‘Board’ out of my skull: penetrating skull fracture from a surfboard nose
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DESCRIPTION

A 45-year-old man attended our emergency department with a suspected scalp laceration sustained while surfing at a local break. He was an experienced surfer and described attempting to dive under an oncoming wave that contained a stray surfboard; this caught him on the head and broke in the process. He swam to shore and was transferred to hospital, via ambulance, where he was triaged as a non-urgent case. On examination, fibreglass debris was seen protruding from the wound that appeared to be deep to bone. He was subsequently transferred to resus and underwent a CT brain, demonstrating a penetrating skull fracture with a 30×10×10 mm foreign body in situ (figure 1). The images also demonstrated a small associated subdural haematoma, significant air in the subdural space and some minor midline shift (figure 2). The patient had no neurological features on history or examination. In discussion with the local neurosurgical team he was loaded on levetiracetam (Keppra), given intravenous antibiotic cover and transferred for surgical intervention. He underwent an emergency craniotomy and titanium cranioplasty to remove the segment of surfboard and a 30×30 mm section of contaminated, comminuted fractured skull to minimise the risk of osteomyelitis (figure 3). His postoperative course was uncomplicated although the infectious disease team were involved extensively due to the high risk of osteomyelitis. The patient initially had intravenous ciprofloxacin and clindamycin due to the saltwater exposure. Intraoperative cultures grew Propionibacterium acnes, commonly found in sebaceous follicles of healthy adults, and he was switched to oral amoxicillin and moxifloxacin.1

The majority of surf-related injuries consist of superficial lacerations, sprains of the neck or back and fractures of limbs or facial bones.
\[ E = \frac{1}{16} p g H_m^2 \]

**Figure 4** The wave energy equation based on linear wave theory. \( E \)
mean wave energy density per unit of horizontal area \( (J/m^2) \); \( p \)
density of water; \( g \) gravitational acceleration; \( H_m \), height of wave in metres.

Presentations are common to emergency departments located near popular beach areas.\(^2\)–\(^4\) When assessing patients with such injuries, we must also consider contributory factors to the injury such as alcohol and illicit drug use.

It is important to obtain a good history and not to underestimate the forces that can be transferred by ocean waves (figure 4).\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^3\) In this case the stray surfboard likely struck the patient square on its long axis at a speed of \(-10–18\) km/hour, transmitting considerable force as opposed to a glancing blow. The severity of the injury was initially underestimated by both the paramedics and triage staff, which could have led to a poorer outcome and may have been avoided if effectively using trauma triage guidelines.\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^7\) It is also important to remember antibiotic prophylaxis may vary from standard guidelines if injuries are sustained in/exposed to salt or freshwater. In saltwater the use of doxycycline (\textit{Vibrio} sp) and in freshwater ciprofloxacin (\textit{Aeromonas} sp) may be appropriate.\(^8\)

This case also highlights the importance of protective headgear use in surfing, a safety measure that is often referred to as ‘kookie’ by some members of the surfing community. In fact, a recent Australian study demonstrated only 38% of surfers consider the sport to be associated with a moderate to high risk of head injury and only 2% of those questioned wear protective headgear regularly.\(^9\)

**Learning points**

- Triage category may not represent true acuteness of a case.
- It is important to consider the mechanism of injury and exercise caution in cases where water sports are involved.
- The antibiotic treatment of wounds that have been exposed to fresh/salt water may vary to normal guidelines.
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