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SUMMARY
Meropenem is a broad-spectrum carbapenem widely used to treat both Gram-positive and negative bacterial infections, including extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing microbes. We describe the occurrence of thrombocytopenia and hypersensitivity in a boy receiving intravenous meropenem for intra-abdominal sepsis secondary to perforated appendicitis. The patient developed a pruritic maculopapular rash with occasional petechiae, associated with severe thrombocytopenia, after 7 days of meropenem administration. Investigations for other causes of thrombocytopenia, including possible line sepsis, were unfruitful, and the thrombocytopenia did not resolve until cessation of meropenem. Drug-induced reactions should be considered in children receiving meropenem who present with a rash and thrombocytopenia.

BACKGROUND
Meropenem is a widely prescribed broad-spectrum antimicrobial belonging to the carbapenem family. It has activity against Gram-positive and Gram-negative micro-organisms, as well as anaerobes and extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing microbes. Indications for use include sepsis and complicated infections of the abdomen, skin, respiratory tract and urinary tract, making it a widely prescribed antibiotic in the hospital setting. Common side effects include nausea, vomiting and diarrhoea, while unusual side effects include skin hypersensitivity reactions. Here we report a rare occurrence of meropenem-induced thrombocytopenia and hypersensitivity in an 11-year-old boy. To our knowledge, such a reaction to meropenem has not yet been specifically documented in the paediatric setting. Although rare, drug-induced thrombocytopenia can quickly lead to life-threatening complications if not adequately addressed in a timely manner. We explore the differential diagnoses, investigations and management options used and described in the literature pertaining to this adverse event.

CASE PRESENTATION
A previously healthy 11-year-old boy was admitted with a 1-day history of severe abdominal pain, initially generalised and subsequently localising to the right iliac fossa (RIF). He was diagnosed with acute appendicitis and underwent emergency laparoscopic appendectomy, at which a perforated gangrenous appendix was resected successfully. Postoperatively, the child was treated with intravenous cefuroxime, gentamicin and metronidazole for possible intra-abdominal sepsis complicating the perforated appendicitis.

Despite exhibiting significant clinical improvement postoperatively, the patient’s C reactive protein was noted to be persistently raised at 281 mg/L (five times the baseline) on day 5 postappendectomy, with recurrence of fever of up to 38°C recorded on day 8. Abdominal ultrasonography revealed a hypoechoic heterogeneous fluid collection in the RIF, measuring around 4 cm by 2 cm on day 8 postappendectomy. The collection was deemed too small to be amenable to radiological or surgical aspiration. Cefuroxime and gentamicin were thus switched to intravenous cefotaxime, and metronidazole was continued. In view of persistent abdominal pain and fever, CT imaging of the abdomen was done on day 13 postappendectomy, confirming a persistent collection in the periappendicular space.

Ultrasound-guided drainage of the fluid collection was performed on day 14 postappendectomy. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing and carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae, as well as Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus avium, was cultivated from the drained fluid in the periappendicular space. The Klebsiella isolate was resistant to ertapenem but sensitive to meropenem. A peripherally inserted central catheter (PICC) was placed and treatment as changed to high-dose intravenous meropenem 40 mg/kg three times a day and teicoplanin on day 20 postappendectomy. The patient demonstrated sustained clinical improvement with defervescence thereafter, with serial ultrasonography showing gradual resolution of the intra-abdominal fluid collection.

On day 7 of intravenous meropenem administration (day 27 postappendectomy), a rapidly spreading pruritic, non-tender maculopapular blanching rash with occasional petechiae was noted to have developed over the child’s trunk and upper limbs associated with recrudescence of fever up to 38°C.

INVESTIGATIONS
Serial complete blood counts revealed progressively worsening isolated thrombocytopenia with a drop to $101 \times 10^9/L$ from $322 \times 10^9/L$ coinciding with the appearance of the rash and fever on day 7 of meropenem administration, and falling to $14 \times 10^9/L$ on day 8 of intravenous meropenem. This was associated with a mild rise in C reactive protein (30 mg/L), though there was no derangement of the other cell lines, including leucocytes, and haematins. Activated partial thromboplastin time ratio, international normalised ratio and fibrinogen assay were within normal limits. Repeat abdominal CT showed improvement of the...
RIF collection consistent with continued response to treatment. While the PICC line was removed in view of possible line sepsis, line tip and blood cultures were negative, as were stool and urine cultures. Repeated viral serology and molecular diagnostic testing were also taken and remained persistently negative.

**DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS**

Causes of acquired thrombocytopenia in children can be classified according to the underlying pathology. Thrombocytopenia can result from either decreased platelet production, increased peripheral platelet destruction, redistribution or laboratory artefact. Causes of decreased platelet production include viral infection (such as Epstein-Barr virus, cytomegalovirus and parvovirus); bacterial sepsis; nutritional deficiencies; bone marrow suppression secondary to chemotherapy and toxins; aplastic anaemia; and bone marrow infiltration as occurs in myelodysplastic syndrome and lymphoproliferative disease. Increased peripheral platelet destruction may result from disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), immune thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura and autoimmune disorders such as systemic lupus erythematosus. Redistributive causes include haemodilution resulting from large volume resuscitation, and sequestration as in splenomegaly and portal hypertension secondary to liver cirrhosis.

The process of narrowing down the aforementioned differential diagnoses required multiple serial investigations. Spurious thrombocytopenia, which occurs due to clumping of platelets during analysis, was confidently ruled out by low platelet count being repeatedly confirmed on serial blood counts. Viral causes were excluded on the basis of negative viral serology and molecular diagnostic testing for Epstein-Barr virus, cytomegalovirus and parvovirus. The benign clinical picture, stable vital signs, normal coagulation screen, minimally raised C reactive protein and normal white cell count, as well as negative blood, urine and faecal cultures, argued against sepsis and DIC as likely causes for acute thrombocytopenia in this case. The absence of clinically evident infection at the PICC line insertion site, together with negative PICC line tip and blood cultures, also discounted line sepsis as a possible cause.

Aplastic anaemia and lymphoproliferative diseases such as acute leukaemia were confidently excluded early in the differential due to normal blood films as well as the absence of derangement of erythropoietic, myeloid and lymphoid cell lines. In addition, lack of hepatosplenomegaly, lymphadenopathy and history of recurrent opportunistic infections further argued against aplastic anaemia or malignant processes. Absence of splenomegaly also ruled out sequestration and portal hypertension as causes. Furthermore, the child’s normal growth parameters, normal coagulation screen, and negative ferritin levels suggested good socioeconomic background discounted nutritional deficiency as a possible cause of thrombocytopenia.

Despite the presence of fever, autoimmune disorders, such as systemic lupus erythematosus, were also ruled out on the basis of the absence of other systemic signs or symptoms such as arthralgia, along with the presence of normal cell lines other than thrombocytopenia. Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura usually manifests as a pentad of fever, neurological signs, renal failure, anaemia and thrombocytopenia. As such, it was considered highly unlikely to be the cause in this case in view of normal neurological examination and renal function together with normal haemoglobin levels.

Postoperative thrombocytopenia was ruled out as it tends to develop more acutely within 4 days of surgery and usually results from haemodilution.

The two main differential diagnoses were thus drug-induced thrombocytopenia and ITP. Both are diagnoses of exclusion, and there is no reliable readily available single confirmatory laboratory test for either of these pathologies. In our case, thrombocytopenia was noted to have developed within 1 week of starting intravenous meropenem and teicoplanin, consistent with a possible diagnosis of drug-induced thrombocytopenia.

The associated evidence of hypersensitivity in the form of a pruritic maculopapular rash and fever pointed away from ITP and towards a drug-related cause. The resolution postcessation of meropenem, without the concomitant need to administer steroids and intravenous immunoglobulins, further confirmed drug-induced thrombocytopenia secondary to meropenem treatment as the underlying cause. While thrombocytopenia with teicoplanin treatment has also been reported, this is exceptionally rare, and the improving platelet count despite ongoing teicoplanin argues against teicoplanin as the cause of thrombocytopenia in this case.

**TREATMENT**

The timing of onset of thrombocytopenia after starting meropenem and evidence of hypersensitivity prompted discontinuation of intravenous meropenem on day 8 of treatment (day 28 postappendectomy). The child was treated with intravenous chlorphenamine for the intense pruritus. The rash resolved over the following 2 days, and following transfusion of a single unit of platelets after the platelet count fell to 2 x 10⁹/L (day 30 postappendectomy), the patient subsequently exhibited a gradual rise in the platelet count on serial blood count monitoring. Teicoplanin was continued, and based on the culture and sensitivity results from the intra-abdominal fluid collection, co-trimoxazole was initiated instead of the meropenem.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

Fever resolved within 24 hours of cessation of meropenem, and the child remained afebrile and systemically well. He was discharged from hospital on day 35 postappendectomy with a platelet count of 471 x 10⁹/L. The boy is currently being followed up annually for monitoring of growth and platelet counts. No further intra-abdominal or haematological complications have been reported thus far.

**DISCUSSION**

Thrombocytopenia in children is defined as a platelet count of less than 150 x 10⁹/L. Drug-induced thrombocytopenia often manifests as an acute severe drop in platelet count, typically occurring within 1 week following initiation of drug administration, with platelet counts frequently falling below 50 x 10⁹/L, as observed in this case. Systemic symptoms such as fever, chills, nausea and vomiting often predate hypersensitivity skin reactions, bruising, ecchymoses or petechiae. These typically resolve around 1–2 days after the offending agent is withdrawn and diagnosis is often reached after excluding other relevant causes of thrombocytopenia.

Non-immune and immune mechanisms have been suggested for drug-induced thrombocytopenia. Non-immune mechanisms include impaired maturation or replication of megakaryocytes and reduced release of platelets from the bone marrow. Where an immune mechanism is involved, increased rate of apoptosis or increased peripheral destruction of platelets is implicated, and antibodies specific to individual drug structures have been identified. Such antibodies may naturally occur as immunoglobulins with predilection for epitopes on glycoproteins found
on the platelet membranes. In the absence of the specific drug, these are not sufficiently reactive to cause platelet destruction, but when the implicated drug is introduced, it serves as a reversible binder of the antibody to the platelet glycoproteins, most commonly glycoprotein IIb–IIIa and glycoprotein Ib–V–IX.13

Drug-induced platelet antibodies targeting glycoproteins are most commonly detectable by enzyme immunos assay, flow cytometry or monoclonal antibody immobilisation of platelet antigens. Platelet antibody testing may hence be diagnostic of meropenem-associated drug-induced thrombocytopenia.14 However, glycoprotein assays have been found to have low sensitivity and high specificity. This implies that serological testing is useful to rule in but not rule out immune causes of thrombocytopenia, limiting its diagnostic utility. This may be due to the fact that these immune conditions are caused by various mechanisms, some of which are not solely secondary to platelet autoantibody formation. Antibody testing is thus arguably still of limited clinical utility.7 19 Furthermore, testing is not widely accessible and and standardised testing protocols are not uniformly adopted.20 For these reasons, platelet autoantibody testing was not employed in this case. In preference, a combination of clinical and laboratory features was used to elucidate the final clinical diagnosis of meropenem-induced thrombocytopenia.

Meropenem hypersensitivity is rare, with serious hypersensitivity and adverse haematological effects being reported in less than 1% of patients.21 These represent serious and potentially life-threatening complications.18 The association of meropenem with isolated thrombocytopenia seems particularly rare and is confined only to case reports or case series.5 In infants and children, no such case has been reported in the literature thus far.6 In adults, meropenem-induced thrombocytopenia has been reported in two case reports and in a study on meropenem side effects in a teaching hospital in Pakistan.18 21 22 In one case report, a 59-year-old man developed severe thrombocytopenia 8 days after initiation of meropenem treatment. After ruling out other causes of thrombocytopenia and with positive platelet antibody testing, meropenem administration was stopped and restoration of platelet count was noted soon after.18 In the other case report, a 57-year-old man with a medical history of diabetes, hypertension and chronic kidney disease received standard meropenem dosing following an upper gastrointestinal bleed and developed thrombocytopenia 1 day after administration. No further bleeding episodes were observed and meropenem was stopped after 3 days, with restoration of platelet count within 5 days. Platelet antibody testing was not carried out in this case.21 In the study conducted by Khan et al, correlation of meropenem side effects with renal function was studied in a Pakistani teaching hospital. Thrombocytopenia was observed in 37.81% of patients receiving the drug, with a linear increase in side-effect profile observed with worsening renal function.22 In the second case report described, the patient’s meropenem dose was not adjusted for the deranged renal function. This could explain the earlier onset of thrombocytopenia in this case.

Similarly rare is the association of meropenem with pancytopenia, with only three case reports identified after an extensive search. Pancytopenia was described in a preterm neonate, a 3-year-old child with a head injury and another child (age not specified) who had undergone liver transplantation. In all cases, derangement of all cell lineages was noted to develop in a staggered fashion, with thrombocytopenia predating drops in haemoglobin and absolute neutrophil counts. Derangements were noted over a range of 3 days–2 weeks after initiation of meropenem. Restoration of normal cell counts was obtained after stopping treatment, without immediate life-threatening complications. In none of these cases was platelet antibody testing performed.23–25

In most cases of drug-induced thrombocytopenia, cessation of treatment is sufficient for subsequent resolution of hypersensitivity and restoration of platelet count. Prognosis is generally deemed quite favourable.7 12 15 16 Corticosteroids have occasionally been used in cases where ITP could not be confidently excluded in the differential diagnosis. In our case, corticosteroids were not administered in view of the rapidity by which thrombocytopenia improved after cessation of meropenem, as well as due to initial concerns about possible sepsis secondary to multidrug-resistant organisms, which was in turn eventually ruled out. Drug-induced platelet antibodies have been shown to persist for years after exposure, indicating that the causative drug might cause further reactions if reinstated in future.14 Platelet transfusions in drug-induced thrombocytopenia are mostly indicated to control overt haemorrhage or when thrombocytopenia is severe enough to risk spontaneous haemorrhage (platelet counts of less than 10 x 109/L). Transfusions, however, may be ineffective if the drug or its metabolites have not yet been cleared.26–28 The patient reported here had a platelet transfusion in view of severe thrombocytopenia and an already previously complicated post-operative course.
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