasive neoplasm.1,4 DF lacks distant malignant potential, though it can be associated with pain or organ dysfunction due to its locally invasive nature.1,3 Pain occurs due to direct pressure of local anatomical structures or pain arising due to the swelling.

Patients with familial adenomatosis polyposis (FAP) are at increased risk of DF, with DF occurring in 10%–30% of patients with FAP and 10%–20% of patients with DF having FAP.1,2,5 This association is related to the implication of the dysregulation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway in DF. The APC gene defective in FAP is a regulator of β-catenin.1,2,4,5

DF has also been shown to have a proclivity for development in locations with prior surgical scar. Specifically for abdominal wall DF, most affected patients are female with childbearing history, as was our patient in this case.1,3

CASE PRESENTATION
A woman in her 60s presented to her primary care physician for further evaluation of abdominal pain. She had undergone elective surgical repair of idiopathic sciocrosis 3 weeks prior. She had a history of breast cancer remotely requiring bilateral mastectomy, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, hypertension and hypothyroidism. There was no family history of gastrointestinal or musculoskeletal malignancy. She had reported to have been recovering well from spinal surgery other than having abdominal discomfort that waxed and waned in intensity, which was apparent postoperatively. She did not require analgesic medications for her spine but was requiring analgesic relief for the ongoing abdominal discomfort. Her abdominal wall pain was deemed related to a small haematoma based on initial CT radiological findings. She was informed it would ultimately resolve over time. Her abdominal pain had escalated seeking her to see care at a local emergency department three times prior to presentation to her primary care physician. She denied fever, chills or sweats. Her main symptom was midline abdominal discomfort. On our initial examination, she had midline abdominal pain with a lump of the midline. Her pain was out of proportion to examination. There was no induration or fluctuance. Her postoperative spinal scar was healing well, requiring analgesic relief for her spine but was well from spinal surgery other than having abdominal discomfort. Her pain was related to a small haematoma based on initial CT radiological findings. She was informed it would ultimately resolve over time. Her abdominal pain had escalated seeking her to see care at a local emergency department three times prior to presentation to her primary care physician. She denied fever, chills or sweats. Her main symptom was midline abdominal discomfort. On our initial examination, she had midline abdominal pain with a lump of the midline. Her pain was out of proportion to examination. There was no induration or fluctuance. Her postoperative spinal scar was healing well, requiring analgesic relief for the ongoing abdominal discomfort. Her abdominal wall pain was deemed related to a small haematoma based on initial CT radiological findings. She was informed it would ultimately resolve over time. Her abdominal pain had escalated seeking her to see care at a local emergency department three times prior to presentation to her primary care physician. She denied fever, chills or sweats. Her main symptom was midline abdominal discomfort. On our initial examination, she had midline abdominal pain with a lump of the midline. Her pain was out of proportion to examination. There was no induration or fluctuance.
The patient was referred to surgical oncology. Given the size of the mass, superficial location and associated pain, surgical resection was deemed appropriate. She underwent surgical resection of the mass with abdominal wall repair with mesh. Postoperatively, she reported that her pain had resolved and recovered uneventfully.

Final pathology was consistent with preoperative diagnosis of DF, and margins were negative (figure 3).

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS
The differential diagnoses for abdominal wall DF include haematoma, abscess, infections, arteriovenous malformations, lipomatous tumours, neurogenic tumours, fibromas and other mesenchymal malignancies such as fibromyxoid or spindle cell carcinomas.1 6 Our patient did not have fever, chills or other systemic symptoms suggestive of infection or abscess. Clinically, symptomatic postoperative spinal haematomas can be identified in 33%–100% of surgical cases but are identified of the epidural region.7 The features of her imaging did not have features of a fistula or arteriovenous malformation.

TREATMENT
Surgical excision alleviated our patient’s symptoms and was chosen due to her refractory pain and interval increased size with repeat CT. Surgery had historically been deemed the mainstay of treatment with wide resection being standard practice in order to lower recurrence rates. Surgical resection may be associated with increased mortality and morbidity and thus a more conservative approach with watchful waiting is reasonable. Additional treatment options include radiotherapy and systemic therapy. Therapeutic agents that include anti-oestrogenic drugs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, cytotoxic chemotherapy and tyrosine kinase inhibitors have been reported in achieving disease stability.

Multiple publications reveal that despite wide margin excision, local neoplastic disease recurs in up to 28% of cases.4 5 There is also evidence that growth arrest or spontaneous resolution may occur, and surveillance may be a reasonable alternative with intervention after watchful waiting.2 Medical management in cases of progression during active surveillance may include tamoxifen, non-steroidal anti-inflammatories, methotrexate plus vinblastine, tyrosine kinase inhibitors and full-dose chemotherapy such as doxorubicin and other anthracycline uses for sarcomas.2 8

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
In this case, surgical resection led to full recovery and resolution of symptoms. She was placed on non-steroidal anti-inflammatories daily. She has been actively followed with MRI at 6-month intervals and has had no recurrence of mass. She will continue surveillance over the next 5 years based on specialty recommendations.

DISCUSSION
DF is a rare but important consideration in the evaluation of a new mass. Patients with FAP are at increased risk of DF, with DF occurring in 10%–30% of patients with FAP and 10%–20% of patients with DF having FAP.1 5 This association is related to the implication of the dysregulation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway in DF. The APC gene defective in FAP is a regulator of β-catenin.12 4 5

DF has also been shown to have a proclivity for development in locations with prior surgical scar. Specifically for abdominal
wall DF, most affected patients are female with childbearing history, as was our patient in this case. 13

With regard to imaging choices during consideration of DF, different modalities may be considered but are suboptimal compared with MRI. On ultrasound, DF presents as variably defined infiltrative heterogeneous mass, with variable echogenicity. 1 On CT, DF is often isodense to skeletal muscle with areas of variable attenuation attributed to areas of high collagen content or myxoid components. 45 MRI is frequently useful and is considered the gold standard for imaging. Biopsy is needed for diagnosis. MRI is considered the gold standard for staging and surveillance of DF as it provides detailed information on relationship of the mass to adjacent structures. 23

Treatment of DF was historically surgery, but local recurrence rate is around 28%. 46 More contemporary approaches to treating DF include watchful waiting, radiation therapy, cryoablation and systemic agents such as chemotherapy or tyrosine kinase inhibitors. 13 Regarding our patient, her DF was symptomatic and had shown interval enlargement, so surgery was the best approach. This particular patient had recently undergone extensive spinal surgery with a posterior approach, which is associated with retroperitoneal or epidural haematoma. 910 Abdominal wall haematoma resulting from spinal surgery appears to be rare, as we were not able to identify any such association in the literature. This case demonstrates the importance of keeping a wide differential for abdominal wall mass postoperatively, especially in the case of interval enlargement or non-timely resolution of the mass as well as persistent discomfort. A clinician must consider neoplastic disease in the differential diagnosis in cases where a haematoma seems atypical in nature.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank our patient for allowing us to present this unique case. Contributors JDB contributed to the article with editing and formatting, and assisted with writing the manuscript. HML contributed to the manuscript with writing the body of the manuscript and researching the case. DS contributed by editing the manuscript and assisting with formatting and reviewing the literature. Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Obtained.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

Case reports provide a valuable learning resource for the scientific community and can indicate areas of interest for future research. They should not be used in isolation to guide treatment choices or public health policy.
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