Prolapsing mass in the caecum: learning point for the colonoscopist
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DESCRIPTION
A 47-year-old woman attended her first screening colonoscopy due to a strong family history of colorectal cancer. She is asymptomatic. Her only medical history is of well-controlled endometriosis for which she had a laparoscopic ablation with incidental appendicectomy 7 years ago.

Within the caecum, an apparent large submucosal polyp was observed (figure 1). This atypical solid mass displayed normal mucosal pit patterns and appeared to exert external compression rather than being a true intraluminal mass typical of malignancy. Multiple biopsies were sent for histology. The remainder of the examination was normal (anus to terminal ileum). Subsequently, CT revealed a submucosal polypoid mass at the caecum without abnormal abdominopelvic lymphadenopathy or metastatic disease (figure 2). Subsequently, histology results were returned showing normal colonic mucosa.

Detailed discussions were held with the patient, reaching an informed decision to proceed with surgery largely due to the diagnostic uncertainty and her strong family history of colorectal cancer. Critically, the role of the surgeon here was as an adviser, with the patient ultimately the decision-maker.

A diagnostic laparoscopy was performed, confirming an absent appendix, but a 25 mm palpable mass at the caecal pole corresponding to the lesion previously identified. The surgeon proceeded to perform an uncomplicated laparoscopic caecectomy. Final histology of the mass included a 5 mm appendix stump and endometrial glands and stroma with muscular hyperplasia – consistent with endometriosis.

Learning points
► Endometriosis of the appendix is a rare but recognised condition which may cause a variety of non-specific symptoms.1
► This report suggests it must always be considered in pre-menopausal women with positive colonoscopic findings, even with a history of previous appendicectomy.
► We reiterate that doctors must empower patients to become informed decision-makers.
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