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SUMMARY
Renal transplant recipients are prone to opportunistic infections due to iatrogenic immunosuppression. Infectious esophagitis can present as an opportunistic infection in the post-transplant period. Common pathogens are candida, herpes simplex virus (HSV) and cytomegalovirus (CMV). Having a dual infection is uncommon and the diagnoses can be missed at initial presentation. Our patient, a 29-year-old African-American woman, status post deceased-donor-kidney transplant presented with difficulty and pain in swallowing with clinical features suggestive of candida esophagitis, confirmed by fungal culture. She did not get better with antifungal treatment. On further testing, the patient was found to have HSV-2 infection of the oesophagus as well. She received both fluconazole as well as acyclovir that lead to complete resolution of her symptoms. In the right clinical setting, esophagitis can be caused by more than one organism present at the same time and a high level of suspicion is warranted.

BACKGROUND
Renal transplant patients are prone to infectious esophagitis primarily because of their immunosuppressed status. Candida, herpes simplex virus (HSV) and cytomegalovirus (CMV) are the usual causative agents in such patients, but having esophagitis due to two organisms simultaneously is uncommon and can be missed at the time of initial presentation, leading to clinical complications. A dual pathogen esophagitis is usually not suspected. Also, clinical features of one causative agent may dominate over the other. Missing such diagnoses in immunosuppressed renal transplant patients can lead to worse clinical outcomes.

CASE PRESENTATION
A 29-year-old African-American woman received a deceased-donor -kidney transplant in September 2017. She was induced with pulse steroids and thymoglobulin. Her renal graft function was stable on maintenance immunosuppression with mycophenolic acid 1000mg two times per day, prednisone 5mg daily and tacrolimus with a goal level of 6–8 ng/mL. Tacrolimus level remained within the therapeutic range. She also received valgancyclovir, nystatin and Bactrim for 3 months post-transplantation as prophylaxis for opportunistic infections. The patient had a negative HSV-1 and HSV-2 serology prior to the transplant. Donor HSV-1 and HSV-2 status was not known. The patient had an episode of biopsy-proven, borderline, acute cellular rejection in the second month after transplant that responded well to pulse steroids with normalisation of renal function.

Nine months after her kidney transplantation she presented with sore throat, dysphagia, odynophagia and fever. Her physical examination was significant for oropharyngeal thrush, white tonsillar exudates, pharyngeal erythema and enlarged palatine tonsils. Blood work showed leukocytosis and acute kidney injury (AKI). She was admitted to the hospital for intravenous fluids and intravenous fluconazole therapy for severe oropharyngeal candidiasis and high suspicion of esophageal candidiasis. After 48 hours of intravenous antifungal treatment, the patient had improvement of symptoms and was discharged home on oral fluconazole and clindamycin. Clindamycin was empirically prescribed for the treatment of any underlying tonsillitis.

Three days post discharge, the patient was readmitted in a septic state, with worse odynophagia, tachycardia, high-grade fever and worsening leukocytosis. The patient appeared ill. Physical examination again demonstrated white plaques on the tongue, palate and tonsils with bilateral lingual and palatine tonsillar swelling (figure 1). The white plaques on the tongue were amenable to scraping. A CT scan of the neck was obtained showing swollen lingual and palatine tonsils with narrowing of the pharynx and bilateral level 2A and 2B cervical lymphadenopathy. It did not show any drainable abscess. The patient was started on empiric intravenous antibiotics and intravenous fluconazole was restarted. The patient’s fever and tachycardia improved but she continued to have discomfort and pain in her throat while swallowing as well as in her chest. Throat culture was positive for candida albicans and negative for any bacterial growth. Blood cultures were negative. Rapid streptococcal antigen test, Monospot test, Epstein bar virus PCR and CMV PCR were also negative.

An upper gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopy was done on day 5 of hospitalisation showing whitish exudate and plaques at the base of her tongue, a 10cm patch of whitish exudates in the oesophagus with underlying esophagitis (figures 2, 3). Esophageal brushings were obtained. Cytopathological examination of esophageal brushings showed cellular changes consistent with HSV infection. Viral culture and immunofluorescence staining from esophageal biopsy came positive for HSV-2 and negative for HSV-1, CMV and adenovirus.
Intravenous acyclovir was started for biopsy-proven HSV-2 esophagitis. Immunosuppression was also lowered by halving the dose of mycophenolate mofetil. Adjustments in her tacrolimus dose were made due to interaction with fluconazole. During the treatment period her trough tacrolimus levels ranged between 5.5–8.4 ng/mL.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

The patient’s symptoms improved with intravenous acyclovir. She was discharged home after 4 days of intravenous acyclovir, and was on oral valacyclovir as well as oral fluconazole until completion of 21 days of therapy. The patient followed up in the outpatient clinic 3 weeks later and reported complete resolution of her symptoms. She had a repeat esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) which showed complete resolution of esophagitis (figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Opportunistic infections in immunocompromised renal transplant patients are a fearful complication. The incidence is high in the first 6 months due to intense immunosuppression and transplant centres give prophylaxis against common infections during this period. Infectious esophagitis is among such opportunistic infections. Infectious esophagitis can present with symptoms including discomfort and difficulty in swallowing, pain while swallowing and retrosternal chest pain. Patients may have a prodrome of fever, malaise and nausea. The symptoms based on a previous reviews include dysphagia for both solids and liquids (37.5%), odynophagia (60.7%), chest pain (46.4%) heartburn, and/or vomiting.1

Candida is the most common cause of fungal infection of oral mucosa and oesophagus in immunosuppressed patients. Candida albicans or candida tropicalis are the commonly involved species.2 50%–75% of patients with esophageal candidiasis have oropharyngeal candidiasis. Oropharyngeal candidiasis most commonly presents in a pseudomembranous form with white plaques on the oropharynx, tongue, palate and buccal mucosa. The presence of oral thrush may be helpful in the diagnosis of fungal esophagitis;3 however, the absence of oral involvement does not exclude esophageal candidiasis. Diagnosis is usually made using endoscopy. Endoscopic evidence of candida lesions may include superficial erosions, ulcers and white plaques that can be severe, resulting in necrosis and perforation. Histopathological
earning points

In contrast, our case is unique with the treatment of acute rejection with high-dose steroids and intravenous acyclovir and can be switched to oral therapy when clinical improvement is evident. Intravenous hydration and close monitoring of renal function is advised during treatment with intravenous acyclovir due to the possibility of crystals associated acute kidney injury. Oral valaciclovir can also be used; caution is advised due to the nephrotoxic potential of these medications. Genotyping and expert consultation are advised in such cases.

Candida and CMV may coexist in up to 20% of the patients; however, simultaneous infection by Candida and HSV is even less common. A prospective study reported 100 immunocompromised patients with AIDS and esophagitis, 33 of whom had candida alone, 22 patients had coexistent candida and CMV while two patients had candida along with CMV and HSV. The potential mechanism for co-infection might be from initial injury to the esophageal epithelium by HSV and disruption of the mucosal barrier, which provides a supportive environment for candida, a normal commensal of the oral cavity. In our literature review, we were able to find a few case reports of concomitant infection of these pathogens in immunocompetent hosts. Kumar et al, describe a 57-year-old man with esophageal tuberculosis with coexisting HSV and candida, 8 months after renal allograft transplant. Cases of dual infection of the esophagus by candida and HSV-2 in immunocompromised renal transplant recipients are rare.

Our patient presented with common symptoms of fever, dysphagia and odynophagia along with oropharyngeal thrush and whitish tonsillar exudates. Candida was suspected, prompting us to initiate fluconazole for antifungal therapy. She did not have any mucocutaneous ulcers or vesicles suggestive of HSV. While the presence of oral thrush or herpetic vesicles may aid in diagnosis, a confirmatory diagnosis necessitates endoscopy with histopathological and microbiological examination. In a series of renal transplant recipients at a single institution, 97 patients with esophagitis underwent a biopsy during endoscopy. Esophageal candidiasis, CMV, and HSV were found in 33, 8 and 5 patients, respectively.

Other causes of esophagitis including gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, medication-induced esophagitis, eosinophilic esophagitis, idiopathic aphthous ulcers of the esophagus and in the distal oesophagus and at the lower esophageal sphincter are a common finding but diffuse esophagitis can also occur. Ulcers tend to be longitudinal or linear. The tissue sample is usually sent for histopathological examination, antigen detection, viral culture and PCR. On histopathological examination, mucosal inflammation, along with cytomegalic cells that have large nuclei and intranuclear or intracytoplasmic inclusions classically described as ‘owl’s eye appearance’, are seen. Intravenous ganciclovir is usually used for the initial treatment of the CMV infection. Oral valganciclovir is also considered an effective drug due to its high bioavailability. Foscarnet is often used for ganciclovir-resistant CMV disease, either alone or in combination with ganciclovir. Cidofovir can also be used; caution is advised due to the nephrotoxic potential of these medications. Genotyping and expert consultation are advised in such cases.

Learning points

- Infectious esophagitis can be seen in patients after renal transplantation due to immunosuppressive therapy.
- Dual infection is uncommon and co-infection can be missed on initial presentation leading to clinical complications.
- A high level of suspicion is warranted in such high-risk patients.
- Delayed or under-treatment can lead to worse clinical outcomes.
- While treating esophagitis in immunosuppressed patients, empiric antifungal and as well as antiviral coverage should be considered in the appropriate clinical setting if the suspicion of a dual infection is high, before the final diagnosis is made.
radiation esophagitis should be considered in the appropriate clinical settings.
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