Use of ASD closure device for the sealing of false lumen entry in the ascending aorta after dissection Type A surgical repair
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SUMMARY
We present a case of a persistent false lumen after ascending aorta replacement due to Stanford Type A dissection treated by endovascular means. The main entry tear was occluded with an atrial septal defect closure device, sealing the false lumen. A total of five additional stents were implanted to centralise and secure the flow in the true lumen. CT scan at 6-month follow-up showed excellent results with a decreased total aortic diameter and thrombosed false lumen.

BACKGROUND
False lumen (FL) patency after a surgical repair of Stanford Type A dissection is a common complication. The treatment options are either re-do surgery or endovascular repair. Re-do surgery is associated with higher death rates compared with an initial surgery; hence, whenever possible, endovascular treatment is preferred by many. Unfortunately, in most cases, anatomy is too complex for complete endovascular treatment in Type A persistent tears after surgery. We present a case of endovascular treatment of dissection starting from the innominate artery with the use of an atrial septal defect (ASD) occluder.

CASE PRESENTATION
A 62-year-old man presented to our clinic for the treatment of symptomatic persistent Type A dissection 6 months following aortic valve replacement and ascending aorta surgical repair, the so-called Bentall procedure. The patient was a smoker with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes type 2, gout, obesity grade 3 and a history of hypertension grade 3. The physical examination was unremarkable. He had palpitations, sudden weaknesses on the left side of the body and frequent headaches. The estimated Euroscore II was 14%.

INVESTIGATIONS
On CT angiogram (CTA), patent FL was visualised starting with tear entry just proximal to the innominate artery, engaging the right common carotid artery (RCCA), left subclavian artery, superior mesenteric artery, the right common iliac artery and right common femoral artery (figures 1–5). All of the visceral branches were perfused by the true lumen except for the inferior mesenteric artery and the two right renal arteries. The FL of the RCCA was causing dynamic obstruction of the true one, which was the reason for the patient’s symptoms. For the period between the initial surgical treatment and index admission, the aortic arch has dilated from 44 mm to 48 mm, the supradiaphragmatic segment from 34.8 mm to 40.6 mm, abdominal aorta from 32.5 mm to 39.4 mm and the infrarenal
segment from 23 mm to 25 mm. Considering the increasing size of the dissection, the unacceptably high operative risk and the single main entry tear, we decided to treat the patient by endovascular means.

**TREATMENT**

We decided to close the entry tear with ASD occluder while centralising the flow and guaranteeing the patency of the true lumen of RCCA and left subclavian artery by implanting stents. A two-step intervention was planned, first, we secured the RCCA and a month later we stented the left subclavian artery and occluded the main entry tear.

Using the right femoral approach with a 6F sheath, the true lumen of RCCA was directly stented with Protégé 14/80 mm (Medtronic, USA) achieving an optimal angiographic result (figure 6).

A month later, the second stage of the intervention was performed. Initial fluoroscopy visualised the dissection tear (figure 7, video 1). Using the right brachial approach, a 5F sheet pigtail catheter was placed in the true lumen of the ascending aorta. Through a left brachial approach and a 7F sheath, the left subclavian artery was cannulated. Using a 0.014″ Runthrough coronary guidewire (Terumo, Japan), we passed from the true lumen of the left subclavian artery into the FL and selectively cannulated the FL of the ascending aorta. After exchanging the wire for 0.035″ STORQ wire (Cordis, UK) and using the visualisation provided by the pigtail catheter in the true lumen, we passed from the FL into the true lumen with a Judkins Right diagnostic catheter at the level of the innominate artery and positioned the wire above the aortic valve prosthesis. After crossing the entry with the 7Fr delivery sheath, an ASD occluder 29ASD07 (Occlutech, Germany) was put in place and released, closing the entry of the FL. The first disk was released in the true lumen of the aorta and the second one in the FL, making sure the closure of the entry site is tight enough (video 2). Using the same left brachial approach, two stents were implanted in the left subclavian artery, Protégé 12/60 mm followed by Protégé 9.0/80 mm with an optimum angiographic results and securing of the true lumen. Final aortography showed no contrast in the FL (figure 7, video 3). The patient was discharged the next day without any problem.

CTA 1 month later showed persistent FL causing dynamic stenosis of the true lumen of the innominate artery; hence, we decided to perform a third intervention to stent the above-mentioned arteries. Using the right femoral approach, we implanted Carotid Wallstent 7.0/40 mm (Boston Scientific, USA) covering the distal part of the Protégé and upwards and Sinus XL 20/100 mm (Optimed, Germany) in the innominate artery, covering the proximal part of the Protégé in the RCCA (figure 8).
OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
A CTA 6 months after the initial procedure and 3 months after the final one showed greatly reduced flow in the FL and almost complete thrombosis of it. The para-prosthesis blood collections were greatly reduced. The aorta has shrunk in size and the true lumen had preserved and even increased its size in some places (figures 9–18). Studies show that in most patients the aorta remodelling following endovascular treatment of dissection is complete after 6 months to 1 year; hence, we expect further shrinkage of the aorta in the following months.\(^1\) \(^2\)

DISCUSSION
FL patency in a suture site or the distal part of a replaced aorta after surgical treatment of Stanford Type A dissection is common.\(^3\) A suboptimal connection between the aorta and the implanted graft, the presence of secondary tears and suture line dehiscence may account for the patency of the FL and are leading causes of aortic enlargement and indication for re-operation (re-intervention).\(^4\)

However, the re-operation mortality rate is high, with reported rates of 7.7%–11.1%.\(^3\) \(^6\) Thus, less invasive treatment strategies are required for correction.\(^3\) A study showed that thrombosis of the FL is a predictor of better prognosis, avoiding aortic rupture and re-dissection.\(^7\)

When the aortic diameter is >5.5 cm or it grows >5 mm per year it is a definitive indication for treatment.\(^8\) In our case, the surgical risk was high, the patient declined another open surgery and the only choice of treatment was by minimally invasive means.
The entry tear was just at the innominate artery and there was no landing zone for stent-grafting. Coil embolisation was considered but the tear was neither narrow enough nor we had the security that the coils will not migrate into the innominate artery or one of its branches. The only option was the use of vascular plugs or some other type of occluder. Endovascular closure of entry tear with vascular plug in the chronic phase of a Type A dissection has been reported previously.3 9–12 We chose an ASD occluder because it matched the anatomy of the main tear entry. There is no special approvement for the use of ASD occluder device in the aorta and it is considered as ‘off-label’ use. Despite that, there are numerous described cases with the off-label use of ASD occluders and vascular plugs for the treatment of cardiac and aortic pseudoaneurysms, ventricle septal defects (VSD) closure.13–16 A retrospective study involving 119 patients found that Amplatzer vascular plug and Amplatzer duct occluder I and II seem to be safe for the closure of VSD and they can be used off-label with good mid-term results.17 The orifice of the entry tear had a size of 6.8 mm×5.7 mm, and the waist of the chosen device was 7.5 mm, big enough to fit tight. The so-called left atrium disk had a diameter of 18 mm, way bigger than the orifice of the entry tear, guaranteeing the sealing of the defect and minimising the risk of device migration. The pressure difference between the left atrium disk of the device in the high-pressure true lumen of the aorta and the right atrium disk in the lower pressure FL gave us yet another mechanism which lowered the risk of device migration.

The tissue around the entry tear should be stable enough to be able to hold the occluder in place. Dislocation of the device could have been fatal, considering the proximity to the RCCA. By approaching the entry from the FL side, the device can be sequentially deployed in an optimal position, anchoring itself in the entry hole. After deployment, the first segment of the occluder is lying flat on the true lumen intima, not obstructing the true lumen flow.9

We stented the RCCA in advance to secure the true lumen and induce shrinkage of the FL around it before the full closure.
of the entry tear. Stent implantation in the true lumen provides extra support and reduces the shear stress on the wall of the vessel. Stenting the left subclavian artery promoted thrombosis and also reduced the possibility of occurrence of a new entry tear in the area of the subclavian artery. Guaranteeing the patency of these arteries was crucial considering the fact that they were engaged in the dissection and the risk of new entry tear was high.

**Case report**

The CT scan a few months after the surgery showed that the dissection is continuing to grow and I had new concerns. I recovered very slow after the first surgery and I declined a second one and I am thankful that the doctors came up with a solution to solve my problems. The weaknesses on my left side and the frequent headaches disappeared after the procedures.

**Learning points**

- Atrial septal defect occluder device can be used for the closure of dissection entry tear.
- Securing the true lumen in the dissected vessels reduced the shear stress on the vessel wall and reduced the risk of new entry tear.
- Catheter-based minimally invasive approach is a feasible alternative to open surgery even in complex cases when carefully planned and performed by experienced operators.

We decided not to treat the aorta below the diaphragm because of its relatively stable and small size. Isolation of the dissection along the whole aorta was associated with a high risk of complications, including obstruction of visceral arteries. The patient was advised on reducing the risk factors and performing regular follow-up exams.
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