Conventional colour fundus photography over multicolour imaging in identifying peripapillary intrachoroidal cavitation in myopic eyes
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DESCRIPTION

A 30-year-old man was referred by a general ophthalmologist for retina examination owing to his high myopia status. His presenting visual acuity in the right eye was 6/6 with a refraction of −2.75 dioptre sphere (DS), −2.75 dioptre cylinder (DC) at 170° and that in the left eye was 6/9 with a refraction of −5.75 DS, −3.5 DC at 170°. His intraocular pressure and anterior segment findings were normal. The right eye fundus was unremarkable. The left eye fundus showed a tilted optic disc with peripapillary atrophy and a well-circumscribed yellow-orange peripapillary area at the inferior border of the myopic conus, suggestive of possible peripapillary intrachoroidal cavitation (ICC). The left eye retinal periphery was normal. Clinical examination findings were documented under pupillary dilatation using the conventional colour fundus photograph (CFP) with Topcon, TRC-50Dx imaging system with 50° field of view and confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope-based multicolour imaging on the Spectralis, Heidelberg machine with disc-centred 30° field of view. The peripapillary ICC area was identified neither on the composite multicolour image nor on any of its individual colour reflectance channels. Spectral domain optical coherence tomography (OCT) confirmed the ICC as a large intrachoroidal hyporeflective space located below the normal plane of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE). There was no detachment of the RPE, which appeared flat (figure 1).

Peripapillary ICC in myopic eyes was first described by Freund et al on OCT as peripapillary detachment of RPE around the optic disc in pathological myopia.1 Later, Toranzo et al renamed this abnormality as ‘peripapillary ICC’, as this lesion was located inside the choroid and that the overlying RPE and retina were intact.2 Various theories have been described for the occurrence of peripapillary ICC in pathological myopia such as it being a congenital lesion or an acquired one occurring due to a break in the limiting membrane of Elschnig or due to excessive stretching of the weak myopic conus or due to the poor absorbing ability by the tissues of the fluid originating from the subretinal, suprachoroidal, optic canal and vitreous cavity due to ageing.3–6 In this clinical picture, we compare the conventional CFP and multicolour image of a patient with high myopia showing peripapillary ICC.

Multicolour imaging is a newly introduced non-invasive imaging technique wherein light of three different wavelengths (blue: 488 nm, green: 515 nm and infrared: 820 nm) is scanned across the retina, thus enabling an alternative method of capturing fundus images.7–9 The light of three different spectrums penetrate the tissue to different depths and simultaneously capture the reflectance strengths from different retinal and choroidal structures and represent the information as an en-face composite multicolour image. Specific pathological findings as in delineating epiretinal membranes and fibrovascular proliferations and for identifying reticular pseudo drusens can be visualised better with multicolour imaging.7–9 In this report, the multicolour

Learning points

► This case records the presence of peripapillary intrachoroidal cavitation (ICC) in myopic eyes.
► Our case suggests that multicolour imaging does not seem to be an equivalent retinal imaging modality compared with conventional colour fundus photography for identifying ICC in myopic eyes.
imaging failed to identify or delineate the peripapillary ICC in comparison to the conventional CFP. The inability of the multicolour image in identifying peripapillary ICC can be explained by two reasons: (1) the inability of the blue and green reflectance channels to reach up to the choroid due to the lesser penetrance of short-wavelength light and (2) the lack of melanin within the choroidal cavitation leads to no reflectance from the higher-infrared wavelength light.10 11
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