Prone position plexopathy: an avoidable complication of prone positioning for COVID-19 pneumonitis?
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SUMMARY
Prone positioning is a mainstay of management for those presenting to the intensive care unit with moderate-to-severe acute respiratory distress syndrome due to COVID-19. While this is a necessary and life-saving intervention in selected patients, careful positioning and meticulous care are required to prevent compression and traction of the brachial plexus, and resultant brachial plexopathy. We describe two patients who developed a brachial plexus injury while undergoing prone positioning for management of COVID-19 pneumonitis. Both patients were diabetic and underwent prolonged periods in the prone position during which the plexopathy affected arm was abducted for 19 and 55 hours, respectively. We discuss strategies to reduce the risk of this rare but potentially disabling complication of prone positioning.

BACKGROUND
Prone positioning improves oxygenation and increases survival in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and has become a mainstay of management for those presenting to the intensive care unit (ICU) with refractory hypoxaemia due to COVID-19. The life-saving benefits of prone positioning in these patients clearly outweigh the accompanying risks, including pressure sores, tracheal tube obstruction, and brachial plexus injury; however, it remains important to consider measures which can mitigate these potentially disabling complications.

Brachial plexus injury is a recognised complication of prone positioning. The long course of the brachial plexus, its firm fixation to the prevertebral and axillary fascia and its close association with the clavicle, first rib and humerus, underlie its vulnerability. Compression and traction during prone positioning results in periaxonal oedema, ischaemia of the vasa nervorum, demyelination, and in severe cases, axonal degeneration. While short-lived and mild insults result in a reversible conduction block (neuropraxia), recovery from more severe injury can be limited and result in significant functional disability.

Prone positioning during anaesthesia for surgical procedures utilises various different operating tables and positioning techniques, intended to reduce tension on nerve roots or reduce intra-abdominal pressure. By contrast, in those with ARDS on the ICU, positioning options are limited, while evidence suggesting that patients should be kept in the prone position for at least 16 hours to obtain a survival benefit necessitates long periods in the prone position.

Current Intensive Care Society (UK) guidelines propose the use of the 'swimmer’s position', in which one arm is abducted (to no more than 90°) with the elbow flexed such that the hand is placed next to the head. Although not obvious from the name, the head should face the abducted arm and the other arm is placed at the patient’s side. This position is intended to minimise traction and compression of the brachial plexus, which is worse if the head faces away, or if the shoulder is abducted >90° (figure 1). The head and arm positions should be alternated every 2–4 hours, reducing the duration of any nerve insult that may occur, and patients should be tilted 30° head-up. However, during the COVID-19 pandemic the frequency of alternation, which requires an airway-trained doctor to be present, was necessarily reduced, as...
high numbers of patients placed significant pressure on ICU staffing.\textsuperscript{7,14}

It has been proposed that factors including hypovolaemia, hypothermia and diabetes can contribute the development of brachial plexus neuropathy.\textsuperscript{7,15,16} COVID-19 has also been proposed to have numerous neurological sequelae, however, given the ubiquity of COVID-19 infection at the time of writing, care must be taken when attributing causality to neurological presentations.\textsuperscript{17–19}

We describe two cases of brachial plexopathy occurring in patients following prone positioning due to COVID-19 pneumonia and discuss measures that may prevent this complication of prone positioning. Both patients consented to inclusion in this manuscript.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

Patient characteristics, prone position details and clinical and neurophysiological results are summarised in table 1. Both patients were male, diabetic and from a black or ethnic minority background. Both developed an acute kidney injury requiring dialysis during their ICU stay, and neither had subclavian venous catheter insertion or any other procedure near the brachial plexus. Neither patient was treated with steroids as the efficacy of dexamethasone in COVID-19 had not been proven at the time of their admission.

We describe two cases of brachial plexopathy occurring in patients following prone positioning due to COVID-19 pneumonia and discuss measures that may prevent this complication of prone positioning. Both patients consented to inclusion in this manuscript.

### Table 1: Characteristics, clinical presentation, prone positioning details and outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient 1</th>
<th>Patient 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>Caribbean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes?</td>
<td>T1DM, (HBA1C 11.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days on ICU</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours in prone position (total)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction of affected arm (hours)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum continuous</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean continuous</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction of unaffected arm (hours)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum continuous</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean continuous</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abduction of arm (hours)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum continuous</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean continuous</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical features</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical presentation</td>
<td>Complete flaccid paralysis of all muscles in left upper limb. Absent reflexes. Severe sensory loss distal to shoulder.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>Severe acute denervation in left upper limb (first dorsal interosseous, extensor digitorum communis, extensor indicis, brachioradialis, triceps, biceps and deltoid) but normal EMG in pectoralis major and supra/infraspinatus. Sensory action potentials absent in left upper limb (median, ulnar, radial and medial antebrachial cutaneous) and left median and ulnar motor potentials small.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI c-spine and brachial plexus</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcome (6 months)</strong></td>
<td>MMN-RODS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; EMG, electromyogram; ICU, intensive care unit; MMN RODS, Multifocal Motor Neuropathy Rasch-built Overall Disability Scale (initially developed for use in multifocal motor neuropathy, we use this due to its ability to assess functional limitation in asymmetric upper limb weakness; 50 is normal while 0 means inability to perform any of 25 common activities); mRS, Modified Rankin Scale.

---

**Figure 2**: Analysis of prone positioning. Patient 1 spent 22 hours and patient 2 101 hours in the prone position, including extended periods with the plexopathy-affected arm continuously abducted. Patient 2 was often positioned with the head facing away from the abducted arm. Time is indicated, in hours (h), for each period in prone position. BMI, body mass index; I&V, intubated and ventilated; ITU, intensive therapy unit. Figure drawn by Josh King-Robson.
norepinephrine requirements (2.667 mcg/kg/hour) and marked hypoxaemia (pO₂ 5.2kPa). He subsequently spent 15 days intubated and ventilated and the left upper limb weakness was discovered after extubation. An MRI scan of the cervical spine and brachial plexus was normal. After 6 months there was partial improvement in proximal power but still no functional use of the left hand.

Patient 2, on waking from sedation presented with a left wrist drop. There was markedly asymmetric weakness especially of left wrist extension, and some bilateral weakness of the intrinsic hand muscles. EMG demonstrated severe focal abnormalities of the left radial nerve, but also changes suggesting critical illness neuromyopathy. His ICU stay had included 101 hours in the prone position across six separate sessions, during which the plexopathy-affected left arm was abducted for a total of 55 hours. Periods of continuous abduction of the left arm varied from 4 to 11 hours (mean 6.8 hours). His head often faced away from the abducted arm (figure 2). This patient had markedly raised levels of interleukin-6 (25.2 ng/L) and interleukin-8 (55.6 ng/L). This patient spent a total of 58 days intubated and ventilated. MRI brain imaging while on the ICU revealed a 1.3 cm T2 hyperintense lesion within the left corona radiata. This lesion demonstrated pronounced high signal on diffusion-weighted imaging with mildly reduced apparent diffusion coefficient values and involution on repeat imaging 1 month later, consistent with an inflammatory lesion with gliosis, although we cannot exclude an ischaemic aetiology. After 6 months there was partial improvement in left hand weakness but still no functional use of the left hand and persisting sensory loss.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

Both patients had significant residual disability at 6 months, as evidenced by their Modified Rankin Scale score (table 1). The more severe plexus injury was experienced by the patient who spent a shorter overall time in the prone position, and it is clear that even short prone surgical procedures can result in brachial plexus injury.20 The extremely high norepinephrine requirements and severe hypoxia of this patient may have exacerbated any ischaemic insult to the plexus.

DISCUSSION

We describe two patients who developed a brachial plexus injury following prone positioning during treatment for COVID-19 pneumonitis. In addition to necessarily prolonged periods of prone positioning, the profound hypoxia which necessitated this positioning and the metabolic consequences of diabetes would likely have conferred increased risk of nerve injury. Assuming these factors are already optimally treated, improved patient positioning is one method that we can implement to mitigate the risk of prone plexus injury in future.

Both of our patients had prolonged periods of prone positioning, with the affected arm abducted for significantly longer than the recommended 2 to 4 hours. In our second patient, the head often faced away from the abducted arm, placing the brachial plexus under increased tension.

One of these patients also developed a cerebral inflammatory lesion during his ICU stay;21 while this left-sided lesion would not explain the left upper limb weakness, it raises the possibility that a COVID-19-related inflammatory aetiology may have played a role in his nerve injury. There are reports of mononeuritis multiplex and brachial neuritis (neuralgic amyotrophy) in patients following COVID-19 infection,22 and our patients’ deficits may have a purely inflammatory cause, however we feel a largely mechanical cause is more likely because of the prolonged prone positioning, lack of pain, and in patient 1, the anatomical distribution of severe motor and sensory deficit affecting only a single limb.17 19 23 24

The weaknesses of our report include its retrospective nature and the slightly limited neurological assessment and follow-up due to staffing pressures during the pandemic.

The trials of prone positioning that demonstrated its efficacy in ARDS did not report plexopathy as a complication.1 2 This may be because the positioning guidance, strictly followed during these trials, was less rigorously followed during the COVID-19 pandemic as large numbers of unwell patients placed a significant burden on ICU staffing and resources. It may also be that the microvascular and inflammatory sequelae of systemic COVID-19 infection contributed to the positioning-related ischaemic insult in our patients; indeed, a number of cases of plexus injury in COVID-19 have been reported, highlighting that this is not an uncommon problem in this patient population, including in some patients who have not undergone prone positioning.20 22 24 25

The ‘swimmer’s position’ is widely advocated in guidelines; however, there is little evidence for its safety, and no controlled trials comparing different positions, while most of the pre-pandemic experience of prone positioning was derived from anaesthesia for comparatively short periods of time. Some anaesthetists have advocated avoidance of arm abduction during prone positioning, advising instead to keep both arms adducted at the patient’s sides to reduce risk to the brachial plexus.26 Given that the ‘swimmer’s position’ appears liable to malpositioning, we wonder if not just the name but also the position might be abandoned, in favour of the adducted approach. Nevertheless, current guidelines provide a pragmatic approach to reduce risk. As large numbers of patients continue to be placed in the prone position due to COVID-19 infection, we emphasise the importance of meticulous patient positioning and adherence to Intensive Care Society (UK) prone positioning guidelines.13

Learning points

► Brachial plexus injury is a disabling complication of prone positioning for COVID-19 pneumonitis.
► Meticulous patient positioning according to Intensive Care Society (UK) prone positioning guidelines is important to reduce the risk of prone position plexopathy.
► Care must be taken to ensure that patients in the prone position are turned regularly, alternating the head and arm positions at least every 2–4 hours, to reduce the risk to the brachial plexus.
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Case reports provide a valuable learning resource for the scientific community and can indicate areas of interest for future research. They should not be used in isolation to guide treatment choices or public health policy.
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