

Panhypopituitarism secondary to a solitary hypothalamic metastasis

Mark Ellul,¹ Tobias Janowitz,¹ Neil Burnet²

¹Department of Oncology, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK

²Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

Correspondence to
Dr Mark Ellul,
markalexellul@gmail.com

Accepted 4 May 2014

DESCRIPTION

A 50-year-old woman with breast cancer and widespread skeletal metastases presented with lethargy, weight gain and memory loss. Blood tests showed panhypopituitarism: thyroid-stimulating hormone 0.17 mU/L (0.35–5.5), free T4 4.2 pmol/L (10–19.8), free T3 1.2 pmol/L (3.5–6.5), luteinising hormone <0.1 µmol/L (16–75 postmenopausal), follicle-stimulating hormone <0.3 U/L (21–140 postmenopausal) and random cortisol 32 nmol/L. Prolactin was also low at 13 mU/L (59–619). She had no biochemical evidence of diabetes insipidus (urine osmolality 280 mOsm/kg, serum osmolality 310 mOsm/kg) and there was no visual field defect.

MRI (figure 1) revealed an enhancing lesion obliterating the hypothalamus with extension into the pituitary stalk. She was treated with palliative radiotherapy (30 G in 10 fractions) to the lesion and hormone replacement with levothyroxine and hydrocortisone. Her lethargy and weight improved temporarily, but memory and cognitive difficulties persisted despite treatment. This could have been due to insufficient control of the intracranial metastases. Unfortunately, the patient's clinical condition worsened in the weeks following treatment, so no further MRI were performed, and she ultimately died from her systemic disease.




Figure 1 Sagittal MRI (T1 weighted with gadolinium) showing contrast-enhancing hypothalamic metastasis.

Learning points

- ▶ Panhypopituitarism can present with non-specific symptoms and signs in patients with cancer, and should be considered as part of a differential diagnosis.
- ▶ In patients with known malignancy presenting with symptoms of an endocrine disorder, brain metastases should be remembered as an uncommon cause.

Contributors ME wrote the manuscript and was involved in the patient care. TJ and NB were involved in the patient care and review and editing of the manuscript.

Competing interests None.

Patient consent Obtained.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.



To cite: Ellul M, Janowitz T, Burnet N. *BMJ Case Rep* Published online: [please include Day Month Year] doi:10.1136/bcr-2014-204283

Copyright 2014 BMJ Publishing Group. All rights reserved. For permission to reuse any of this content visit <http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>.
BMJ Case Report Fellows may re-use this article for personal use and teaching without any further permission.

Become a Fellow of BMJ Case Reports today and you can:

- ▶ Submit as many cases as you like
- ▶ Enjoy fast sympathetic peer review and rapid publication of accepted articles
- ▶ Access all the published articles
- ▶ Re-use any of the published material for personal use and teaching without further permission

For information on Institutional Fellowships contact consortiasales@bmjgroup.com

Visit casereports.bmj.com for more articles like this and to become a Fellow