

# Physiological pituitary hyperplasia misinterpreted and treated as lymphocytic hypophysitis

Sugata Narayan Biswas, Himanshu Barman, Tuhin Subhra Sarkar, Partha Pratim Chakraborty


Department of Medicine,  
Midnapore Medical College  
and Hospital, Midnapore, West  
Bengal, India

**Correspondence to**  
Dr Partha Pratim Chakraborty,  
docparthapc@yahoo.co.in


Accepted 17 July 2018

## DESCRIPTION

A 19-year-old nulliparous eumenorrhoeic woman diagnosed with bilateral serous retinal detachment was referred for incidentally detected enlarged pituitary gland, seen on MRI of orbit. A focused MRI documented diffuse, symmetric enlargement of the pituitary gland having a convex superior surface abutting the optic chiasm (11.4 mm in antero-posterior  $\times$  16.9 mm in transverse  $\times$  9.3 mm in height) with marked homogeneous gadolinium enhancement with a thickened, non-tapering stalk (3.5 mm at infundibulum) and a conspicuous eutopic posterior pituitary bright spot (figure 1). A comprehensive work-up remained unremarkable



**Figure 1** MRI at initial presentation showing diffuse enlargement of pituitary (A), thickened stalk with conspicuous bright spot (B) and intense homogenous contrast enhancement (C, D) of both pituitary and stalk.



**Figure 2** Post-contrast MRI at 1 year follow-up showing enlarged pituitary (A) with intense and homogenous contrast enhancement with a thickened stalk (B). The appearance is unchanged from the initial MRI.

(table 1). In view of symmetric, enlarged pituitary demonstrating intense homogeneous contrast enhancement and a thickened ( $>2$ – $3$  mm thickness is considered pathological), non-tapering pituitary stalk in this non-pregnant individual, a diagnosis of lymphocytic hypophysitis (LH) was considered, after ruling out the other possible differential diagnosis. Pulse therapy with intravenous methylprednisolone was initiated followed by maintenance therapy with daily prednisolone gradually tapered over 12 weeks. However, serial MRI 4 days following therapy, at 6 months and a year later (figure 2), failed to demonstrate any significant reduction of pituitary or stalk size, necessitating a review of diagnosis.

Incidental pituitary enlargement is a frequent cause of referral to endocrinologists. The pituitary gland exhibits wide variations in size and shape across different age, sex and ethnicity cohorts.

**Table 1** Summary of investigations

Parameter	At presentation	After 12 months	Reference range
Cortisol (08:00)	15.68	11.6	05–25 $\mu$ g/dL
Cortisol after overnight 1 mg dexamethasone suppression test	1.2		$<1.8$ $\mu$ g/dL
Thyroid-stimulating hormone	0.79	0.9	0.34–4.25 mIU/L
FT4	1.1	1.4	0.7–1.24 ng/dL
T3	102	98	77–135 ng/dL
Prolactin	13.86	15.04	1.9–25 ng/mL
Insulin like growth factor (IGF1)	224		138–442 ng/mL
$\beta$ human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG)	1.2		$<5$ mIU/mL
Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) appearance	Colourless, clear		
CSF cell count	2		0–5 mononuclear cells/ $\mu$ L
CSF protein	30		15–50 mg/dL
CSF angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE)	$<0.1$		0.0–2.5 U/L
CSF adenosine deaminase (ADA)	0.3		0–1.5 U/L
CSF PCR for <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i>	Not detected		
CSF $\beta$ HCG, quantitative	0.1		0–3 IU/L



© BMJ Publishing Group Limited 2018. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

**To cite:** Biswas SN, Barman H, Sarkar TS, et al. *BMJ Case Rep* Published Online First: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/bcr-2018-225996

Physiological pituitary hypertrophy (pituitary height  $\geq 9$  mm) is observed during puberty and pregnancy, in young women and after menopause.<sup>1</sup> In healthy women between 15 and 30 years of age, the height of the pituitary varied from 3 to 9 mm, as observed in neuroradiological series.<sup>1</sup> A population study from India observed a mean pituitary height of 6.0 ( $\pm 1.6$ SD) mm in females belonging to 11–20 years of age.<sup>2</sup> Pathological pituitary hypertrophy may occur in long-standing untreated end organ insufficiency with loss of negative feedback (primary hypothyroidism, primary hypogonadism), hypothalamic or neuroendocrine tumours secreting excess trophic hormones [growth hormone releasing hormone (GHRH), corticotropin releasing hormone (CRH)] and a number of inflammatory or infiltrative diseases (lymphocytic and granulomatous hypophysitis, sarcoidosis, haemochromatosis, amyloidosis, Langerhans cell histiocytosis, Wegener's granulomatosis), infective (tuberculosis) and neoplastic (germinoma, lymphoma, leukaemia, metastatic carcinoma) disorders. Underlying tuberculosis, sarcoidosis and central nervous system germinoma were excluded with certainty in this woman with appropriate tests. Central serous retinopathy, rarely, has been known to be associated with Cushing's disease. Serous retinal detachment with subretinal fluid accumulation, a variant of central serous retinopathy, along with pituitary enlargement with thickened stalk in this woman, necessitated a thorough evaluation. Since corticosteroids have a beneficial role in early stages of LH,<sup>3</sup> high-dose glucocorticoids were administered. Learning from our errors, we conclude this patient to be a case of physiological hypertrophy of the pituitary gland (PH). Without a pituitary biopsy the diagnosis of PH, although highly likely in this woman, remains presumptive. Since the duration of persistent peripubertal pituitary hyperplasia is unknown and data on epidemiology, diagnosis or management of PH are scarce, and a pituitary biopsy facilitating a tissue diagnosis remains elusive in routine clinical practice, the diagnosis of PH is largely clinico-hormono-radiological. Thus, pituitary enlargement (pituitary height  $\geq 9$  mm, or greater than that predicted by age, gender and ethnicity matched values, when available) with a normal hormonal assessment (absence of hyperprolactinaemia or diabetes insipidus in particular) and gland homogeneity on MRI (plain and contrast) with a conspicuous posterior pituitary bright spot should also be considered as normal pituitary hypertrophy to avoid therapeutic misadventures.

### Learning points

- ▶ Pituitary gland dimensions in normal individuals are largely influenced by age, gender and ethnicity.
- ▶ Pituitary height ranging from 3 to 9 mm with anteroposterior and transverse diameter of 10–14 mm is considered normal.
- ▶ The pituitary stalk normally is widest superiorly and tapers inferiorly measuring 3.5 mm near median eminence, 2.9 mm near its midpoint and 1.9 mm at its insertion to the pituitary.
- ▶ Physiological pituitary hypertrophy is observed during puberty and pregnancy, in young women and after menopause.
- ▶ The duration of persistence of physiological hypertrophy following puberty is not known.
- ▶ Minimal pituitary enlargement (height  $\geq 9$  mm, or greater than that predicted by age, gender and ethnicity matched values, when available) with a normal hormonal assessment (absence of hyperprolactinaemia, cortisol deficiency or diabetes insipidus in particular) and gland homogeneity on MRI (plain and contrast) with a conspicuous posterior pituitary bright spot should be considered as normal pituitary hypertrophy.
- ▶ Correct identification of pituitary hypertrophy obviates the need of unnecessary therapeutic interventions as it rarely progresses.

**Contributors** SNB, HB, TSS and PPC were involved in patient management. SNB and HB did the literature search and wrote the manuscript. PPC finalised the draft.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent** Obtained.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

### REFERENCES

- 1 Chanson P, Daujat F, Young J, *et al*. Normal pituitary hypertrophy as a frequent cause of pituitary incidentaloma: a follow-up study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2001;86:3009–15.
- 2 Yadav P, Singhal S, Chauhan S, *et al*. MRI Evaluation of size and shape of normal pituitary gland: age and sex related changes. *J Clin Diagn Res* 2017;11:TC01–4.
- 3 Nishioka H, Ito H, Fukushima C. Recurrent lymphocytic hypophysitis: case report. *Neurosurgery* 1997;41:684–6.

Copyright 2018 BMJ Publishing Group. All rights reserved. For permission to reuse any of this content visit <http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>.

BMJ Case Report Fellows may re-use this article for personal use and teaching without any further permission.

Become a Fellow of BMJ Case Reports today and you can:

- ▶ Submit as many cases as you like
- ▶ Enjoy fast sympathetic peer review and rapid publication of accepted articles
- ▶ Access all the published articles
- ▶ Re-use any of the published material for personal use and teaching without further permission

For information on Institutional Fellowships contact [consortiasales@bmjgroup.com](mailto:consortiasales@bmjgroup.com)

Visit [casereports.bmj.com](http://casereports.bmj.com) for more articles like this and to become a Fellow