Mysterious overnight disappearance of mediastinal mass
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DESCRIPTION

Preanalytical variables constitute a significant concern for reaching a diagnosis in day-to-day practice. While laboratory preanalytical errors are more commonly emphasised, preanalytical errors extend into many diagnostic areas. We present a case wherein a minor variation in the radiological technique led to a series of unnecessary investigations and wrong prognostication of the patient. This case highlights the necessity of a clinician to be aware of the fundamentals for various tests ordered routinely.

A 38-year-old man initially presented to a tertiary level hospital with gradually worsening B-symptoms, dyspnoea on exertion and abdominal fullness of 6 months duration. Clinical evaluation revealed tachycardia, tachypnoea and diminished breath sounds in both infrascapular areas with massive splenomegaly. The laboratory tests revealed bicytopenia with leucocytosis (haemoglobin 67 g/L, white blood cells 34×10⁹/L and platelets 29×10⁹/L). Chest X-ray performed 1 week prior had suggested mild bilateral pleural effusion with cardiomegaly (figure 1). Because of the respiratory distress at admission, an urgent non-contrast CT chest was performed. Imaging was suggestive of a large anterior mediastinal mass with massive pericardial effusion and paraspinal involvement (figure 2A–C). However, surprisingly, echocardiography revealed only mild pericardial effusion with clinicoradiological discordance. The following day, a repeat contrast-enhanced CT chest was performed as a prelude to CT-guided biopsy and to reconfirm the diagnosis (figure 2D–F). The repeat radiography showed a miraculous disappearance of the mediastinal mass. Bone marrow biopsy was subsequently performed, revealing myelofibrosis with blast transformation (secondary acute myeloid leukaemia). He was managed with 7+3 therapy and he finally succumbed to febrile neutropenia with secondary sepsis on day 24 of therapy. The disappearance of mediastinal mass overnight was, in fact, due to a change of position while performing CT scan from prone (on day 1) to supine (on day 2), which lead to the accumulation of pleural fluid around the mediastinum.

Patient’s perspective

It is important to double-check the findings of an investigation before revealing it to the patient. Misinterpretation of the tests can add to the agony and cost of further evaluation for the affected family.

Learning points

- Preanalytical variables are an especially important part of the interpretation of the investigations.
- Do not interpret the images with prepresumed positioning of the patient and reaffirm the position of the patient, particularly when there is clinicoradiological discordance.
- CT scan can have different findings in supine and prone position.
In both healthy and diseased patients, a thoracic CT is routinely done when the patient is lying in a supine position. When imaging is done in the supine position, lung collapse or atelectasis secondary to interstitial lung disease (ILD)/acute respiratory distress syndrome can result in distinct subpleural opacities, termed ‘dependant densities’, that can mimic fibrosis and obscure underlying structural abnormalities. Prone position typically reverses gravitational effects on lung blood flow and volume, improves visibility of the posterior part of the lung and resolves the ‘dependant opacities’, thus differentiating it from actual lung disease/opacities in patients with ILD. Thus, most radiologists or clinicians presume that the CT imaging is done in a supine position when not mentioned otherwise. In this case, imaging in prone position led to the accumulation of pleural fluid around the mediastinum due to gravitational effect and misled the clinician for a mediastinal mass/pericardial effusion, which disappeared on performing the imaging in supine position. Lack of attention to such preanalytical variables can mislead the haematologist and lead to unnecessary investigations or wrong clinical conclusions.
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