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SUMMARY

Maturity onset diabetes of the young defines a diabetes mellitus subtype, with no insulin resistance or autoimmune pancreatic β-cells dysfunction, that occurs by mutation in a single gene. A 13-year-old girl hospitalised due to hyperglycemia plus glycosuria without ketosis, and with normal glycated haemoglobin of 6.8%. She started a sugar-free fast-absorption diet and no insulin therapy was required. Fasting glucose was normal, but 2 hours after lunch she presented hyperglycemia as after 2 hours of an oral glucose tolerance test, with 217 mg/dL. Family history was positive for type 2 diabetes mellitus with an autosomal dominant pattern. She was discharged with fast-absorption sugar-free diet and low-dose of sulfonylurea. A genetic test was performed detecting a mutation in heterozygosity of HNF1A gene, compatible with the diagnosis of maturity onset diabetes of the young 3 (MODY3), not reported in the literature. Early recognition of signs and symptoms increase awareness of MODY. Genetic test allows confirmation and leads to optimised treatment.

BACKGROUND

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is one of the most common chronic diseases in childhood. It includes numerous clinical entities that are characterised by chronic hyperglycemia. Although type 1 DM is the most common form among paediatric population, other forms such as type 2 DM and monogenic diabetes must be considered, the latter being responsible for 1%-6% of paediatric diabetes cases.1 2

Monogenic forms of diabetes can be subclassified into neonatal diabetes, mitochondrial diabetes and maturity onset diabetes of the young (MODY).2 3 The majority of cases result from mutations in genes causing β-cell loss or dysfunction.2-5

Patients with MODY exhibit mild or none diabetic symptoms, and elevated glucose levels can only be detected in routine blood tests.2 6

MODY has different subtypes depending on the gene involved and the clinical phenotypes.6 They differ on age of onset, pattern of hyperglycemia, response to treatment and extra-pancreatic manifestations.2-9 The prevalence varies according to the population and it represents approximately 1%-4% of all cases of diabetes diagnosed under the age of 30 years.3

There are 14 genes identified associated with MODY. Mutations in each gene lead to the development of different subtypes of MODY. The most prevalent gene mutations are responsible for MODY2 and MODY3, encoding glucokinase and hepatic nuclear factor 1 alpha (HNF1A), respectively.2-4 6 7 10 These subtypes account for up to 90% of all MODY cases.10

One of the challenges is to differentiate MODY from other forms of diabetes. The diagnosis of MODY should be suspected in patients with family history of diabetes of any type, diagnosed at a young age (<25 years), lack of type 1 DM characteristics (the absence of islet autoantibodies, preserved β-cell function with low or no insulin requirements and detectable C-peptide over an extended partial remission phase), the absence of typical type 2 DM characteristics (severe obesity, acanthosis nigricans or other markers of metabolic syndrome) and in cases of mild stable fasting hyperglycemia which does not progress.4 11 12

HNF1A-MODY is the most common form of monogenic diabetes that leads to familial symptomatic diabetes and the most probable clinical diagnosis to be considered in families with autosomal dominant symptomatic diabetes.7 In earlier stages of the disease, often evident during adolescence or early adulthood, the fasting blood glucose may be normal, but patients tend to show a large increment in blood glucose (>80 mg/dL) after meals or at 2 hours during an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) and glucose intolerance. Patients with HNF1A-MODY typically have low renal threshold for glucose reabsorption due to impaired renal tubular transport of glucose and may present postprandial glycosuria, before developing significant hyperglycemia. In later stages, the patient develops osmotic symptoms such as polydipsia or polyuria, and fasting hyperglycemia appears, but ketosis is not frequent, since some residual insulin secretion is maintained for several years. The occurrence of microvascular and macrovascular complications depends on metabolic control, similar to type 1 and 2 DM.8

As described before, one of the biggest diagnostic challenges is to differentiate between MODY from type 1 and 2 diabetes, as clinical features might be similar and due to a frequent overlap between phenotypes. Genetic testing is crucial to define the aetiology, allowing appropriate and individualised care, accurate prognosis, predict complications and adequate family counselling.3 9 13

CASE PRESENTATION

A previously healthy 13-year-old girl, with nonconsanguineous parents, was admitted to the paediatric emergency department with headache and vomits during the last 48 hours. She was hemodynamically stable and apyretic. Her physical examination was unremarkable, with no acanthosis
nigricans, lipodystrophy or striae and body mass index of 22 kg/m² (85th percentile, according with curves of WHO adjusted for age and sex).

INVESTIGATIONS

Laboratory evaluation showed glycosuria and hyperglycemia of 177 mg/dL without ketosis. The HbA₁c was 6.8%. She was admitted for clinical observation. Family history was positive for DM classified as type 2 diagnosed as young adults, with an autosomal dominant pattern among maternal family: grandmother, her mother and three uncles, from a total of eight brothers (figure 1). During hospitalisation no insulin therapy was required and started a sugar-free fast-absorption diet. The fasting glucose remained normal. During postprandial period she presented glycemic values ranging from 150 to 177 mg/dL and glycosuria. An OGTT was performed with 75 g of glucose and 65 mg/dL glycemia was obtained at 0 hours and 217 mg/dL after 2 hours of ingestion. Islet cell antibodies (ICAs) were positive (not dosed) while anti-glutamic acid decarboxylase antibodies and autoantibodies specific to human insulin (IAAs) were negative. C-peptide value was normal, 3.74 ng/mL (reference range 0.8–4.2 ng/mL). Liver and renal function tests were normal, with an adequate lipid profile.

Family history of DM with dominant pattern, plus normal fasting glycemia with important increment in blood glucose after meals and at 2 hours during an OGTT plus the absence of characteristic pattern of type 2 diabetes lead to the suspicion of a monogenic disorder, despite the positivity of ICA.

After informed consent, a genetic test for MODY3 was performed, detecting a mutation in allele c.687_707del and protein p.phe230_Cys236del, in heterozygosity of the HNF1A gene, which was not reported in literature, but was compatible with the diagnosis of MODY3. Posteriorly the same variant was detected in her mother and one uncle with diabetes. A disease-free uncle did not carry the mutation.

TREATMENT

Initial treatment with low dose of sulfonylureas (glibenclamide, 1.25 mg/day) before breakfast and fast-absorption sugar-free diet was started, without hypoglycemic events. At day three the patient was discharged with ambulatory follow-up by paediatric endocrinology.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

One year after the diagnosis, C-peptide remains normal and ICAs became negative. After 2 years of follow-up the patient presents high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) of 82 mg/dL, maintained normoglycemia with an HbA₁c of 5.5% and no comorbidities. Presently she is 19 years old, maintains treatment with low-dose of sulfonylureas (recently adjusted to twice daily to maintain a good metabolic control) and has no evidence of microvascular complications.

DISCUSSION

The main obstacle to the diagnosis of monogenic diabetes is lack of awareness and clinical suspicion. Conjunction of clinical and laboratory features is imperative in order to recognise patients with MODY, commonly misdiagnosed with type 1 or 2 DM.

In this patient, the absence of obesity, acanthosis nigricans or other metabolic syndrome markers were not suggestive of type 2 DM. Despite the positivity of ICAs, which may suggest type 1 DM, the family history of DM with a dominant pattern in non-obese patients, normal fasting glycemia with detectable glycosuria and important increment in blood glucose after meals and at 2 hours during an OGTT, increased clinical suspicion of a monogenic disorder.

Growing evidence suggests that autoantibodies are present in other types of diabetes beyond DM1. However, autoantibodies can rarely be detected in other types of diabetes or in a healthy population. Several case reports described autoantibodies in patients with MODY.14 Recent studies have described positivity for at least one β-cell autoantibody in 25% of the HNF1A patients.15 It was reported that such patients are associated with delayed diabetes onset and poor glycemic control. As autoantibody expression is not accompanied by a concurrent significant decline in β-cell function, autoantibody expression does not influence the demands of treatment.6 13 Therefore, the presence of pancreatic antibodies should not preclude genetic testing in cases of high clinical suspicion.

C peptide level was normal, but normal values can occur in early stages of DM1, while endogenous insulin production is still present, thereby it has no diagnostic value in the initial course of the disease.5 6 12 In our patient, persistent normal values, during follow-up, are compatible with MODY diagnosis.

The presence of glycosuria, even with normal values of glycemia, and its frequency in this patient, lead to the suspicion of HNF1A-MODY. MODY subtype patients have a low renal threshold for glucose reabsorption through the proximal convoluted renal tubule due to reduced expression of the renal tubular transport. Prior to diabetes onset, mutation carriers have detectable glycosuria provoked by glucose loading. Testing for glycosuria 2 hours after a glucose load can be used to screen children of mutation carriers and guide the need for further evaluation. Postprandial glycosuria may be present before significant hyperglycemia.3 6 9

Raised HDL-C levels, rather than low, are observed in patients with HNF1A-MODY, however, high levels of HDL-C is not translated into low cardiovascular risk.9 12

The molecular test identified a variant not reported in previous literature, compatible with MODY3. Subsequently confirmed by its presence in the mother, a diabetic uncle and absent in a non-diabetic uncle.

MODY genetic diagnosis has important clinical implications to the patient and relatives.2 6 9 12 Although expensive and time consuming, it allows definitive diagnosis, therapeutic
optimisation plus prevention of micro and macrovascular complications and family counselling.

Apart from fast-absorption sugar-free diet, most patients with HNF1A-MODY will need pharmacological treatment to achieve a better metabolic control and prevent micro and macrovascular complications. The MODY form is extremely sensitive to sulfonylureas. In our clinical case, treatment with low dose of glibenclamide allowed optimisation of metabolic control, without hypoglycemias described. According to literature, the initial dose should be low, to avoid hypoglycemia and a good control of fasting blood glucose and HbA1c may be maintained for many years.

With this clinical case we alert to the importance of early recognition of signs and symptoms, which will increase awareness of MODY. Once suspicion is raised, a molecular genetic test should be performed and can provide the diagnosis of HNF1A-MODY, allowing early treatment and accurate family screening.

Learning points

- Maturity onset diabetes of the young (MODY) is a genetically and clinically heterogeneous group of conditions. Its identification remains a challenge to physicians and is largely underdiagnosed.
- HNF1A-MODY is the most common form of monogenic diabetes that results in familial symptomatic diabetes, with heterozygous HNF1A mutations.
- Clinical clues and analytical results will improve its suspicion, allowing a genetic identification of HNF1A-MODY and appropriate patient care and family advice.
- From a clinical point of view, it should be noted that the presence of pancreatic antibodies can discourage physicians from genetic testing, and diagnosis. In cases of high clinical suspicion we highlight the importance of not preclude genetic testing.
- Most patients with HNF1A-MODY will need pharmacological treatment as they show progressive deterioration of glycemic control. They are extremely sensitive to low-doses of sulfonylureas, which usually allows a better glycemic control, especially in children and young adults.
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