Testicular seminoma with large direct iliac nodal metastasis: unusual presentation
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DESCRIPTION
A 31-year-old man presented with dull aching on and off right lower abdominal pain of 3 months’ duration. There was no history of any medical comorbidities or surgical interventions. Clinically, he had an 8×8 cm smooth, firm intra-abdominal lump in the right iliac fossa. On genitalia examination, the right testis was present in the right hemiscrotum, and it was approximately 3×5 cm in size, firm with irregular surface and a hard nodule of approximately 1 cm on the lateral surface in the mid-part. This testicular abnormality was not noticed by the patient before. On evaluation with ultrasonography, a 7×6 cm hypoechoic right iliac fossa mass of undetermined nature was present with an 8.7×6.4 mm well-defined hypoechoic mass in the interpolar region of the right testis with few microlithiases. The patient was then referred for urological consultation where he was further evaluated. Testicular tumour markers were raised mildly with human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG) of 26.34 mIU/mL (<5 mIU/mL), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) of 830 IU/L and alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) of 7.99 ng/mL (0–8.5 ng/mL). Contrast-enhanced CT of the abdomen revealed a soft tissue mass of 5.5×6.3×7.5 cm in the right iliac fossa with central necrosis, anterior to right external iliac vessels and abutting them with maintained fat planes. There was a precaval hypodense mass of 2.2×2.5×4.5 cm abutting the inferior vena cava (IVC) posteriorly and the third part of duodenum anteriorly (figure 1).

He underwent right high inguinal orchidectomy with right iliac fossa mass excision with same incision. Intraoperatively, the right testis was normal sized with an uneven surface and a small nodule in the upper pole. The right iliac fossa mass was firm, circumscribed overlying external iliac vessels with no invasion. The histopathological examination of both the right testis and the right iliac fossa mass showed seminoma with tumour cells disposed in diffuse sheet with intervening fibrous septae containing a small lymphocytic infiltrate (figure 2). The tumour cells displayed moderate pleomorphism, round to oval nuclei, vesicular chromatin,
prominent nucleoli and an abundant amount of clear to cosinophilic cytoplasm with indistinct cytoplasmic borders. Postorchectomy tumour markers were normal with HCG of 1.71 mIU/mL and AFP of 3.35 ng/mL except for LDH of 933 IU/L.

The patient was started on chemotherapy with three cycles of bleomycin, etoposide and cisplatin in view of good risk T1N2M1a disease, and he has completed the course 2 months ago.

Seminoma is the most common germ cell tumour affecting the testis. Regional or distant metastasis is present at diagnosis in 15% of pure seminoma. The lymphatic spread of testicular tumours is in a predictable fashion unless the lymphatic drainage from the testes has been altered from prior procedures. The most common site of tumour dissemination is retroperitoneal lymph nodes. Primary involvement of the iliac or inguinal nodes is rare and associated with tumour extension in the epididymis, breach of the tunica vaginalis through to the scrotal wall or extension to the vas deferens, or due to previous surgical manipulation of the inguinoscrotal region. There was no history of any prior surgery in the inguinoscrotal region. Occasional involvement of the iliac nodes can occur in a secondary retrograde fashion, usually when there are bulky retroperitoneal metastases. However, in our case, the retroperitoneal disease was not bulky. So, rarely, such iliac metastasis may occur in patients with no identifiable risk factors.

The optimal management of non-regional nodal metastasis, including iliac lymph nodes in seminoma, is chemotherapy. However, in our case, a single bulky right iliac fossa mass without any inguinal or bulky retroperitoneal lymph nodes led us to excise the mass along with the right testis to prove the nature of the mass, followed by starting chemotherapy.

Twitter Naveen Kumar @babu_drjmt

Contributors NK conceived the manuscript, and NK and SKS prepared the manuscript. HL provided the CT images. Consent was obtained by NK. UPS reviewed the manuscript. NK and SKS performed the surgery. The final draft was read and approved by all authors.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Obtained.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

ORCID iD Naveen Kumar http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6176-6913

REFERENCES