Monocular syphilitic uveitis
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DESCRIPTION
A 54-year-old woman presented with 7 days of monocular left blurred vision and floaters with best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of 6/15−2. She had no significant medical history. Headache was investigated elsewhere 4 weeks previously with negative temporal artery biopsy; however, temporal arteritis was treated empirically with oral steroids.

Examination showed 1+ anterior chamber cells, intraocular pressure of 14 mm Hg, no cataract but dense central vitritis. A wedge-shaped area of temporal retina was outlined by white dot intraretinal infiltrates (figure 1A). Fundus fluorescein angiography (FFA, Optos plc, Scotland) showed nasal retinal vasculitis and diffuse temporal hyperfluorescence with obscured retinal vasculature (figure 1B) corresponding to the wedge-shaped area. Macular optical coherence tomography (OCT, Cirrus 5000, Carl Zeiss Meditec, USA) was normal (figure 1C). The working diagnosis was panuveitis with diffuse temporal retinitis and retinal vasculitis.

Serum and aqueous humour were negative for tuberculosis, Borrelia and Toxoplasma. Treponema pallidum rapid plasma reagin (RPR) serology was elevated at 1:256 prompting 14-day treatment with intravenous benzylpenicillin. Further investigations showed negative HIV serology, normal cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis and cerebral vasculitis on neuroimaging. BCVA improved to 6/7.5 with reduction of vitritis and resolution of intraretinal infiltrates (figure 2A) leaving a large area of mottled hyperautofluorescence (figure 2B). OCT remained normal (figure 2C).

Syphilis is a sexually transmitted infection with an estimated prevalence of 0.3% in adults <50 years.1 It accounts for 10% of infectious uveitis.2 Syphilitic uveitis (SU) typically denotes secondary syphilis; however, ocular involvement may occur in any stage.3 Meningo-vascular syphilis manifests in 3% of untreated syphilis infections comprising cerebral vasculitis with headache, motor/behavioural change or even stroke.4 ‘The great imitator,’ ocular syphilis requires a high index of suspicion with myriad presentations including panuveitis (73%), anterior uveitis (66%), papillitis (88%) and retinitis/vasculitis (72%).4 5 6 SU is bilateral in >60%, typically with HIV coinfection (70%) thus; HIV testing in primary or secondary syphilis is prudent.5 6 Standard syphilis tests include screening by enzyme and chemiluminescent immunoassays, to detect anti- treponemal antibodies, confirmed by the RPR test.5 Neurosyphilis manifests in 10% of untreated cases and SU should be managed as neurosyphilis (ie, CSF analysis, neuroimaging and therapy).5 FFA and OCT are useful adjuncts assessing chorioretinal involvement (eg,
early phase FFA ‘Leopard spotting’ or hypofluorescent spots overlying acute syphilitic posterior placoid chorioretinal lesions\(^6\).

Parenteral penicillin (eg, intravenous benzylpenicillin or intramuscular procaine penicillin) is recommended for 10–14 days\(^7\) with cephalosporin/macrolide alternatives in penicillin-allergic individuals. Treatment success has been reported in up to 90% of cases.\(^7\) The Jarisch-Herxheimer reaction (ie, endotoxin-mediated systemic inflammatory response) occurs in up to 75% of patients after antimicrobial treatment of spirochaetal infections (eg, Treponema, Borrelia); thus, systemic steroids are appropriate to ameliorate these reactions.\(^8\)

Ocular syphilis is challenging due to its array of manifestations\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^4\)\(^5\) with early diagnosis crucial as infection >28 days is associated with poor visual outcomes.\(^6\) This case is atypical as eye involvement was unilateral, cerebral vasculitis was seen, HIV test was negative and outcome was good despite delayed presentation.
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