Rare case of burkholderia liver abscess complicated by hepatobronchial fistula
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DESCRIPTION

Even though there are few case reports of multidrug-resistant burkholderia causing various infections in immunocompromised individuals, hepatobronchial fistula due to burkholderia is not reported.1–3

A 58-year-old man with no known comorbidities presented with a history of low-grade continuous fever and right-sided abdominal pain for 1 month. He had received tablet paracetamol prescribed by a general practitioner. But his symptoms did not subside, and he had increased fatigue, so he came to the hospital. On general examination, heart rate was 94/min, blood pressure was 134/78 mm Hg and temperature was 39°C. Cardiac, pulmonary and abdominal examinations revealed no abnormality. Laboratory tests were remarkable for a leucocytosis (15 080 cells/mm³), total bilirubin 2.6 mg/dL, alanine transferase 180 U/L, aspartate transferase 72 U/L. Chest X-ray showed an elevated right hemidiaphragm. The patient was admitted and treated with fluids, and empiric antibiotic therapy with piperacillin-tazobactam was started. Baseline investigations and blood cultures were taken.

An abdominal ultrasound (US) revealed two hypodense collections (3.5 cm and 4 cm diameter) in the right lobe of the liver. Subsequently, contrast-enhanced CT (figure 1) showed few hypodense collections in both lobes of the liver for which percutaneous drainage was placed, yielding 80 cc of purulent fluid. Entamoeba histolytica serology was negative. On analysis of the fluid, cartridge-based nucleic acid amplification test for tuberculosis and malignant cells were negative. Pus culture from the aspirate subsequently grew multidrug-resistant

Box 1 Common causes of fistulation

2. Hepatic hydatid cysts.
3. Amoebic liver abscesses.
4. Pyogenic liver abscesses.
5. Bilary tract lithiasis.
7. Surgical complications.

Box 2 Investigations of fistula

1. Chest X-ray after instillation of water-soluble contrast material in the hepatic drain tube.
2. CT abdomen and thorax.
3. US abdomen.
4. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography.
5. Percutaneous transhepatic cholangiography.
6. MRI.
7. Hepatobiliary iminodiacetic acid scan.

Box 3 Priorities in treatment

1. Antibiotics.
2. Conservative approach (spontaneous closure).
3. Minimally invasive surgery (percutaneous drainage and decompression of hepatic abscesses).
4. Radical surgery (lung decortication and diaphragm repair, liver wedge resection).

Figure 1 CT scan showing liver abscesses (A—abscess, B—liver, C—stomach, D—drain).

Figure 2 (A) Postcontrast CT after instillation of dye through the drain showing hepatobronchial fistula (red arrows), A—abscess, B—lung, C—hepatobronchial fistula, H—liver. (B) Line diagram of hepatobronchial fistula. ***Created by author using Microsoft PowerPoint online 3D models. Permission to reuse.
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Burkholderia cepacia. Antibiotics were broadened to high intravenous dose-extended meropenem infusion.

On day 8 of the hospital stay, he developed a dry cough, shortness of breath and a metallic taste in the mouth. We observed that lavage of the abscess caused cough with purulent discharge. Clinical suspicion of the hepatobronchial fistula was made. CT chest and abdomen were done after instillation of contrast through the drain, which revealed a fistulous tract between the liver abscess and the bronchus (figure 2). Hepatic drain decompression was done, and injection tigecycline was added. Over the next 10 days, the drain output gradually decreased. Follow-up CT after 1 month showed resolution of abscess and fistula.

Common causes of fistulation, investigations of fistula, priorities in treatment and factors in fistula healing are listed below (boxes 1–4).
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