Lung adenocarcinoma presenting as interstitial lung disease
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DESCRIPTION

A 59-year-old Caucasian woman presented with a 3-month history of worsening dyspnoea and productive cough with clear mucoid sputum. She also had episodic chills, night sweats and an unintentional 11 kg weight loss. She denied any fever, haemoptysis, recent travel or sick contacts. Her history was significant for 40 pack-year tobacco abuse and multiple environmental exposures including sandblasting, insecticide and mould. The physical examination revealed a thin, cachectic woman in acute respiratory distress with tachycardia (124 beats/min) and tachypnea (30/min), saturating at 75% on ambient air. The pulmonary examination revealed diffuse bilateral inspiratory crackles, more noted on the right as compared with the left. The initial chest X-ray and CT scan (figure 1A,B) revealed extensive emphysema, the right-greater-than-left consolidation and bilateral infiltrates with ground-glass attenuation. She was started on bi-level positive airway pressure and later switched to high-flow nasal cannula to maintain her saturation above 88%. The patient’s respiratory status worsened during the course of her hospitalisation. A repeat CT angiogram of the chest (figure 2A,B) which revealed worsening of the bilateral infiltrates with an increase in the size of right lower lobe consolidation. There was no evidence of pulmonary thromboembolism. Further workup including autoimmune panel, and a hypersensitivity pneumonitis panel, both were negative. Sputum and blood cultures for bacterial, fungal and acid-fast bacteria were also negative. She was treated with high dose steroids for presumed interstitial lung disease (ILD). Eventually, she underwent a transbronchial biopsy which was consistent with primary lung adenocarcinoma with lepidic and papillary growth patterns (figure 3).

Lung adenocarcinoma represents about 50% of all lung cancers and has a high prevalence in smokers. Other risk factors include family history, environmental exposures and toxic fumes. Our patient had a 40 pack-year history of tobacco smoking and environmental exposures which included sandblasting with carbide and silica, which put her at increased risk. The differential diagnosis of the findings seen on imaging includes cryptogenic organising pneumonia, chronic eosinophilic pneumonia, drug-induced pneumonitis and usual interstitial pneumonia which were ruled out early in the course. In our case, since the clinical presentation along with exposure history and imaging studies were suggestive of hypersensitivity pneumonitis, we started the patient on steroids which improved...
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Learning points

- Lung adenocarcinoma has diverse clinical and radiological presentation and hence should always be on the differential when considering interstitial lung disease (ILD).
- Occupational exposures and smoking history are strong risk factors for ILD and lung cancer.
- Invasive diagnostic testing such as transbronchial and/or surgical biopsy should be sought early in the disease course to confirm the diagnosis.

her in the short term. Her worsening clinical status demanded further invasive workup, which revealed lung adenocarcinoma. This cancer usually presents as peripheral nodules. Rarely, it can present as an interstitial pattern, as seen in our case. Differential includes lymphangitic carcinomatosis; however, no neoplastic cells were found in perivascular, peribronchial and lymphatics. Adenocarcinoma masquerading as pneumonia, lung fibrosis with honeycombing and diffuse inflammatory ILD has been reported in the literature.²-⁵ The diffuse interstitial pneumonia-like pattern can be seen in metastatic tumours such as melanoma as well.⁶ Immunohistochemical studies following biopsy are usually warranted in such cases where the diagnosis is unclear despite optimum medical therapy for the presumed diagnosis.
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