Segmental arterial mediolysis: differentiation of rare arteriopathy from vascular mimics
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DESCRIPTION
A 49-year-old Hispanic woman with a previous medical history significant for stage 1 hypertension presented to the emergency department with acute-onset chest pain. She described her pain as sharp and non-exertional with radiation to the back. She denied headache, vision changes or abdominal pain. Her home medications included losartan, loratadine and vitamin D3. Her family and personal histories were negative for tobacco use, connective tissue diseases, early sudden cardiac death or vasculitis. She was mildly hypertensive and tachycardic to 140/90 mm Hg and 100 bpm on presentation. Physical examination did not reveal any skin lesions, skin discolouration or scalp tenderness. The patient received an abdominal CT aortogram, which showed a 9 mm pseudoaneurysm at the proximal common hepatic artery with irregular hepatic artery stenosis distal to the aneurysm without downstream ischaemic findings (figure 1). The renal arteries were normal. She was admitted for medical management of thoracic aortic disease and further workup for vascular aneurysmal syndromes. She received a CT angiogram of the head and neck to evaluate for further dissections, which revealed a 2 cm non-flow limiting dissection in the right common carotid artery (figure 2). Laboratory evaluation did not show elevation in erythrocyte sedimentation rate, cardiac troponin, liver associated enzymes, leucocyte count or anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA) titres. Complement levels were normal. She was diagnosed with segmental arterial mediolysis (SAM) and initially treated with intravenous esmolol and clevidipine drip infusion as anti-impulse therapy for thoracic aortic aneurysm with concomitant resolution of chest pain. She was subsequently transitioned to oral metoprolol succinate and losartan. She remained asymptomatic and was discharged home on hospital day 7.

SAM is a rare, non-atherosclerotic, non-inflammatory, degenerative vasculopathy characterised by lysis of the arterial medial layer, resulting in dissecting pseudoaneurysm, intramural haematoma and possible vessel rupture.1 2 Although biopsy and histopathological diagnosis are definitive, biopsy is not advised in patients whose aneurysmal pathology does not otherwise meet criteria for surgical intervention.3 Fortunately, SAM can be clinically diagnosed with a constellation of laboratory studies and clinical details that differentiate it from other vascular mimics. Serum inflammatory markers, complete blood count, ANCA titres

Patient’s perspective
When I first learnt about my condition, I was scared. On one hand, I was relieved that my chest pain was not due to a heart attack. However, the words segmental arterial mediolysis sounded even worse. Luckily, the doctors and nurses who took care of me did an excellent job explaining to me in layman’s terms the process that was going on inside my arteries and that I would need to be admitted for treatment of my blood pressure and heart rate to prevent worsening of the vessel ‘outpouching’. I was happy that my chest pain resolved with treatment, and that I was able to go home on my oral medications.
and complement levels differentiate SAM from mimicking vasculitides such as polyarteritis nodosa, ANCA-associated vasculitis, giant cell arteritis, Takayasu arteritis.\(^3\)\(^4\) Patient age, demographics and location of vascular findings should also be considered to differentiate SAM from familial connective tissue diseases and fibromuscular dysplasia (FMD). While FMD is also a non-inflammatory arterial disease, it presents in smaller arteries (renal, vertebral, extracranial) of Caucasian women aged 20–30 years old and often results in distal ischaemia due to vessel occlusion.\(^3\)\(^5\) However, SAM more commonly presents as aneurysmal findings occurring in medium to large arteries (mesenteric, carotid) of patients 40 years and older without gender or racial preference.\(^3\) Therefore, SAM presents with a different clinical profile compared with FMD and can diagnosed clinically.\(^5\) Our patient’s demographics, presentation, laboratory and imaging were most consistent with SAM. We conclude by urging providers to consider SAM as a rare but dangerous diagnosis in patients with multiple aneurysmal findings.
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