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Coinfection with SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A virus
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SUMMARY
Since December 2019, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has been an international public health emergency. The possibility of COVID-19 should be considered primarily in patients with new-onset fever or respiratory tract symptoms. However, these symptoms can occur with other viral respiratory illnesses. We reported a case of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 and influenza A virus coinfection. During the epidemic, the possibility of COVID-19 should be considered regardless of positive findings for other pathogens.

BACKGROUND
In late 2019, a novel coronavirus, known as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), was identified as the cause of an outbreak of acute respiratory illness in Wuhan, China. Since then, there has been a rapid spread of the virus, leading to a global pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). We report a case of coinfection with SARS-CoV-2 and influenza A virus in a patient with pneumonia in Japan. The patient with both COVID-19 and influenza virus infection presented similar clinical characteristics with COVID-19 only. During the epidemic, the possibility of COVID-19 should be considered regardless of positive findings for other pathogens.

CASE PRESENTATION
In April 2020, a 57-year-old Japanese man was admitted to our hospital with an 8-day history of fever and non-productive cough. Six days before admission, the patient presented first to general practitioners. The patient had a positive result for influenza A from a nasopharyngeal swab test. The patient was prescribed with laninamivir, but his clinical course made influenza pneumonia likely. His medical history included vasospastic angina and diabetes mellitus. His medications were sitagliptin and miglitol. He worked as a customer service in a restaurant. There was no history of smoking, travel or any contact with sick people.

On admission, the patient reported shortness of breath, anosmia and ageusia. Vital signs were as follows: temperature, 38.8°C; blood pressure 131/74, mm Hg; heart rate, 80 beats/min; respiratory rate, 20 breaths/min; and oxygen saturation, 91% on room air with desaturation on minimal exertion.

INVESTIGATIONS
Complete blood count revealed white blood cells, 8.3×10^3/L (neutrophils, 86%; lymphocytes, 8%; and monocytes, 6%); haemoglobin 144 g/L; mean corpuscular volume, 96 fl; and platelets, 199×10^9/L. Serum laboratory test results were as follows: total protein, 6.5 g/dL; albumin, 3.1 g/dL; total bilirubin, 0.6 mg/dL; aspartate aminotransferase, 114 IU/L; alanine transaminase, 161 IU/L; g-glutamyl transferase, 416 IU/L; blood urea nitrogen, 11 mg/dL; creatinine, 0.8 mg/dL; sodium, 136 mmol/L; potassium, 4.6 mmol/L; chloride, 97 mmol/L; glucose, 231 mg/dL; haemoglobin A1c, 7.2%; ferritin, 1695 ng/mL; C-reactive protein, 15.7 mg/dL; and procalcitonin, <0.1 ng/mL. Hepatitis B surface antigen and anti-hepatitis C virus were negative. An ECG was normal. Anteroposterior chest radiography (figure 1A) found ground-glass opacities (GGO) in the left lung and he was treated with garenoxacin. Later, real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (rRT-PCR) of his nasopharyngeal swab returned positive for SARS-CoV-2. His medical history included vasospastic angina and diabetes mellitus. His medications were sitagliptin and miglitol. He worked as a customer service in a restaurant. There was no history of smoking, travel or any contact with sick people.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS
Initial considerations for this patient who presented acutely with fever and cough include infection with a common virus (rhinoviruses, non-SARS-CoV-2 coronaviruses and influenza virus) and community-acquired pneumonia. The rapid antigen testing and his clinical course make influenza pneumonia likely. Owing to the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak in Japan, COVID-19 also needs to be considered.

TREATMENT
Ciclesonide inhaler (200 μg two times per day for 14 days), favipiravir (1800 mg two times per day at day 1, followed by 800 mg two times per day for a total duration of 14 days) and broad-spectrum antibiotics (meropenem and azithromycin) were initiated.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
Soon after hospitalisation, the patient developed progressive hypoxaemia (oxygen saturation of 91%) on 2 L/min via nasal cannula. The fever continued for the first 4 days of hospitalisation, but subsequently abated. At day 7 of hospitalisation, the...
proven to be safe and effective for treating COVID-19. Therefore, with the consent of the patient, off-label use of ciclesonide and favipiravir was administered. In vitro studies have demonstrated that ciclesonide has good antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2. Although the clinical effectiveness of ciclesonide in the treatment of COVID-19 was reported in some cases, there is no clinical trial to evaluate its antiviral activity in patients with COVID-19. Favipiravir is an RNA polymerase inhibitor that is available in some Asian countries for the treatment of influenza. In an open-label non-randomised control study, favipiravir was compared with lopinavir/ritonavir for the treatment of non-severe COVID-19. A shorter viral clearance time was found for favipiravir than lopinavir/ritonavir (median, 4 vs 11 days, p<0.001). At day 14 after treatment, the improvement rates on chest CT with favipiravir were significantly higher than lopinavir/ritonavir (91.4% vs 62.2%, p=0.004). These data support further investigation with randomised clinical trials on the efficacy of favipiravir for the treatment of COVID-19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>➤ There was no significant difference in rates of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection in patients with and without other pathogens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➤ SARS-CoV-2 mimics the clinical characteristics of the influenza virus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➤ During the epidemic, the possibility of COVID-19 should be considered regardless of positive findings for other pathogens.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Now, the National Institutes of Health COVID-19 treatment guidelines recommend remdesivir for hospitalised patients with severe COVID-19. However, at that time, no drug had been